IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

MATTHEW ALLEN VAN BIBBER.

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff.

Docket No.

V,

ALLEGHENY COUNTY & ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS.

Defendants.

ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OFCOMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS

: Filed on behalf of Plaintiff,: Matthew Allen Van Bibber

: Counsel of Record for this Party:

: Gregory H. Teufel, Esq.
: Pa. Id. No. 73062
: Adam G. Locke, Esq.
: Pa. Id. No. 200441
: OGC Law, LLC
: 1575 McFarland Road, Suite 201
: Pittsburgh, PA 15216
: (412) 253-4622
: (412) 253-4623 (facsimile)

gtuefel@ogclaw.net alocke@ogclaw.net Plaintiff.

Docket No.

V.

ALLEGHENY COUNTY & ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS

I accept service of Plaintiff's Complaint in Mandamus, Emergency Motion for Preliminary Injunction, and Brief in Support of that Motion in the above-captioned matter on behalf of all Defendants and certify that I am authorized to do so.

11. 4. 24 Date

Allan J. Opsitnick, Esquire Assistant County Solicitor

Allegheny County Law Department

564 Forbes Avenue, Suite 1301

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

aopsitnick@opsitnickslaw.com

VERIFICATION

I, Matthew Allen Van Bibber, verify that the statements made in this Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.Cons.Stat. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: November 2, 2024

Matthew Allen Van Bibber

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

MATTHEW ALLEN VAN BIBBER,

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Docket No.

v.

ALLEGHENY COUNTY & ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS

Filed on behalf of Plaintiff,Matthew Allen Van Bibber

: Counsel of Record for this Party:

: Gregory H. Teufel, Esq.: Pa. Id. No. 73062

Adam G. Locke, Esq.

: Pa. Id. No. 200441

: OGC Law, LLC

1575 McFarland Road, Suite 201

: Pittsburgh, PA 15216

(412) 253-4622

(412) 253-4623 (facsimile)

gtuefel@ogclaw.net

alocke@ogclaw.net

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

MATTHEW ALLEN VAN BIBBER,

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Docket No.

V.

ALLEGHENY COUNTY & ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

NOTICE TO DEFEND

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this petition and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the petition or for any claim or relief requested by the petitioner. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. TIDS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE 11TH FLOOR KOPPERS BUILDING, 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219 TELEPHONE: (412) 261-5555

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CLEARFIELD COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

MATTHEW ALLEN VAN BIBBER,

CIVIL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Docket No.

V.

ALLEGHENY COUNTY & ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT IN MANDAMUS

Plaintiff Matthew Allen Van Bibber ("Mr. Van Bibber"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, brings this Complaint in Mandamus against Defendants Allegheny County and Allegheny County Board of Elections, and in support thereof avers as follows:

PARTIES

- Mr. Van Bibber is an adult individual who resides at 710 Wyngold Drive, Pittsburgh,
 PA 15237.
- 2. Defendant Allegheny County ("the County") is a governmental body including a Board of Elections and Department of Administrative Services to which the Board of Elections has delegated most tasks regarding the oversight and conduct of public elections held in Allegheny County.
- 3. The Allegheny County Board of Elections ("the BoE"), which includes County Executive Sara Innamorato, Council Member Sam DeMarco, and Council Member Bethany Hallam, is the group of elected officials upon whom the Election Code mandates to perform, among other things, record-keeping of and public access to election-related information.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 42 Pa.Cons.Stat. § 931 because this is a mandamus action involving a governmental unit and/or public officials at the county level and original jurisdiction is not exclusively vested in another court.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Pa.R.Civ.P. 1006 because the Defendants may be served in Allegheny County and the transactions and occurrences out of which the cause of action arose took place in Allegheny County.
- 6. By way of background, Mr. Van Bibber possesses an Associate of Science Degree from Community College of Allegheny County and a Bachelor of Science in Computer Information Systems from California University of Pennsylvania.
- 7. By directive issued by the Pennsylvania Department of State ("State Department"), counties are required to perform Logic & Accuracy testing ("L and A testing" or "L & A testing") of their voting machines prior to an election. A true and correct copy of the State Department's Directive is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 8. According to the State Department, "L&A testing is a series of pre-election steps intended to ensure that ballots, scanners, ballot-marking devices, and all components of a county's certified voting system are properly configured and in good working order prior to being used in an election." Exhibit A.
- 9. In the past, Mr. Van Bibber has attended L & A testing for voting machines that the County has utilized, to ensure that the ballot counting machines are in proper working order and condition, protected counts are not zeroed out, public counts are zeroed out, the machines are not connected to the internet, and perform normally with a given sample batch of ballots.

- 10. In the past, Mr. Van Bibber has obtained records and documents from the County and/or the BoE, particularly reports reflecting data and other information that the County and/or the BoE collected and analyzed as part of the County's L & A testing of its voting machines, including Scytl export files.
- 11. Scytl export files are uploaded during testing to a public website, and Scytl export files are uploaded on election day to a public website.
- 12. However, by comparing the files in the County's possession to the uploaded files in the past, Mr. Van Bibber has previously identified problems with the uploading of the Scytl export files, and he wants to do the same check again to make sure if the Scytl export files are properly uploading or if the prior problem he identified persists.
- 13. Regarding the 2020 general election, Mr. Van Bibber, along with other forensic document specialists, discovered hundreds of duplicate ballots from requests under the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law ("RTKL") and the Federal Freedom of Information Act and conveyed their findings to the County in a report.
- 14. In previously attending the County's L & A testing and reviewing documentation related to said testing, Mr. Van Bibber has discovered deficiencies with respect to the voting machines and notified the County accordingly.
- 15. In September 2024, Mr. Van Bibber received an authorization letter from VoteAllegheny permitting him to attend scheduled L & A testing of the County's voting machines on VoteAllegheny's behalf.
- 16. However, when Mr. Van Bibber contacted the County to make arrangements to attend the L & A testing, the County instructed him that the L & A testing had already been completed.

17. On September 24, 2024, Mr. Van Bibber sent an e-mail request for documents, records, and/or reports to the County's Election Division Deputy Manager, Chet Harhut ("Mr. Harhut"), seeking, in pertinent part, the following information regarding the County's L & A testing of the voting machines:

Please provide the following data for the 2024 General Election L and A testing, as soon as possible and before the election.

*ALL digital Images and CVR files, from ALL machines tested, for all ballot types.

*Please also including all digital images and cvrs that were scanned on the spare/backup machines.

*ALL Scytl export files for the 2024 General L and A test.

*Please provide all reports for the following DS200s. Configuration report, voting results report, zero report

0319330363 0319372558

0319330348

*Please list the serial numbers of the spare/backup machines for this election.

*Please provide all reports for the spare/backup machines. Configuration, voting results, zero report

*Please let me know approx. cost for copies of reports.

*Were the mail-in ballots scanned?

*Were the absentee ballots scanned?

*I would also like to review the video footage for the testing [in person inspect].

