IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

No. 4 JD 2020

Judge Mark V. Tranquilli Court of Common Pleas 5th Judicial District Allegheny County

RESPONDENT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY AND REPORT OF SHAUN L. GABBIDON, Ph.D. OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR A FRYE HEARING

AND NOW comes the above-captioned Respondent, through his undersigned counsel of record, pursuant to the Court of Judicial Discipline Rules of Procedure and this Honorable Court's Order of September 21, 2020, to respectfully submit this motion in limine to exclude the proffered testimony and/or report of Shaun L. Gabbidon, Ph.D. or alternatively for a *Frye* hearing, whereof the following is a statement:

- On September 10, 2020, the Judicial Conduct Board ("JCB" or "Board") 1. through its lawyer provided Respondent's counsel with a report of interview of Shaun L. Gabbidon, Ph.D. (A redacted copy of said report of interview is attached as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein.)
- 2. Professor Gabbidon obtained a doctoral degree in criminology from Indiana University of Pennsylvania in May 1996 and he currently serves as the Distinguished Professor of Criminal Justice at Penn State Harrisburg.
- 3. The Board seeks to call Professor Gabbidon as an expert witness to opine that "the manner in which Judge Tranquilli is accused of using the term Aunt Jemima and of his

speaking in Ebonics would 'definitely' be viewed as 'racist attitudes' that, in his opinion would 'manifest itself during court proceedings.'" Report of Interview of Professor Gabbidon at p. 2.

- 4. Further, the Board seeks to have Professor Gabbidon opine that "if a [b]lack person knew about this type of language by a [J]udge they would not want that judge to preside over his/her case, nor would their attorney, because it's just one more obstacle to overcome in their defense." Id.
- 5. Professor Gabbidon's opinions and observations, beyond being inconsistent with the record evidence in this case and reality (i.e. Professor Gabbidon claims that the term Ebonics was "first documented in a book" sometime "in the 1960's or 1970's" when in truth the term was created in 1973 by a group of scholars who disliked the negative connotations of other terms used previously by linguists), are inadmissible as expert testimony in this case.
- 6. Our Commonwealth follows the well-established rule that an expert witness cannot offer an opinion as to guilt or innocence. *See e.g., Commonwealth v. Alicia*, 92 A.3d 753, 763 (Pa. 2014); *citing United States v. Benally*, 541 F.3d 990 (10th Cir. 2008). Professor Gabbidon's opinions fall within this kind of expert opinion testimony that is strictly prohibited.

¹ Notably, with respect to the specific allegations leveled against Judge Tranquilli, neither the subject defense lawyer nor the prosecutor in the *Rice* matter raised any claim of racial bias during a hearing on the defense motion to recuse that was filed *after* the discussion that is the subject of the operative Board Complaint. Moreover, with respect to the *Patterson* matter, both litigants and their attorneys returned to Judge Tranquilli on multiple occasions for custody conciliations and at no time did either (or both) litigants (through counsel or otherwise) seek Judge Tranquilli recusal for any reason.

- 7. Moreover, as an expert witness, Professor Gabbidon's testimony "must be supported by reference to facts, testimony or empirical data." *Downey v. Crozer-Chester Medical Center*, 817 A.2d 517, 528 (Pa. Super. 2003). The clear and uncontroverted empirical data of Judge Tranquilli's sentencing statistics from the Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing are not addressed by Professor Gabbidon and this clear, scientific data belies Professor Gabbidon's observations. (A true and correct copy of Judge Tranquilli's sentencing statistics from the Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing for the most recent full calendar year available are attached as "Exhibit B" and incorporated herein.)
- 8. Similarly, Professor Gabbidon's testimony must be "based on more than mere personal belief." *Commonwealth v. Stringer*, 678 A.2d 1200, 1202 (Pa. Super. 1996).
- 9. Put another way, "[t]he minimal threshold that expert testimony must meet to qualify as an expert opinion rather than merely an opinion expressed by an expert, is this: the proffered expert testimony must point to, rely on or cite some scientific authority..." Snizavich v. Rohm and Haas Company, 83 A.3d 191, 195 (Pa. Super. 2013).
- 10. Professor Gabbidon's opinions simply do not meet the threshold test for admissibility as expert testimony and his testimony should therefore be disallowed.
- 11. Alternatively, as Respondent has advanced and will advance articulable grounds which demonstrate that Professor Gabbidon has not applied an accepted scientific methodology in reaching his opinions, this Honorable Court should permit a *Frye* hearing with respect to Professor Gabbidon's proffered testimony. *See Betz v. Pneumo Abex, LLC*, 44 A.3d 27, 53 (Pa. 2012).

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, Respondent respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant this Motion in Limine excluding the testimony of Professor Shaun Gabbidon or alternatively schedule a *Frye* hearing with respect to the admissibility of Professor Gabbidon's expert testimony.

