Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022

Judio	cial District Number:	9 🖣	County:	Cumberland	-	Class o	f County:	3	-
1.	List the existing magiste 09-1-01, 09-1-02, 09-		•	-	•	•	3, 09-3-04	, 09-3-0	15
Case	load Analysis								
					Avg for	Iudicial Distr	ict Avg for C	lass of Cou	nty
2.	Average total caseloads	5:			А.	5,683	В.	4919	
3.	Compare the difference	e betwe	en the casel	oad average		re (2A - 2B)	Ranking	Tot	al
	of your judicial district	to the cl	lass of count	ty.	7	64	2nd – out	of 10	<u>_</u>
4.	Is your judicial district of range when compared t	caseload to the o	d average at ther judicial	the lower end c districts in your	of the ca class of	seload county?	No		-
Prop	osed Actions								
5.	Are any magisterial dist	tricts pro	oposed for r	eestablishment	?			Yes	
	If YES , list the magisteri	ial distri	cts propose	d for reestablish	iment (n	o change	s).		
	09-1-01, 09-1-02, 09	-1-03, (09-2-01, 09)-2-02, 09-3-01	, 09-3-0	2, 09-3-0	03, 09-3-04	4, 09-3-	05
		·							
6.	Are any magisterial dist	trict pro	posed for re	ealignment?				No	
	If YES , list the magisteri	ial distri	cts propose	d for realignmer	nt (chan _l	ges).			
						-			
7.	Are any magisterial dist	·	•					No	
	If YES , list the magister	ial distri	icts propose	d for eliminatior	n.				

	No
9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?	Yes
10. Do you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs? If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.	No
Final Checklist	
11. Was a request for public comment posted?	Yes
12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?	Electronic
13. Were media outlets notified?	Yes
14. Were public comments received?	Yes
15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?	Yes
16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?	Yes
17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?	Yes
18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?	Yes
9. Additional Remarks	
/erification of Submission	
/erification of Submission 20. Date submitted to AOPC: 2/28/2022	

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-1-01	Cour	unty: Cumberland		nd 🔽
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/2022
Cas	eload Analysis					
		Avg for Mogisteriol District	Avg	g for Jud	licial District	Avg for Class of County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 3,795	в.	5	5,683	с. 4 919
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average o	f this	Differe	ence (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload avera	ige.		-1888	10th 🗾 out of 10
5.	. Compare the difference between the	ne caseload average o	f this	Differ	ence (3A- 3C)	% Above/Below
	magisterial district to your class of o	-			-1124	-23%
Wo	rkload Analysis	- 11. 1-11917	Ava	for Ma	gisterial District	Avg for Judicial District
7.	Average total workloads:		Α.		44,704	в. 40,324
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	% Above/Below
0.	workloads of this magisterial district	•	t.	4	4,380	11 %
9.	If this magisterial district's average v judicial district average workload <u>a</u> district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the jud	and you are propos our response from the	ing to	ree	stablish this	s magisterial

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	Information:		
Christopher J. Delozier Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	1/4/26 Term Expiration Date	12/31/46 Mandatory Retirement Da
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 920 Linda Lane Camp Hill, Pa		ation:	
12. Is this court within the boundari	ies of the magisteria	l district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within th	e boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisteri	al district contiguou	s?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge,		•	Yes
such as a mall, highway expansi increase in the case filings for th			esponse below.
strip mall to house mixed use retail		•	
16. List any police departments loca Lower Allen Twp, New Cumberland	-		
17. List any major highways within t 581 & 83, PA turnpike 76, US Rout	-	ict.	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitt	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Lower Allen Township New Cumberland Boro			
Shiremanstown Boro			
19. Are the proposed municipalities	the same as above	?	Yes
If NO, please list all proposed m	unicipalities (alphab	etically).	4
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