18. Nothing that Mr. Van Bidder requested was in any way nonpublic or confidential.

19. Having received no response from the County or BoE, Mr. Van Bibber sent a follow-up e-mail to Mr. Harhut on October 7, 2024, stating, in relevant part: "Hi Chet, Following up on below email, didn't see a response. I [would] like to review these before election day."

- 20. Still having received no response, on October 21, 2024, Mr. Van Bibber sent another follow-up e-mail to David Voye and the BoE, forwarding his prior request and follow-up e-mail, and wrote: "Can you get me the below [records, documents, or reports]. No response in weeks... Like to get this reviewed before election."
- 21. On October 22, 2024, twenty-eight days after Mr. Van Bibber filed his initial September 24, 2024 request for documents or records to Mr. Harhut, the County's Open Records Office replied as follows:

Please be advised that the County is invoking its right under Section 902 of the RTKL for an extension of time to complete a review of your request and to issue a final response for the following reason:

Section 902 (a) (3) – A timely response to the request for access cannot be accomplished due to bona fide and specified staffing limitations. Limited staff requires the need for additional time.

By law, the Allegheny County Open Records Office has 30 days to issue a final response regarding this request. A response is expected to be provided within 30 days of this date of this letter.

22. On October 24, 2024, Mr. Van Bibber, referencing and including his previous request and follow-up e-mails, contacted a solicitor for the County, Mr. Alan Opsitnick ("Attorney Opsitnick"), and stated:

Hi Allan,

I wanted to follow up on below asks, as this is all standard stuff that I have asked for in past for L and A testing and Non-L and A testing and this was all provided in past. Also, I had a RTK[L] in past where I was approved to see video footage, as we discussed after board meeting. I sent this out not to[o] long after the L and A testing and only requested a few simple things because I know people are probably busy. I requested things that should of [sic have] been very easy to get to at that time and to provide. There are a few simple questions below that should be able to be answered right away as well. I would like to get this information for review soon to make sure things are in proper working order, as in past I found issues that the county and vendor missed and the board had to get some issues corrected before past elections.

It's good to have a conversation, however I am concerned that the below has not been provided at this time, as there should not be anything in the asks that I would not be allowed to see.

A true and correct copy of Mr. Van Bibber's Request and Follow-Up E-mails, the County's RTKL Response, and Mr. Van Bibber's E-mail to Attorney Opsitnick are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit B.

23. To date, and apart from the October 22, 2024 response from the County's Open Records Office purporting to invoke a 30-day time-extension under the RTKL, the County and the BoE have not provided Mr. Van Bibber with access to documents and information that he is rightfully entitled to under the Election Code.

COUNT I - Writ of Mandamus

- 24. The preceding paragraphs of this Complaint are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. By its nature, mandamus is an extraordinary remedy "designed to compel performance of a ministerial act or mandatory duty where there exists a clear legal right in the petitioner, a corresponding duty in the respondent, and want of any other adequate and appropriate remedy." Toland v. Pa. Bd. of Prob. & Parole, 263 A.3d 1220, 1232 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2021) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).
- 26. Titled, "Records and documents to be open to public inspection," a statutory section in the Election Code, 25 Pa.Stat. § 2648, provides in full:

The records of each county board of elections, general and duplicate returns, tally papers, affidavits of voters and others, nomination petitions, certificates and papers, other petitions, appeals, witness lists, accounts, contracts, reports and other documents and records in its custody, except the contents of ballot boxes and voting machines and records of assisted voters, shall be open to public inspection, except as herein provided, and may be inspected and copied by any qualified elector of the county during ordinary business hours, at any time when they are not necessarily being used by the board, or its employes having duties to perform thereto: Provided, however,

That such public inspection thereof shall only be in the presence of a member or authorized employe of the county board, and shall be subject to proper regulation for safekeeping of the records and documents, and subject to the further provisions of this act: And provided further, That general and duplicate returns, tally papers, affidavits of voters and others, and all other papers required to be returned by the election officers to the county board sealed, shall be open to public inspection only after the county board shall, in the course of the computation and canvassing of the returns, have broken such seals and finished, for the time, their use of said papers in connection with such computation and canvassing.

(emphasis added).

- 27. Pursuant to 25 Pa.Stat. § 2648, Mr. Van Bibber has a clear and unambiguous legal right to access documents, reports, and records that the County and/or BoE has in its custody that reflect or otherwise pertain to data or other information that the County and/or BoE collected as part of its duty to conduct L & A testing.
- 28. In turn, under 25 Pa.Stat. § 2648, the County and/or BoE has an unqualified duty to provide Mr. Van Bibber with access to the above-mentioned documents, reports, and records, namely after the L & A testing was completed and no one was testing or otherwise using the voting machines.
- 29. However, for at least a period of thirty-eight days, the County and/or BoE has denied Mr. Van Bibber access to his requested information by relying on an inapplicable provision of the RTKL.
- 30. In denying Mr. Van Bibber access to his requested documents, reports, and records, the County and/or BoE have breached the statutory duty that they owe to Mr. Van Bibber and, in so doing, violated the clear statutory right that Mr. Van Bibber has to access election-related documents, reports, and records under the Election Code.
- 31. Mr. Van Bibber does not have an adequate remedy at law. Mr. Van Bibber has no statutory right to appeal to the Court of Common Pleas or the Commonwealth Court under the RTKL, the Election Code, or otherwise.

32. Instead, Mr. Van Bibber must resort to a writ of mandamus to compel the County

and/or BoE to perform a mandatory statutory duty to which it directly owes to Mr. Van Bibber, and

one to which Mr. Van Bibber (as well as any member of the public) is lawfully entitled.

33. If the County and/or BoE delays providing the requested documents, reports and

records, then it will be too late to correct the problems he is likely to find, given the pattern of his

finding problems in the past, before those problems impact the election results in a way that will be

difficult or impossible to remedy after the election.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Matthew Van Bibber requests that this Court enter an order granting

a writ of mandamus and directing the County and/or the BoE to immediately grant him access to the

requested documents, reports, and records prior to the general election on November 5, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 4, 2024

Gregory H. Teufel, Esquire

In H Tarfel

Adam G. Locke, Esq.

Attorneys for Matthew Allen Van Bibber

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this filing complies with provisions of the Case Records Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Dated: November 4, 2024

Gregory H. Teufel, Esquire

At H Tenfol





Directive on Logic & Accuracy Testing

Date: March 7, 2024 Directive 1 of 2024 Version: 3.0





Directive 1 of 2024

The following directive is issued March 7, 2024, by the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to authority contained in Sections 201 and 1105- A of the Election Code, 25 P.S. §§ 2621, 3031.5.

Background

All counties in Pennsylvania must conduct pre-election logic and accuracy testing (L&A testing) prior to every election (primary, general, special, or municipal) that is conducted in their jurisdiction.

L&A testing is a series of pre-election steps intended to ensure that ballots, scanners, ballot-marking devices, and all components of a county's certified voting system are properly configured and in good working order prior to being used in an election. These steps must include every procedure that counties will use in the actual election.

L&A testing promotes election integrity by:

- 1) Providing election officials an opportunity to identify and redress errors in election definition, ballot format, and layout, including, but not limited to, appropriate locations for folds on absentee/mail-in ballots, missing races, missing party identification, misspellings of candidate names, incorrectly worded ballot questions, and incorrect tabulation.
- Exposing inadequate, incorrect, or faulty election supplies, such as incorrect paper stock and memory cards that have not been properly wiped of data and reformatted.
- 3) Demonstrating to political parties, candidates, the media, and voters that the county is prepared for the upcoming election and the voting equipment has been adequately tested, which promotes confidence in the integrity of Pennsylvania elections.

Following completion of L&A testing, each county election board shall certify to the Secretary when they have completed their L&A testing and shall identify the system configuration for the election. The certification shall be on a form prescribed and furnished by the Secretary, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A. County election boards must complete the certification at least *fifteen (15) days prior to every election* held in their jurisdiction and must submit the certification electronically to the Department of State as prescribed by the Bureau of Elections.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 1 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





Summary of L&A Testing Goals

- Verify that all ballots are accurately defined, including:
 - All necessary contests (races) are properly programmed, including special elections, retention elections, and ballot questions.
 - Ballot styles are properly mapped to their respective precincts. A "ballot style" is a ballot's particular array of election contests and candidates, specific to each election precinct.
 - Candidate names are correctly spelled.
 - Contests and candidates are displayed in the required order.
 - The parties or political bodies of candidates are properly identified.
 - Names of all parties/independent political bodies are correctly spelled.
 - Audio files are present and properly configured for all candidates and ballot questions.
- Verify that all votes are aggregated and tabulated correctly, and that all accompanying hardware is in working order.
- Verify that all voting system component configurations meet federal and state certification standards and conditions.
- Verify that the voting system software/firmware works as expected.

Testing of Absentee and Mail-in Ballots

Prior to beginning the structured L&A testing, test the printed ballots that will be issued to voters to confirm that the ballots are accurate and can be read by the tabulating equipment once they are returned for counting. Test these ballots on the equipment that will be used to centrally count mail ballots. If using a ballot-on-demand (BOD) printer to print mail ballots, test the functionality of the printer for all ballot styles.

- Prepare the BOD printer following the manufacturer's procedures and load the required ballot definition files on the BOD printer. Print ballots of all ballot styles and sets that will be printed for election use.
- 2) If using pre-printed ballots or a mailing house, gather from the print vendor test ballots for all ballot styles to be used in the election.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 2 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 3) Mark ballots of each ballot style and set type (absentee/mail-in) following the "tabulation test voting pattern" and scan them using both a central scanner and a precinct scanner.
- 4) A good way to test all of the ballots is to create an "all poll" media device for the scanners, which will permit all ballot styles for the election to be scanned.
- 5) Fold some ballots comparable to the manner in which absentee and mail-in ballots are received.
- 6) Scan the ballots on the central scanner following the exact same process that you will follow on Election Day.
- 7) Scan the ballots four (4) times on the precinct scanner, each time changing the direction in which the ballot is inserted into the scanner. The goal of this test is to ensure that all printed ballots can be read by the tabulator in all orientations.
- 8) Once ballots are tested for absentee and mail-in voting, changes should not be made to a county's election definition. If the election definition is changed after testing is completed, ballots must be retested. If the election definition is changed after the county has distributed any absentee or mail-in ballots, when these ballots are returned the ballots will either need to be hand-counted or a ballot duplication team will need to transfer the voter's votes to a ballot that can be tabulated by the voting equipment. Follow the procedure outlined in section 1114-A of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3031.14, for creating a true duplicate copy of a damaged or defective ballot. When a ballot is duplicated, the county must maintain both the original and duplicate ballot and record an identical serial number on each.

Preparing for Full L&A Testing

Notice and Public Observation

Under Section 1110-A(d) of the Election Code, 25 P.S. § 3031.10(d), no later than forty (40) days before an election, the county election board must mail a written notice stating the date, time, and location when L&A testing will begin to:

- the chairman of each political party recognized to participate in a primary election within the county; and
- the chair or presiding officer of any citizens' organization which has registered its name and address at least fifty (50) days before such election.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 3 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





Further, county boards should provide at least forty-eight (48) hours' notice to the public of the time and place of the test to provide the public an opportunity to attend. The public notice:

- may be placed in a newspaper or legal publication that has a countywide distribution;
- should outline the starting time and location of the testing; and
- need not include an ending time for the testing.

The preparation and testing of voting equipment must be open to the public to observe; however, such members of the public shall not in any manner interfere with the preparation and testing of the voting equipment units. To prevent any interference by the public when observing, the county may make reasonable rules and regulations concerning the conduct of those members of the public who wish to observe. These rules shall not prevent members of the public from fairly observing and should be published after public approval by the elections board subject to 25 P.S. § 2642(f).

Preparing for Testing

In addition to issuing notice, counties should do the following when preparing for L&A testing:

- 1) Review the Secretary's certification report for the county's voting system to ensure that the voting system components are being prepared to meet all conditions of the report that apply in the county's upcoming election.
- 2) Proofread all balloting materials. The Department recommends using more than one proofreader and proofreading at multiple stages. Proofread ALL ballot types, including Election Day ballots, absentee ballots, mail-in ballots, provisional ballots, bilingual or alternative language ballots, accessible (audio) ballots, federal ballots, partisan and non-partisan ballots, and emergency ballots.
- 3) Ensure that there are enough multi-partisan teams to conduct the testing. If the county needs to employ additional staff, the Department recommends employing precinct officials and not third-party vendor personnel.
- 4) Ensure a county election official is present to independently verify and attest to the testing results if a vendor will be participating in L&A testing.
- 5) Ensure the designated location has adequate space to conduct testing.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 4 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 6) Complete an inventory, or manifest, of all devices to be used on Election Day, including backup equipment not directly assigned to a specific polling place. All component devices of the voting system must be tested during L&A testing.
- 7) Prepare a task list detailing every action to be taken during the testing, following the instructions in this directive. Testing scenarios should mimic election activities as much as possible.

Preparation of Test Decks and Testing Scenarios

Create a test deck for each ballot style and voting system component. These test ballots will be used to simulate Election Day activities during the testing process.

Test decks should consist of ballots voted with a pre-determined number of valid votes for each candidate, retention election, ballot question, and write-in position that appears on every ballot style that will be used in the upcoming election. Counties should consider the many types of scenarios that can occur during an election and replicate them using the test decks to ensure voting system logic and accuracy.

The Department strongly discourages relying solely on automated L&A testing or using only preprinted ballots provided by vendors. Manual entry of votes, using pre-audited ballots prepared by election officials, is the most effective way to identify potential errors and anomalies

When preparing test decks, counties should ensure the following:

- Test decks should include ballots printed via all printing options including by the ballot printing or mail house vendor, from a BOD printer, and ballots generated from a ballot-marking device (BMD). The goal is to ensure that test deck ballots are printed under the exact same conditions as live ballots that will be provided to and voted by the voters.
- 2) The same paper stock should be used for testing that will be used for the election.
- 3) Test decks should include all ballot sets and styles to be used in the election (including, for example, Election Day ballots, absentee ballots, mail-in ballots, emergency ballots, provisional ballots, ballot on demand, ballot-marking devices, accessible ballots, non-partisan ballots, bilingual ballots, and federal ballots).
- 4) Test decks should encompass scenarios that include votes for all candidates, write-ins, retention elections, ballot questions, and candidates for special elections that are held concurrent with regularly scheduled elections.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 5 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 5) Test decks should include ballots in all languages.
- 6) Test decks should encompass scenarios including votes for all ballot positions.