IVIG	gisterial District Court Number:	09-1-02	Cour	nty:	Cumberla	and	
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	ective date	e: 7/1/20	22
Cas	eload Analysis						
		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ct Av	g for Jua	icial District	Avg for C	Class of County
3.	. Average total caseloads:	A. 6,796	В.	5	,683	с. ⁴⁹	19
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Ranking	Tota
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload ave	rage.		1113	2nd -	out of 10
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	% Abc	ve/Below
	magisterial district to your class of c	-			1877		38 %
Wo	rkload Analysis						
			Avg	for Mag	isterial District	t Avg for .	Judicial Distric
	rkload Analysis Average total workloads:		Avg A.		iisterial District	t Avg for . B.	Judicial Distric 40,324
		e average total	А.	2		В.	
7.	Average total workloads:	-	A.	∠ Different	1,222	В.	40,324

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) I	nformation:		
Elizabeth S. Beckley		12/31/23	12/31/42
Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retirement D
11. Magisterial District Court Informa 1901 State Street Camp Hill, F	•	ation:	
12. Is this court within the boundarie	es of the magisteria	l district?	Yes [
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	e boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisteria	l district contiguou	s?	Yes [
15. To the best of your knowledge, a	re there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansic increase in the case filings for th		•	
16. List any police departments locat 2. Camp Hill Police Department and	-		
17. List any major highways within th Routes 11 and 15, Harvey Taylor B	-		
18. List the current municipalities fo for Realignment Orders submitte	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Camp Hill Borough, Lemoyne Boro	ugh, West Shore Re	gional, Wormleysburg Bo	rough
19. Are the proposed municipalities			Yes
If NO , please list all proposed mu	inicipalities (alphab	etically).	
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-1-0	3	Cou	nty:	Cumberla	and		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: F	Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/	2022	
Case	eload Analysis					1. 10-3			
		Avg for M	agisterial Distric	t Av	g for Jud	licial District	Avg f	or Class of Co	ounty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A.	4,840	В.	5	5,683	C.	4919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caselo	ad average c	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3B)	Rankir	ng	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict ca	aseload aver	age.		-843	8th		10
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caselo	ad average c	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)	%	Above/Below	v
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty ca	seload avera	age.		-79		-2	2%
Wo	rkload Analysis								
				Avg	g for Ma	gisterial District	Avg	for Judicial D	istrict
7.	Average total workloads:			A.	:	31,007	в.	40,32	4
8.	Compare the difference between the	e averag	e total	-	Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	%	Above/Belo	w
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the j	udicial distrie	ct.	-	9,317		-23	3%
9.	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload a district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the jud All criminal and traffic cases generated b turnpike that runs through 09-3-04 shall should bring this district within the 15% t	and you <i>cour resp</i> dges. by the Per be filed ir	are propos onse from th nnsylvania sta 1 09-1-03. Ba	sing to ne plar ate poli	o ree) why ce on I	tablish _thi this does r nterstate 81,	s mag not res route	gisterial sult in an 581, and F	

Magisterial District Information				1.4-
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In Michael P. Sanderson Magisterial District Judge Name	formation:	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/50 Mandatory Retiremen	t Date
11. Magisterial District Court Informat 98 S. Enola Drive Suite 1 Enola	-	ation:		
12. Is this court within the boundaries	s of the magisteria	al district?	Yes	•
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the l	boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes	-
14. Are all portions of the magisterial	district contiguou	s?	Yes	-
15. To the best of your knowledge, are	e there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure	-
such as a mall, highway expansion increase in the case filings for this			esponse below.	
16. List any police departments locate East Pennsboro Police	d within this mag	isterial district.		
17. List any major highways within thi 81, 15 and 581	s magisterial distr	ict.		
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitted East Pennsboro Twp West Fairview Boro		strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>	
19. Are the proposed municipalities th If NO , please list all proposed mun			Yes	
20. Additional Comments:				