Additionally, test decks must include ballots that are intended to fail or trigger equipment warnings. The goal is to ensure that the voting system components will adequately flag or reject improperly marked ballots and that such ballots will not affect tabulation. Improperly marked ballots include ballots:

- with more votes than allowed for all contests;
- with more votes than allowed for randomly selected contests;
- with no votes for any contest;
- voted with ambiguous marks in the target area for all candidates (if applicable);
 and
- from an incorrect ballot set for the same precinct.

Tabulation Test Voting Variation

Preparing the test decks includes voting a pre-determined number of valid votes for each candidate, write-in position, and option on every ballot question and retention election to verify the voting system accurately tabulates votes. The county should use Microsoft Excel or other software to document the pre-determined votes, calculate the anticipated results, and compare them to the results produced by the voting system during testing.

The Department **strongly recommends** preparing test decks such that **each choice in each election contest is given a unique number of votes**. Write-in options should count as a choice, and for ballot questions and retention elections, "Yes" and "No" should count as different choices. For example, in an election contest involving three candidates and a write-in option, one choice should receive one vote, and the other choices should receive two votes, three votes, and four votes, respectively.

The county also should vary vote patterns across election contests. For example, where a ballot contains two ballot questions, each with "Yes" and "No" choices, the county should prepare the test deck such that one ballot question receives two "Yes" votes and one "No" vote, and the other ballot question receives one "Yes" vote and two "No" votes.

This is the best tabulating testing pattern that will make it easiest to identify errors or issues. Alternatively, counties may employ one of the following patterns:

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 6 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- Incremental/decremental pattern: For each election contest, the county sets the highest vote total any choice may receive and then assigns votes to the remaining choices in that contest in an increasing or decreasing pattern. For example, in an election contest involving five candidates and a write-in option, the county could set the highest vote total at three and give the first choice three votes, and the other choices two votes, one vote, three votes, two votes, and one vote, respectively. This pattern should then be varied across election contests, so that results for contests with similar choices can easily be distinguished.
- Alternating pattern: For each election contest, the county sets the highest vote total any choice may receive and then assigns votes to the remaining choices in that contest in an alternating pattern. For example, in an election contest involving five candidates and a write-in option, the county could set the highest vote total at two and give the first choice two votes, and the other choices one vote, two votes, one vote, two votes, and one vote, respectively. This pattern should then be varied across election contests, so that results for contests with similar choices can easily be distinguished.

The county *must not* prepare test decks such that each choice in an election contest receives only a single vote, or all choices are not voted for at least once. *If the anticipated result is the same for each choice in an election contest, or for each election contest with similar choices, the county may be unable to confirm that the voting system is accurately tabulating votes.*

The county must repeat the same pattern when preparing test decks for each ballot set, as applicable.

Preparation of Media Device

Before data for an election can be placed on any memory card for an optical scan tabulator, central count scanner, or ballot-marking device, the data contained on the memory card from any previous election must be removed under the guidelines of the relevant voting system. Ensure that the media device has been fully formatted before using it for L&A testing.

- 1) Inspect all media devices and ensure that they are formatted, labeled, and numbered appropriately.
- 2) Label the media device with the name of the poll (e.g., precinct name, absentee, mail-in, provisional). It is a best practice to make the marking and labelling as evident as possible. For example, write the precinct name/number and device

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 7 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- name clearly. As a best practice, use different colored labels for primary and redundant (back-up) media devices.
- 3) Download the election information to the media devices according to the voting system manufacturer's instructions.
- 4) Create a media device for each precinct scanner or central scanner that will be used in the election.

Preparation of Voting Equipment

L&A testing must include testing every hardware component of the voting system, regardless of whether it will be deployed to a precinct or retained at the warehouse as a backup. Below is a list of items to be tested or verified during L&A testing for an election:

- 1) Ballot-marking devices
- 2) Precinct scanners
- 3) Central count scanners
- 4) Connected printers
- 5) Connected peripherals for ADA voting equipment

Prior to the testing, perform the following checks:

- 1) Inspect the physical condition of the equipment and locks and sealing mechanisms to ensure they are intact.
- 2) Power on the devices and validate that the certified software/firmware is installed.
- 3) Check the batteries in voting systems that use batteries as either the primary power source, or as backup to the primary power source.
- 4) Implement a process to ensure that all batteries are fully charged for Election Day.
- 5) Check the scanner heads on all precinct scanners.
- 6) Check the calibration of scanners.
- Verify the calibration of any ballot-marking device screens and replace or repair as needed.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 8 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 8) Verify the date and time settings on all voting systems.
- Verify that all media devices are "zeroed out."
- 10) Verify that each device is labelled with its assigned precinct or polling place where it will be deployed and is accurately listed on your county's inventory or manifest list.

Conducting L&A Testing

All components being used for the election, including all ballot styles, precinct scanners, central count scanners, accessible devices, and any backup equipment being used, must be part of the L&A testing.

Administrative Steps

As described above in section 3.1, the county board of elections may establish reasonable rules and regulations for public observation of L&A testing. The board must also be available during the first day of preparation, at the beginning of the day or for the first hour of public observation, to explain the process and respond to questions. The following practices must be observed:

- 1) Administer an oath to those persons conducting the L&A tests who are not permanent elections staff.
- 2) Establish an area where the public can observe the process.
- 3) Allow only election officials and those conducting tests into the testing area.
- 4) Prohibit the photocopying of any testing reports or other materials.
- 5) Prohibit photographic and audio equipment, including cellphone cameras, from being used to record security seals or serial numbers. While the news media may report on the testing process, counties must ensure that security seals, serial numbers, locks, and other details concerning security measures are not recorded or displayed.

Ballot-Marking Device Testing

The functionality and accuracy of ballot-marking devices (BMDs) must be included in any pre-election testing protocols. A test deck must be created using a BMD based on the guidelines outlined in the "Preparation of Test Decks and Testing Scenarios" in this document. Once the BMD test deck is created, the ballots must be tested on a related scanner. It is also necessary to test the various devices available to voters with disabilities for use on Election Day, including audio voting features, tactile discernible

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 9 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





controls, and pneumatic switch attachments which can be operated orally or by vacuum pressure (AKA sip-and-puff devices).