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-2-01	Cour	nty:	Cumberla	nd		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/	/2022	
Cas	eload Analysis							
		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ct Av	g for Ju	dicial District	Avgj	for Class of (County
3.	Average total caseloads:	_{A.} 7,145	В.		5,683	C.	4919	
4.	Compare the difference between th			Differ	ence (3A - 3B) 1462	Ranki		Total
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict caseload ave	rage.	Differ		1st	out of	
5.	Compare the difference between th magisterial district to your class of c	-		Differ	ence (3A - 3C) 2226	%	Above/Belo 45	
Wo	see below (#9) rkload Analysis				19		n n	
			Avg	for Mo	gisterial District	Avg	for Judicial	District
7.	Average total workloads:		А.		41,578	В.	40,32	24
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ice (7A - 7B)	%	6 Above/Belo	w
	workloads of this magisterial district		ct.		1,254		3	%
9.	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload a district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the jud All criminal and traffic cases generated b run through 09-2-01 shall be filed in 09-3 district within the 15% threshold, while al	and you are propo our response from to lges. by the Pennsylvania st 3-02. Based on worklo	sing to he plan ate polic pad calc) ree) why ce on i ulatior	stablish this this does n Interstate 81 a ns from 2019,	s ma ot re and P/	gisterial sult in ar A turnpike	that

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In	formation:		
Paul M. Fegley Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/36 Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Informat 2260 Spring Rd.Suite 3 Carlisle	-	ation:	
12. Is this court within the boundaries	s of the magisteri	al district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	boundaries of the	e magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial	district contiguou	us?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, ar	e there any planr	ned developments	Yes
such as a mall, highway expansior increase in the case filings for this		•	esnonse helow
an additional increase of new dwellin			eshouse below.
16. List any police departments locate	ed within this mag	gisterial district.	
17. List any major highways within th	is magisterial dist	rict.	
44 miles of State Highway, 4.8 miles	of Interstate 81, 7.	3 miles of the Pa. Turnpik	e 🖬
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submittee	—	istrict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Lower Frankford Township, Middles	ex Township, North	Middleton Township	
19. Are the proposed municipalities t If NO , please list all proposed mur			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-2-02	Cour	nty: Cumberla		nd			-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Eff	fective date	: 7/1	/2022		
Cas	eload Analysis								
2		Avg for Magisterial Distric	t Avg	g for Jud	licial District	Avg j	for Class	of Cou	unty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,373	В.	5	,683	C.	49	919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	•			nce (3A - 3B)	Ranki	ng	7	otal
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict caseload ave	rage.		-310	6th	- 0	ut of '	10
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)	%	Above/I	Below	
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload aver	age.		454			9%	6
Wo	rkload Analysis								
-			Avg	for Mag	gisterial District	Avg	for Judio	cial Dis	trict
7.	Average total workloads:		A.	4	17,533	В.	40	,324	
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differend	ce (7A - 7B)	%	Above/	/Below	,
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distri	ct.	7	7,209			18 %	6
9.	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload <u>a</u> district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the jud All criminal and traffic cases generated b that run through 09-2-02 shall be filed in bring this district within the 15% threshol	and you are propo our response from the ges. by the Pennsylvania st 09-3-02. Based on w	sing to <i>he plan</i> ate polic) rees) why e on Ir	this does n	s ma ot re and th	gisteri sult in e PA ti	ial 1 an urnpil	ke

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ	l) Information:		
Jonathan R. Birbeck Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/34 Mandatory Retirement Da
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 18 North Hanover Street Sui		ation:	
12. Is this court within the bounda	ries of the magisteria	l district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within t	he boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magister	rial district contiguou:	s?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, such as a mall, highway expans increase in the case filings for t Carlisle has a large planned deve builds at site of old factory	sion or gas drilling that this office? If YES , ple	it will likely cause an ease summarize your re	
16. List any police departments loc Carlisle Police, Pennsylvania Stat	•		Dept
17. List any major highways within I 81, I76 turnpike, route 11	this magisterial distri	ct.	
18. List the current municipalities f for Realignment Orders submit Carlisle Borough		strict (alphabetically). F	or a list, click <u>HERE</u>
19. Are the proposed municipalitie If NO , please list all proposed m			Yes
0. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-3-01	Cour	nty:	Cumberla	ind		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/	2022	
Cas	eload Analysis	S I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I						
		Avg for Magisterial Distric	t Av	g for Jud	dicial District	Avg f	or Class of	County
3.	. Average total caseloads:	4,649 A.	В.	5	5,683	C.	4919	Э
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average o	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3B)	Rankii	ng	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial of	listrict caseload aver	age.		-1034	9th	🕶 out d	of 10
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average o	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3C)	%	Above/Belo	w
	magisterial district to your class of c	-	of this Difference (3A - 3C) % Above/Below					
Wo	n/a rkload Analysis			6 0.4				
7.	Average total workloads:		AVg	-	gisterial District	AVg	for Judicial	
			Α.		39,529	Β.	40,32	
8.	•	-			ce (7A - 7B)	%	Above/Bel	
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distri	ct.		-795			2 %
9.	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload <u>a</u> district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the juc n/a	and you are propo our response from th	sing to	ree	stablish thi	s ma	gisterial	

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In	iformation:			
Harold Anthony Adams Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/25 Mandatory Retir	ement Dai
 Magisterial District Court Informat 35 W. Orange Street Shippensi 	•	on:		
12. Is this court within the boundaries	s of the magisterial d	district?	Yes	
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisterial	Yes			
15. To the best of your knowledge, ar such as a mall, highway expansion	n or gas drilling that	will likely cause an	Yes	
increase in the case filings for this a mini casino is going to be construct be a Parx casino with 600 slot machi	ted in Shippensburg T	ownship near the King	Street exit from 18	
16. List any police departments locate Pennsylvania State Police, Shippens	-		burg University Pe	olice.
17. List any major highways within thi Pennsylvania Turnpike (76) and Inte	-			
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitted Hopewell Township, Newburg Borou Township	d in the past.			
			No.	
			Yes	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities tl If NO , please list all proposed mur		ically).	ði	
A compared and a compared as a		ically).		

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	09-3-02	Cou	nty:	Cumberla	Ind		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/2	022	
Case	eload Analysis							
		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ct Av	Avg for Judicial District		rict Avg for Class		unty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 6,483	В.		,683	С.	4919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	ence (3A - 3B)	Ranking		Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload ave	rage.		800	4th -	out of 10)
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differ	ence (3A - 3C)	% Ab	ove/Below	_
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload ave	rage.		1564		D22 Class of County 4919 Total out of 10 ove/Below 32% g to /hy Judicial District 40,324 pove/Below -25 % n your sterial It in an 1, and PA	
6.	If this magisterial district is at the lo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nge <u>ar</u>	id you are p	roposir	ng to	
	reestablish (no changes), please sun	• •	nse fror	n the	plan that ex	plains v	vhy	
	you are departing from caseload equ	uity.						
	see below (#8)							
Wo	rkload Analysis	- 415 (1998)			201			
			Avg	for Ma	gisterial District	Avg for	Judicial Di	strict
7.	Average total workloads:		A.	3	nd you are proposing to plan that explains why ngisterial District Avg for Judicial District 30,172 B. 40,324 B. 40,324 Disce (7A - 7B) % Above/Below 10,152 -25 %			
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	% A	bove/Belov	v
	workloads of this magisterial district	-	ict.	-1	0,152		-25	%
9.	If this magisterial district's average wijudicial district average workload a district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the judicial and traffic cases generated be turnpike that runs through 09-2-04 and 0 2019, this should bring this district within	and you are propo our response from t lges. by the Pennsylvania st 9-2-01 shall be filed in	bsing to he plan ate poli	o <u>rees</u>) why ce on !	stablish this this does n nterstate 81,	s magi: iot resu route 58	sterial It in an 31, and P	