Ballot-Marking Device Setup and Preparation

- 1) Set each voting system to be tested to "election mode" rather than "test mode."
- 2) Review and confirm that the prepared test decks contain all the applicable test cases suggested in the "Tabulation Test Voting Variation" section of this document. Print any "open polls" or zero tape report that can be printed from the BMD, and confirm that the expected contest order on the zero tape matches the contest order on the test ballots.
- 3) Perform all the actions that would take place on Election Day. The goal is to test all actions as they would occur on Election Day.
- 4) For each ballot style, mark and print ballots following the tabulation test voting variation on at least one BMD.
 - a. Use touchscreen, audio ballot, Audio Tactile Interface (ATI) controller and any other assistive devices provided by the manufacturer.
 - b. Use ballots in all languages used on Election Day.
 - Use all the accessible features provided for the ballot: font, contrast, audioonly mode, etc.
 - d. After printing each ballot, review the ballots produced by the BMD to ensure the printed ballots match the choices that were made on the BMD screen. Review the printed ballot to ensure that the contests are listed in the correct order; all the races, including retentions, show the candidate names; and ballot questions are identifiable. The printed ballot must allow the confirmation of each choice that was made on the BMD screen.
- 5) County election boards must test and prepare any BMDs intended to be used as backup devices. Since it is hard to predict where the device might be used, the best approach is to test at least two random ballot styles.

Test Closing Procedures

- 1) Perform end-of-day polling place activities as would be performed on Election Day.
- 2) Perform a review of marked and printed ballots to ensure that ballots are marked accurately as required by the test voting variations.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 10 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 3) Gather prepared ballots for scanning.
- 4) After confirming that the marked ballots scan and generate expected results, prepare the machines for Election Day:
 - a. Clear the data generated during testing.
 - b. Ensure that the device has all supplies for printing ballots on Election Day.
 - c. Lock and seal the devices.
 - d. Shut the machines down.
- 5) Review the seals and locks once again and document the validation. The best practice is to have one person prepare the machine and then have one or two reviewers review the seals and locks.
- 6) Any discrepancies noted during the L&A testing must be evaluated in detail to identify the root cause of the problem.
- 7) If the problem is isolated to a specific machine, that machine must be marked and must not be used on Election Day.
- 8) Explain clearly to observers if any discrepancies are noted to ensure that everyone present completely understands the process and conclusions.

Precinct Scanners

Once a test desk and expected results have been validated, test decks are scanned by a bipartisan team of election officials or voting system operators on each voting system for which the ballot style is used. This test is used to check the accuracy of the ballot coding, the ability of the tabulators to accurately record votes marked on the ballots, and the ability of tabulators to accurately tally votes from all scanned ballots. Every scanner that will be used in the election must be tested.

Precinct Scanner Setup and Preparation

- 1) Set each device to be tested to "election mode" rather than "test mode."
- 2) Review and confirm that the prepared test decks contain all the applicable test cases suggested in the "tabulation test voting variation" section of this document.
- 3) Load each precinct scanner with the pre-labeled memory cards specific to each Election Day precinct.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 11 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 4) Perform all the actions that would take place on Election Day. The goal is to test all actions as they would happen on Election Day.
- 5) Ensure that the precinct scanner is set for the correct election.
- 6) Open the polls and validate the accuracy of the information displayed on the screens and public counters.
- 7) Print zero reports and validate the reports. Check the date and time, precinct polling place details, election, and that contest totals are zero.
- 8) Once the polls are "open" and a zero tape is generated, the bipartisan team should sign the zero tape to identify the officials participating in the test for each precinct scanner.

Test Deck Scanning

- 1) The bipartisan team must then begin scanning the ballots on each voting system for which the given ballot style is valid.
- 2) The team should follow the ballot instructions while marking and processing ballots to ensure that the instructions are clear.
- 3) Scan ballots in all orientations, alternating between all four possible orientations.
- 4) The testing staff should scan at least one ballot using any feature intended to provide voting system access for persons with disabilities. They should also scan at least one ballot using each required language. While one team member scans ballots, the other team member should monitor the equipment to ensure that the scanner and ballot counter are functioning properly.
- 5) The team must review and confirm that all configured error messages display properly.
- 6) Once all ballots from the test deck have been scanned into an individual machine, the team must "close" the polls and run a totals report.
- 7) The team should compare the results reported by the voting system to the expected results, confirm the accuracy of or discrepancies in the results, and determine if the system has passed or failed the test. Any discrepancies indicating a failure must be investigated, resolved, and the system must then be re-tested.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 12 of 25





- 8) If a test deck is run and the pre-determined vote count is different than the voting system's tabulated results, the test team should document the problem, and then compare the unique voting variation (test plan) with the test deck pattern to ensure that the test deck was made correctly and that all ballots were scanned.
- 9) Any corrections to the test deck itself, or to the casting of the test deck, should be made, and the test deck should be re-run until two error-free test results are produced. If the tested voting system fails to produce two error-free results, the system should not be used in any election until the problem is resolved and a new round of pre-election testing is successfully completed.
- 10) The pre-election test results reports should be signed by the test team and placed in secure storage for any record retention periods the jurisdiction may require. After all voting systems for which each ballot style is valid have been tested, the test deck should be similarly stored with all corresponding reports, audit trails and log sheets.
- 11) The test should be documented by the testing team on a log created specifically for this purpose. The log shall include:
 - a. The date the test was executed,
 - b. The names of the persons who performed the test and recorded the results,
 - c. The serial number of the machine on which the test was executed,
 - d. The machine's protective counter number as it appeared both at the beginning and conclusion of testing,
 - e. The name or description of the test performed,
 - f. The version number of the software tested,
 - g. The test result either "pass" if the results match the expected results exactly, or "fail" if there is even one discrepancy.
- 12) Jurisdictions must test and prepare any scanning devices intended to be used as backup devices. Since it is hard to predict where the device could be used, the best approach is to test two random ballot styles.

Test Closing Procedures

 Perform end-of-day polling place activities as would be performed on Election Day.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 13 of 25







- 2) Gather media including redundant (back-up) media if applicable, with results, upload to the election management system, and generate the consolidated result reports. It is a good practice to use redundant media on Election Day whenever possible. Ensure that the redundant media is also included in testing.
- 3) Document testing results as you would the official results.
- Retain and seal all pre-election testing materials.
- 5) Prepare machines for Election Day use:
 - a. Clear totals.
 - b. Clear the results on the tabulator.
 - c. Insert new printing tapes.
 - d. Lock and seal the devices.
 - e. Shut the machine down.
- 6) Review the seals and locks once again and document the validation. The best practice is to have one person prepare the machine and then have one or two reviewers review the seals and locks.

Any discrepancies noted during the L&A testing must be evaluated in detail to identify the root cause of the problem.

- 1) If the problem is isolated to a specific machine, that machine must be marked and must not be used on Election Day.
- 2) Explain clearly to observers if any discrepancies are noted.

Central Count Scanners

Test central scanners used at the election office. Jurisdictions using precinct and central scanners can re-scan the ballots used for precinct scanner testing on central scanners to test the central scanners.

Central Count Scanner Setup and Preparation

- 1) Set each voting machine to be tested to "election mode" rather than "test mode."
- 2) Review and confirm that the prepared test decks contain all the applicable test cases suggested in the "tabulation test voting variation" section of this document.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 14 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 3) Load each central scanner with the pre-labeled memory cards and prepare them for scanning as you would on Election Day.
- 4) Perform all the actions that would happen at the beginning of the central scanner use on election night.
 - a. Be sure the tabulator is set for the correct election.
 - Open the polls and validate the accuracy of the information displayed on the screens.
 - c. Print zero reports and validate the reports, including checking the date and time, precinct polling place details, election, and that contest totals are zero.
- 5) Once the polls are "open" and a zero report is generated, the bipartisan team should validate and confirm that all contests and candidates have zero votes recorded for each central scanner.

Test Deck Scanning

- 1) The bipartisan team must then begin scanning the ballots on each central scanner in exactly the same manner as on Election Day.
- 2) Batch ballots as you would on Election Day and prepare them for scanning. Reuse the same ballots marked for precinct scanner testing.
- 3) Fold several marked absentee/mail-in ballots to ensure that the folds do not interfere with the scanning.
- 4) Once all ballots from the test deck have been scanned into an individual machine, the team must "close" the polls and run a totals report.
- 5) The team should compare the results reported by the voting system to the expected results, confirm the accuracy of or discrepancies in the results, and determine if the system has passed or failed the test. Any discrepancies indicating a failure must be investigated, resolved, and the system must then be re-tested.
- 6) If a test deck is run and the pre-determined vote count is different than the voting system's tabulated results, the test team should document the problem, and then compare the unique voting variation (test plan) with the test deck pattern to ensure that the test deck was made correctly and that all ballots were scanned.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 15 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 7) Any corrections to the test deck itself, or to the casting of the test deck, should be made, and the test deck should be re-run until two error-free test results are produced. If the tested voting system fails to produce two error-free results, the system should not be used in any election until the problem is resolved and a new round of pre-election testing is successfully completed.
- 8) The pre-election test results reports should be signed by the test team and placed in secure storage for any record retention periods the jurisdiction may require. After all voting systems for which a particular ballot style is valid have been tested, the test deck should be similarly stored with all corresponding reports, audit trails and log sheets.
- 9) The test should be documented by the testing team on a log created specifically for this purpose. The log shall include, but is not limited to:
 - a. The date the test was executed,
 - b. The names of the persons who performed the test and recorded the results,
 - c. The serial number of the machine on which the test was executed.
 - d. The machine's protective counter number as it appeared both at the beginning and conclusion of testing,
 - e. The name or description of the test performed,
 - f. The version number of the software under test,
 - g. The test result either "pass" if the results match the expected results exactly, or "fail" if there is even one discrepancy.

Test Closing Procedures

- 1) Perform the end-of-central scanning activities as on Election Day.
- 2) Gather media, including redundant (back-up) media if applicable, with results, upload to the election management system, and generate consolidated result reports. It is a good practice to use redundant media on Election Day whenever possible. Ensure that the redundant media is also included in testing.
- 3) Document the testing results as you would the official results.
- 4) Retain and seal all pre-election testing materials.
- 5) Prepare machines for Election Day use:

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 16 of 25





- a. Clear totals.
- b. Clear the results on the tabulator.
- c. Insert new printing tapes.
- d. Shut the machine down.
- e. Lock and seal the devices.
- 6) Review the seals and locks once again and document the validation.

Any discrepancies noted during the L&A testing must be evaluated in detail to identify the root cause of the problem. If the problem is isolated to a specific machine, that machine must be marked and must not be used on Election Day.

- 1) Explain clearly to observers if any discrepancies are noted to ensure that everyone present completely understands the process and conclusion.
- 2) Ensure that there is a mix of all types of ballots used by the jurisdiction for the election.

Hybrid Ballot-Marking Device

Hybrid devices must be tested for both the ballot-marking device functionality and the scanning and tabulation functionality. Counties using hybrid devices must follow the below guidelines for performing L&A testing.

Hybrid Ballot-Marking Device Setup and Preparation

- 1) Set each voting machine to be tested to "election mode" rather than "test mode."
- Review and confirm that the prepared test decks contain all the applicable test cases suggested in the "tabulation test voting variation" section of this document.
- 3) Load each device with the pre-labeled memory cards specific to each Election Day precinct.
- 4) Perform all the actions that would take place on Election Day. The goal is to test all actions as they would happen on Election Day.
- 5) Be sure the tabulator is set for the correct election.
- 6) Open the polls and validate the accuracy of the content displayed on screens and public counters.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 17 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 7) Print zero reports and validate the reports. Check the date and time, precinct polling place details, election, and that contest totals are zero.
- 8) Once the polls are "open" and a zero tape is generated, the bipartisan team should sign the zero tape to identify the officials participating in the test for each precinct scanner.

Test Deck Marking and Scanning

- 1) The bipartisan team must then begin marking and scanning the ballots on each voting system for which a given ballot style is valid.
- 2) The team should follow the ballot instructions while marking and processing ballots to ensure that the instructions are clear.
- 3) The testing should include marking of at least one ballot using any feature intended to provide voting system access for persons with disabilities. While one team member is marking and casting ballots, the other team member should monitor the equipment to ensure that it is functioning properly.
- 4) After printing each ballot, review the ballots produced by the BMD to ensure the printed ballots match the choices that were made on the BMD screen. Review the printed ballot to ensure that the contests are listed in the correct order; all the races, including retention races, show the candidate names; and ballot questions are identifiable before casting the ballot.
- 5) The team must review and confirm that all configured error messages display properly.
- 6) Once all ballots from the test deck have been voted into an individual machine, the team must "close" the polls and run a totals report.
- 7) The team should compare the results reported by the voting system to the expected results, confirm the accuracy of and any discrepancies in the results, and determine if the system has passed or failed the test. Any discrepancies indicating a failure must be investigated, resolved, and the system must then be re-tested.
- 8) If a test deck is run and the pre-determined vote count is different than the voting system's tabulated results, the test team should document the problem, and then compare the unique voting variation (test plan) with the test deck pattern to ensure that the test deck was made correctly and that all ballots were scanned.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 18 of 25







- 9) Any corrections to the test deck itself, or to the casting of the test deck, should be made and the test deck should be re-run until two error-free test results are produced. If the tested voting system fails to produce two error-free results, the system should not be used in any election until the problem is resolved and a new round of pre-election testing is successfully completed.
- 10) The pre-election test results reports should be signed by the test team and placed in secure storage for any record retention periods the jurisdiction may require. After all voting systems for which a given ballot style is valid have been tested, the test deck should be similarly stored with all corresponding reports, audit trails and log sheets.
- 11) The test should be documented by the testing team on a log created specifically for this purpose. The log shall include, but is not limited to:
 - a. The date the test was executed,
 - b. The names of the persons who performed the test and recorded the results,
 - c. The serial number of the machine on which the test was executed.
 - d. The machine's protective counter number as it appeared both at the beginning and conclusion of testing,
 - e. The name or description of the test performed,
 - f. The version number of the software under test,
 - g. The test result either "pass" if the results match the expected results exactly or "fail" if there is even one discrepancy.
- 12) Jurisdictions must test and prepare any hybrid devices intended to be used as backup devices. Since it is hard to predict where the device could be used, the best approach is to test two random ballot styles. Scan ballots following the tabulation test voting pattern.