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) In Vivian J. Cohick Magisterial District Judge Name	nformation: Birthdate	12/31/23 Term Expiration Date	12/31/35 Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Informa 55 Penn Drive Newville, PA 17		ation:	
12. Is this court within the boundarie	s of the magisteria	al district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisteria	l district contiguou	s?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, a	, ,		Yes
such as a mall, highway expansio increase in the case filings for thi an influx of new warehouses being b	s office? If YES , pl	ease summarize your r	esponse below.
16. List any police departments locat Carlisle PSP, Newville PSP, Newvill	-		
17. List any major highways within th 181, 176, 233, 11, 174, 641, 533	is magisterial distr	ict.	
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitte	•	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Cooke Township, Lower Mifflin Tow South Newton Township, Upper Mif		=	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities t If NO , please list all proposed mu			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	09-3-03	Cour	County: Cumberland			-	
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date	: 7/1/20)22	
Case	eload Analysis							
2		Avg for Magisterial Distric	ct Av	g for Ju	dicial District	Avg for (Class of Co	ounty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 6,628	В,	Ę	5,683	С.	4919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differ	ence (3A - 3B)	Ranking		Total
	magisterial district to your judicial c	listrict caseload aver	rage.		945	3rd 🗸	out of 1()
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differ	ence (3A - 3C)	% Abc	ove/Below	,
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload aver	age.		1709		35	%
Woi	rkload Analysis		(FIV)					
-			Avg	for Ma	gisterial District	Avg for	Judicial D	istrict
7.	Workload Analysis Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District 7. Average total workloads: A. 38,881 40,324	4						
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	% Ab	ove/Belov	W
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distri	ct.	-	1,443		-4	. %
9.	If this magisterial district's average w judicial district average workload <u>a</u> district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the jud n/a	and you are propo our response from the	sing to	ree	stablish thi	s magis	terial	

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	nformation:		
Daniel J. Freedman Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	1/2/28 Term Expiration Date	12/31/48 Mandatory Retirement Date
11. Magisterial District Court Informa 229 Mill Street Mount Holly Sp	·	ation:	
12. Is this court within the boundarie	es of the magisteria	l district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes 🔽
14. Are all portions of the magisteria	l district contiguou	s?	Yes 🔽
15. To the best of your knowledge, a			No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansio increase in the case filings for thi	• •	•	esponse below.
16. List any police departments locat Mt Holly Springs PD and State Polic	-	isterial district.	
17. List any major highways within th I-81	is magisterial distr	ict.	
18. List the current municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitte	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
Dickinson Township, Mt. Holly Sprir	ngs Borough, South I	Middleton Township	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities for the proposed municipalities of the proposed municip			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Ma	gisterial District Court Number:	09-3-04	Cour	ity:	Cumberla	nd		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish		2. Ef	fective date:	te: 7/1/2022		
Cas	eload Analysis							
2		Avg for Magisterial Distri	ct Avg	g for Ju	dicial District	Avg f	or Class of	County
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,974	В.	5	5,683	C.	4919	9
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differ	ence (3A - 3B)	Ranking Total 5th vout of 10 % Above/Below 21 % oposing to		
	magisterial district to your judicial d	listrict caseload ave	rage.			5th	r Class of County 4919 g Total out of 10 bove/Below 21 % ing to why	
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differ	ence (3A - 3C)	%/	Above/Beli	w
	magisterial district to your class of c	erence between the caseload average of this	1 %					
14/0	rkload Analysis							
000	TRIDau Analysis		Ava	for Mo	aisterial District	Ava	for Indicial	District
7.	Average total workloads:		B. Difference (3A - 3B) Ranking Total load average of this caseload average. 291 5th out of 10 load average of this caseload average. Difference (3A - 3C) % Above/Below load of the caseload range and you are proposing to e your response from the plan that explains why 21 % Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District Avg for Magisterial District Avg for Judicial District A. 51,195 8. 40,324 Difference (7A - 7B) % Above/Below					
8.	Compare the difference between the	e average total		Differen	ce (7A - 7B)	%	Above/Be	low
	workloads of this magisterial district	to the judicial distri	ict.	1	0,871		2	7 %
9.	judicial district average workload a district, please explain (<i>summarize</i> y unwarranted inequity among the jud	and you are propo our response from t lges. by the Pennsylvania st be filed in 09-1-03. B	osing to he plan ate polic) ree) why ce on l	stablish this this does no nterstate 81, r	s mag ot res route :	gisterial sult in a 581, and	n PA

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Kathryn H. Silcox Magisterial District Judge Name	Information:	1/4/26 Term Expiration Date	12/31/46 Mandatory Retirement D
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 5275 E. Trindle Road Suite 11			
12. Is this court within the boundari	es of the magisteria	l district?	Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the	e boundaries of the	magisterial district?	Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisteria	al district contiguou	s?	Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, a	are there any plann	ed developments	No/Not Sure
such as a mall, highway expansion increase in the case filings for the c		· ·	response below,
16. List any police departments loca Hampden and Silver Spring	ted within this mag	isterial district.	
17. List any major highways within t	his magisterial distr	ict.	
581, 81 and a small portion of the F	PA turnpike		
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitte Hampden Twp Silver Spring Twp	=	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities If NO , please list all proposed mu			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Mag	gisterial District Court Number:	09-3-05	Cour	nty:	Cumberla	nd		-
1.	Proposed plan for this magisterial di	strict: Reestablish	ı	2. Eff	ective date	: 7/1/	2022	
Case	eload Analysis					-3		
		Avg for Magisterial Distr	ict Av	g for Jud	icial District	Avg f	or Class of Co	ounty
3.	Average total caseloads:	A. 5,150	В.	5	,683	С.	4919	
4.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3B)	Rankii	ng	Total
	magisterial district to your judicial o	listrict caseload ave	rage.		-533	7th	 out of 	10
5.	Compare the difference between th	e caseload average	of this	Differe	nce (3A - 3C)	%	Above/Belov	v
	magisterial district to your class of c	ounty caseload ave	rage.		231		5	%
Woi	rkload Analysis							
7.	Average total workloads:				gisterial District		for Judicial D 40,32	
			A.		ce (7A - 7B)	B.	Above/Belo	
8.	Compare the difference between the workloads of this magisterial district	-			2,932			7%
9.	If this magisterial district's average v judicial district average workload a district, please explain (<i>summarize y</i> unwarranted inequity among the juc n/a	and you are properties our response from a	osing to	rees	tablish thi	s ma	gisterial	

10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ)	Information:			
Mark W. Martin		12/31/23	12/31/38	
Magisterial District Judge Name	Birthdate	Term Expiration Date	Mandatory Retiremen	t Do
11. Magisterial District Court Inform 507 North York Street Mecha				
12. Is this court within the boundari	ies of the magisteria	l district?	Yes	[
13. Is the MDJ's residence within th	Yes			
14. Are all portions of the magisteri	Yes	[
15. To the best of your knowledge,			Yes	
such as a mall, highway expansi increase in the case filings for the		•	schonco bolow	
new housing developments being t	-			
114, Gettysburg Pike	sum mougnour distric	, new commercial develo	prinents planned at SK	
16. List any police departments loca	ated within this mag	isterial district.		-
Upper Allen Township PD, Mechar	-		Newville Barracks	
17. List any major highways within t	his magisterial distr	ict.		-
U.S. 15, I-76, SR 114, SR 641 SR	-			
18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for for Realignment Orders submitt	-	strict (alphabetically).	For a list, click <u>HERE</u>	
Mechanicsburg Boro				
Monroe Twp Upper Allen Twp				
Opper Allert Twp				
19. Are the proposed municipalities			Yes	
19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities If NO , please list all proposed m			Yes	
			Yes	
If NO , please list all proposed m			Yes	

Cumberland County Magisterial District Reestablishment Report 2022-2031

Issued March 28, 2012

Introduction

In October 2021, Cumberland County was notified by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) of its requirement under 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 1503 to participate in the reestablishment of magisterial districts. This event occurs every ten years and is directly related to the Federal decennial census. Cumberland County has received from the AOPC a set of guidelines, case filing statistics and work load statistics for Criminal, Non-Traffic, Private Complaint, Traffic, Civil, Landlord/Tenant and Miscellaneous filings in the ten Magisterial District Courts in Cumberland County. The guidelines indicate that Cumberland County shall submit recommendations to the AOPC regarding the reestablishment of its District Courts no later than February 28, 2022.