Test Closing Procedures

- 1) Perform the end-of-day polling place activities as on Election Day.
- 2) Gather media (including redundant media if applicable) with results, upload to the election management system and generate consolidated result reports. It is a good practice to use redundant media on Election Day whenever possible. Ensure that the redundant media is also included in testing.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 19 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 3) Document testing results as you would the official results.
- Retain and seal all pre-election testing materials.
- 5) Prepare the machines for Election Day use:
 - a. Clear totals.
 - b. Clear the results on the tabulator.
 - c. Insert new printing tapes.
 - d. Shut the machines down.
 - e. Lock and seal the devices.
- 6) Review the seals and locks once again and document the validation. The best practice is to have one person prepare the machine and then have one or two reviewers review the seals and locks.

Any discrepancies noted during the L&A testing must be evaluated in detail to identify the root cause of the problem.

- 1) If the problem is isolated to a specific machine, that machine must be marked and must not be used on Election Day.
- 2) Explain clearly to observers if any discrepancies are noted to ensure that everyone present completely understands the process and conclusion.
- 3) Ensure that there is a mix of all types of ballots used by the jurisdiction for the election.

Vote Tabulation Software Check

Test the result tabulation software by loading and generating summary reports of all test votes.

- Gather all the media used for vote capture from precinct scanners/hybrid devices and central scanners (including redundant media if applicable) with results, upload to the election management system, generate the consolidated result reports, and compare them to the expected results.
- 2) It is a good practice to use redundant media on Election Day whenever possible. Ensure that the redundant media is also included in testing. Document testing results as you would the official results.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 20 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





3) Check the audit log or cast vote records associated with individual ballots of every unique ballot design to confirm that functionality of each contest and candidate is correct. This can also be done by sending the ballots to adjudication and reviewing them there.

Election Night Reporting (ENR)

- 1) Generate the ENR files for reporting to the Department of State and publishing to your county's website.
- 2) Ensure that the counting groups on the reports are configured in accordance with the Pennsylvania Election Results Reporting and Ballot Definition Directive.
- 3) Ensure that contests are listed in the correct order, candidate names are spelled correctly, and the report segments are correct on each report type. For example, ensure that a precinct-level report is showing the results from each precinct accurately.
- 4) Test the ENR file submission process and, if the timeline for testing the Department of State ENR does not align with the county L&A testing timeline, safely store the extract files for use during the assigned testing window. Counties must submit multiple files during the ENR file submission testing to ensure that all the candidates' vote totals by reporting group and turnout information are validated on the Department of State website.

Electronic Poll Books (EPBs)

The county board of elections must test EPBs being used at the polling place as part of the L&A testing.

- 1) Load the required voter data onto the EPBs and ensure that the configuration meets the approval conditions from the Secretary of the Commonwealth.
- 2) Ensure the accuracy of the voter data on each device.
- 3) Test all Election Day check-in activity workflows on all the devices.
- 4) Print any reports as you would do on election night and validate the results.
- 5) Test any check-in activity workflows that would take place on Election Day e.g., regular check-in, ID verification, absentee/mail-in voter, provisional voter, etc.
- 6) Test the ballot-spoiling procedures if the EPB is being used to track the number of ballots spoiled.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 21 of 25 TLP:CLEAR





- 7) Test the connectivity between two devices assigned to a polling place and ensure that check-in data is synced between the devices.
- 8) Prepare electronic poll books for Election Day use:
 - a. Clear test data.
 - b. Lock and seal the devices.
- 9) Review the seals and locks once again and document the validation. The best practice is to have one person prepare the machine and then have one or two reviewers review the seals and locks.

Post-Election Verification Testing for Central Tabulation Equipment

- Central tabulation equipment requires additional post-election verification testing
 prior to finalizing the election results. At the conclusion of the central tabulation of
 ballots and prior to the completion of the official canvass, the central scanner(s)
 must pass the same test using the same test deck(s) used during the pre-election
 testing.
- 2) To ensure that test deck ballots are not inadvertently intermingled with election ballots, L&A testing ballots must be labeled, bundled together, and kept separately from other election records. Counties can follow the best practice of labeling the L&A ballots with appropriate notation on each ballot while marking contests for testing. For example, write "LA1" on the first L&A ballot in an area not interfering with the barcode.

Maintaining L&A Testing Results

All documentation, test decks, and any test data including, but not limited to, copies of ballot programming used for required maintenance tests, shall be maintained in secure locked storage in accordance with the requisite records retention schedule. Gather all reports and ballots as you would for official elections and file them along with the test deck used. Note any corrections and explanations and retain and seal all artifacts from pre-election testing.

The Department recommends maintaining a file for the life cycle of each voting machine component, starting with acceptance testing when you purchase the equipment. Document important events that take place during the voting machine life cycle including, but not limited to, acceptance testing, trusted build validation, upgrade acceptance testing, each election L&A testing, and election use. The document must provide a complete history of the voting machine components.

Version: 3.0 | 03/2024 Page 22 of 25







###

Version	Date	Description
1.0		Initial document release
2.0	9.25.2023	Addition of central scan post-election verification testing
3.0	3.07.2024	Removal of functionality deck testing and expanded certification form

APPENDIX A

TLP:CLEAR

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE CERTIFICATION OF LOGIC AND ACCURACY TESTING

County	name:	Election date:	
Numbe	r of precincts participating in election:		
	system vendor:		
	system name and version:		
Primary	/ system configuration: □ hand-marke	d paper ballots □ ballot-marking de	evices
testi Acc	hereby certify thatCountry ong for all its electronic voting system contracy Testing on (date)	nponents pursuant to the Directive on Lo	ogic and
tabu testi	ing the test process, a predetermined test plated. The results reported by the voting ing included all equipment to be used for the sting included the following:	system matched the expected results,	
Compo	ting included the following:	Details	Completed?
Test De		Test pattern used in the test deck:	Yes □
•	The test deck included absentee, mail-in, Election Day, and provisional ballots (ballot sets) for each precinct (ballot styles). The test deck included ballots produced on all vendor and county BOD printers and BMDs.	Recommended (unique totals) □ Incremental/Decremental □ Alternating □	No □
Notice •	The county board timely notified the chair of each recognized political party.	Notice was sent to the chairman of each recognized political party on	Yes □ No □
•	The county board timely notified each registered citizens' organization.	Notice was sent to the chair or presiding officer of each registered citizens' organization on	Yes □ No □ N/A □
•	The county board provided at least 48 hours' notice to the public.	The county board provided public notice on	Yes □ No □
Ballot-Marking Devices (BMDs) and Backup BMDs		Standard BMDs □	Yes □
•	Each BMD was tested to ensure	Hybrid BMDs □	No □
•	functionality for each feature. Printed ballots matched candidate	# of BMDs to be deployed:	
	selections on screen.	# of BMDs tested:	
•	Ballots created by BMD were included in	# of backup BMDs in reserve:	
	the test deck to enable testing of precinct scanners.	# of Backup BMDs tested:	
•	Hybrid BMDs accurately tabulated results.		