The following report, along with required worksheets provided by the AOPC, will meet the requirements of the AOPC. The conclusion is that there are no recommendations to eliminate an existing district court and that Reestablishment should occur for all Magisterial District Courts. (Summary attached).

Report

Effective July 1, 2022

09-2-02 - All criminal and traffic cases generated by the Pennsylvania state police on Interstate 81 and the PA Turnpike that run through 09-2-02 shall be filed in 09-3-02. Based on workload calculations from 2019, this should bring this district within the 15% threshold.

09-2-01 - All criminal and traffic cases generated by the Pennsylvania state police on Interstate 81 and PA Turnpike that run through 09-2-01 shall be filed in 09-3-02. Based on workload calculations from 2019, this should keep this district within the 15% threshold, while also putting 09-3-02 within the 15% threshold.

09-3-02 - All criminal and traffic cases generated by the Pennsylvania state police on Interstate 81, Route 581, and PA Turnpike that runs through 09-2-02 and 09-2-01 shall be filed in 09-3-02. Based on workload calculations from 2019, this should bring this district within the 15% threshold.

09-1-03 - All criminal and traffic cases generated by the Pennsylvania state police on Interstate 81, Route 581, and PA Turnpike that runs through 09-3-04 shall be filed in 09-1-03. Based on workload calculations from 2019, this should bring this district within the 15% threshold. 09-3-04 - All criminal and traffic cases generated by the Pennsylvania state police on Interstate 81, Route 581, and PA Turnpike that runs through 09-3-04 shall be filed in 09-1-03. Based on workload calculations from 2019, this should bring this district within the 15% threshold.

Guidelines

For the current reestablishment project, the AOPC has pre-determined the standards by which counties are to review its data and make its recommendations. These standards are all outlined in the document provided by the AOPC, *Magisterial District Reestablishment Guidelines*.

Public Comment

Guidelines from the AOPC indicate that the draft of the Magisterial District Reestablishment Report by provided to the public for a period of 30 days and that comments from the public are to be included in the final report. A copy of this report will be posted on the Cumberland County website (<u>http://www.ccpa.net</u>) on the main page under "News & Announcements." Copies of this report will also be forwarded to local media outlets.

Comments to the report and media inquiries regarding Cumberland County specific aspects of this report may be directed to:

Melissa Calvanelli, District Court Administrator 1 Courthouse Square, Room 301 Carlisle, PA 17013

Or

mcalvanelli@ccpa.net (please reference "2022 MDJ Reestablishment" in the subject line)

Media inquiries regarding the state-wide process may be directed to Stacey Witalec, 717-877-2997 (Stacey.Witalec@pacourts.us).

Kathy Sauter

From:Theresa Valley Times Star < theresa.vtstar@gmail.com>Sent:Saturday, February 5, 2022 1:03 PMTo:Calvanelli, MelissaSubject:2022 MDJ Reestablishment

*** IMTO Security Warning ***

The following is an external email. Please exercise caution before viewing attachments, clicking links or responding.

Feb. 5, 2022

Dear Ms. Calvanelli,

I saw in the newspaper about the reestablishment of the MDJ districts. I see they are to remain exactly as they are now. I would like to make a comment for the record.

It seems to me after reviewing the 22 page document that two districts have a much greater workload than most. Justification for this and to say it will get into the recommended 15% threshold is that traffic cases will be handled by a different court lessening their workload.

I think that logic is faulty as their caseload number listed to determine their caseload work already includes the implementation of that plan but remains higher than the rest.

Since this has already been in place as a solution to justify the discrepancies in greater than 15% variance, it seems to me actual numbers should be given to show this solution does indeed balance the workload and not be speculative.

You know whether your solution to bring them back within the 15% threshold works and your explantion on the document should give concrete numbers to substantiate this claim. If you didn't have the data, would be one thing. You should have the data and for disclosure that should be listed.

The information on the paperwork should be helpful to the Public and the reviewers. Providing such concrete data would be helpful to me.

Thank you for posting my comments in the public record.

Theresa Myers Upper Mifflin Township Cumberland County, Pennsylvania