TLP:CLEAR

Precinct Scanners and Backup Scanners Test deck was scanned into each precinct scanner that will be deployed. Ballots were accepted and rejected appropriately. Ballots produced the expected results. Central Count Scanners and Backup Scanners Test deck was scanned into each Central Count Scanner that will be used. Ballots were accepted and rejected appropriately. Ballots produced the expected results.	# of scanners to be deployed: # of scanners tested: # of backup scanners in reserve: # of scanners to be deployed: # of scanners tested: # of backup scanners in reserve: # of backup scanners tested:	Yes No N/A Yes No No No No No No No No No No
3	me of Chief Clerk Authorized Representative	Date

TLP:CLEAR

0		
 Original	message	

From: Matthew Van Bibber < matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com >

Date: 9/24/24 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Harhut, Chet" < Chet. Harhut@AlleghenyCounty. US>, "Voye, David"

< David. Voye@AlleghenyCounty. US>

Subject: L and A testing Data

Hi.

Please privide the following data for the 2024 General Election L and A testing, as soon as possable and before the election.

*ALL digitial Images and CVR files, from ALL machines tested, for all ballot types.

*Please also including all digital images and cvrs that were scanned on the spare/backup machines.

*ALL Scytl export files for the 2024 General L and A test.

*Plesse provide all reports for the following DS200s. Configuration, voting results, zero report

0319330363

0319372558

0319330348

*Please list the serial numbers of the spare/backup machines for this election.

*Plesse provide all reports for the for the spare/backup machines. Configuration, voting results, zero report

*Please let me know approx cost for copies of reports.

*Were the mail-in ballots scanned?

*Were the absentee ballots scanned?

*I would also like to review the video footage for the testing.

Thanks, Matthew Van Bibber 412-480-1305

----- Original message -----

From: "Voye, David" < David. Voye@AlleghenyCounty.US >

Date: 10/21/24 2:24 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Matthew Van Bibber < matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com>

Subject: RE: BOE Meeting

Received.

10/22/2024

Matthew Van Bibber 710 Wyngold Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15237

RE: Public Information Request Received 10/13/2024

Reference Number: #W018814-101324

Dear Matthew Van Bibber:

This is in response to your request for records made pursuant the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law (RTKL). Your request, which this Office received on 10/15/2024, is for the following records:

----- Original message -----

From: Matthew Van Bibber <matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com>

Date: 9/24/24 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Harhut, Chet" <chet.harhut@alleghenycounty.us>, "Voye, David"

<david.voye@alleghenycounty.us>

Subject: L and A testing Data

Hi,

Please provide the following data for the 2024 General Election L and A testing, as soon as possible and before the election.

*ALL digital Images and CVR files, from ALL machines tested, for all ballot types.

*Please also including all digital images and cvrs that were scanned on the spare/backup machines.

*ALL Scytl export files for the 2024 General L and A test.

*Please provide all reports for the following DS200s. Configuration report, voting results report, zero report

0319330363 0319372558 0319330348 *Please list the serial numbers of the spare/backup machines for this election.

*Please provide all reports for the for the spare/backup machines. Configuration, voting results, zero report

*Please let me know approx. cost for copies of reports.

*Were the mail-in ballots scanned?

*Were the absentee ballots scanned?

*I would also like to review the video footage for the testing in person (inspect).

</david.voye@alleghenycounty.us></chet.harhut@alleghenycounty.us>

</matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com>

Please be advised that the County is invoking its right under Section 902 of the RTKL for an extension of time to complete a review of your request and to issue a final response for the following reason:

Section 902 (a) (3) – A timely response to the request for access cannot be accomplished due to bona fide and specified staffing limitations. Limited staff requires the need for additional time.

By law, the Allegheny County Open Records Office has 30 days to issue a final response regarding this request. A response is expected to be provided within 30 days of this date of this letter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jessica Garofolo Allegheny County Open Records Officer



FW: RE: BOE Meeting

From Greg Teufel <gteufel@ogclaw.net>
Date Fri 11/1/2024 5:38 PM
To Adam Locke <alocke@ogclaw.net>

Best regards, Greg

Gregory H. Teufel, Esq. | Founder/Managing Member OGC Law, LLC 1575 McFarland Rd., Suite 201 • Pittsburgh, PA 15216 Direct (412) 253.4622 | Mobile (412) 596.6316 gteufel@OGCLaw.net



OGCLaw.net | bio | vCard | LinkedIn

The information transmitted by this email is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this message in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. Any discussion of tax issues in this communication was not intended to be used (i) to avoid any tax-related penalty or (ii) to promote, market or recommend any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Matthew Van Bibber <matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com>

Sent: Friday, November 1, 2024 11:32 AM
To: Greg Teufel <gteufel@ogclaw.net>
Subject: Fwd: RE: BOE Meeting

----- Original Message -------Subject: RE: BOE Meeting

Date: Thursday, October 24, 2024 12:56 EDT

From: "Matthew Van Bibber" < matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com>

To: aopsitnick@opsitnickslaw.com

CC: BOE@alleghenycounty.us, Voye, David < David.Voye@AlleghenyCounty.US >, Garofolo,

Jessica < Jessica.Garofolo@AlleghenyCounty.us >, Harhut, Chet

< Chet. Harhut@AlleghenyCounty.US >

References: <1902eb27d908464fa2afec01a39077a8@lairpower.com> (null)

Hi Allan,

I wanted to follow up on below asks, as this is all standard stuff that I have asked for in past for L and A testing and Non-L and A testing and this was all provided in past. Also, I had a RTK in past where I was approved to see video footage, as we discussed after board meeting. I sent this out not to long after the L and A testing and only requested a few simple things because I know people are probably busy. I requested things that should of been very easy to get to at that time and to provide. There are a few simple questions below that should be able to be answered right away as well. I would like to get this informaiton for review soon to make sure things are in proper working order, as in past I found issues that the county and vendor missed and the board had to get some issues corrected before past elections.

It's good to have a conversation, however I am concerned that the below has not been provided at this time, as there should not be anyting in the asks that I would not be allowed to see.

Thanks, Matthew Van Bibber 412-480-1305

On Monday, October 21, 2024 14:32 EDT, "Matthew Van Bibber" matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com> wrote:

Thanks! Can you get me the below. No response in weeks... Like to get this reviewed before election.

Hi Chet,

Following up on this again, I also opend a RTK since I was not getting a response and would like to review the records before the election. Reference No:W018814-101324

Thanks, Matthew Van Bibber 412-480-1305

On Monday, October 07, 2024 12:33 EDT, "Matthew Van Bibber" < matt.vanbibber@lairpower.com > wrote:

Hi Chet.

Following up on below email, didnt see a reponse. I like to review these before election day. I can open a RTK next of needed.

David,

If he is out can you help with request?

Thanks,

EXHIBIT B

VERIFICATION

I, Matthew Allen Van Bibber, verify that the statements made in this Complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.Cons.Stat. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: November 2, 2024

Matthew Allen Van Bibber