Respectfully submitted,

QUINN LOGUE LLC

By:

Date: September 28, 2020

John E. Quinn, Esquire

Pa. ID No. 23268

Matthew T. Logue, Esquire

Pa. ID No. 87416

200 First Avenue, Third Floor

Pittsburgh, PA 15222-1512

(412) 765-3800

Counsel for Respondent

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

11/	KŁ	:					
		т	1	7	<i>f</i> 1	* 7	7

No. 4 JD 2020

Judge Mark V. Tranquilli Court of Common Pleas 5th Judicial District Allegheny County

[PROPOSED] ORDER

AND NOW, on this day of October, 2020, upon consideration of
Respondent's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony and Report of Shaun L. Gabbidon,
Ph.D., responses thereto and argument thereon, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and
DECREED that said motion is GRANTED and said expert testimony and/or expert report
may not be offered or admitted into evidence in this matter.
BY THE COURT:

DI IIII COCKI.		
<u> </u>	 	,J
Conference Judge		
0 0		

JUDICIAL CONDUCT BOARD

REPORT OF INTERVIEW

Interview of:

Shaun L. Gabbidon, Ph.D.

JCB File:

4 JD 2020/2020-041/2020-054/2020-066

Interviewed by:

Tammie L. Kelley, Investigator

Interview Date/Time:

September 10, 2020 @ 10 a.m.

Other Parties Present:

N/A

Shaun L. GABBIDON, Ph.D., Fellow, Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences, Distinguished Professor of Criminal Justice, Penn State Harrisburg, 777 W. Harrisburg Pike, Middletown, PA 17057, cell phone work phone 717-948-6054, fax 717-948-6320, email: slg13@psu.edu.

GABBIDON was interviewed as a potential Expert Witness in the above captioned Judicial Conduct Board (JCB) case relating to allegations of misconduct by Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas (CCP) Judge Mark V. TRANQUILLI. His curriculum vitae is attached outlining his credentials.

GABBIDON was advised of the identity of Investigator Tammie KELLEY, and of the confidential nature and purpose of the interview. GABBIDON thereafter provided the following information relating to the above referenced case:

TELEPHONICALLY

GABBIDON advised prior to the interview he read the complaint and other materials associated with the JCB's case against Judge TRANQUILLI. He commented, "These stereotypical views are traditional in the court systems". Per GABBIDON, referring to a black female as Aunt Jemima implies a "historically negative image of black women". Aunt Jemima was "long suffering", portrayed as "stupid", and worked for, and "was subservient" to, white people. GABBIDON wondered how Judge TRANQUILLI would have described a white juror.

According to GABBIDON, in the 1960's or 1970's, the term "Ebonics" was coined and first documented in a book. It was a term used to describe how black people talk, "their linguistic approach to things". Some look at it as "stereotypical", so to "mock it" would indicate you have prejudicial views. GABBIDON said, "You can't speak Ebonics without mocking, and the way he (Judge TRANQUILLI) used it had a negative connotation to it".

REDACTED



PAGE 2 INTERVIEW OF SHAUN L. GABBIDON, PH.D.

GABBIDON advised the use of the term Aunt Jemima, and of Ebonics, by anyone leads to the presumption that person has a prejudicial view of another, or group of people. "A definite negative connotation". The manner in which Judge TRANQUILLI is accused of using the term Aunt Jemima and of his speaking in Ebonics would "definitely" be viewed as "racist attitudes" that, in his opinion would "manifest itself during court proceedings". GABBIDON feels that if a black person knew about this type of language by a Judge they would not want that judge to preside over his/her case, nor would their attorney, because it's just one more obstacle to overcome in their defense. They wouldn't feel they could get a fair trial. GABBIDON further advised these types of things being said in the past by a judge would lead black defendants to believe they weren't going to be judged fairly. There has been an underlying tone within the court systems for a long time of prejudice against blacks, they've "been fighting sometime within the court system, as well as on the black community (see attached curriculum vitae).

END OF INTERVIEW TLK/tik

PA Commission on Sentencing

Table 7. Summary of Sentences Imposed by Race and Offense Type: Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, 2018 for Judge Tranquilli only

Unit of Analysis: All offenses
Tracking Number: 2020_02_001



				Stat	e Prison		SIF			Cour	nty Jail			CIP			Proba	tion	Other	r RS
Race	Offense Type	Total Number	Num	%	Mean Min	Mean Max	Num	%	Num	%	Mean Min	Mean Max	Num	%	Length of RIP	Num	%	Length of Probation	Num	%
BLACK	Assault-Agg By Veh while DUI	1	1	100	24.5	72.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-
	Assault-Agg F1 (SBI)	8	5	63	31.6	63.2	-	-	1	13	2.5	7.0	_	-	-	-	~	_	2	25
	Assault-Agg F2 (BI)	5	2	40	42.0	84.0	-	-	-	-	~	~	-	-		3	60	36.0	-	-
	Assault-Reck Endanger	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	~	~	-	-	-		6	43	15,5	8	57
	Assault-Simple	45	2	4	8.5	17.0	-	-	4	9	4.5	9.0	1	2	12.0	33	73	16.2	5	11
	Assault-Strangulation	1	1	100	24.0	48.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	÷	e_	-		_
	Assault-Terr Threat	4	2	50	12.0	24.0	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	2	50	18.0	-	
	Bad Checks	2	-	**	-	-		-		-	us.	-	-	-	-	2	100	30.0	-	
	Burg-F1/House-Person	1	1	100	24.0	60.0	-	-	~	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Burg-F1/No House-Person	1		**	-	*	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	1	100	36.0	-	
	Burglary-F2	1	1	100	12.0	24.0	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	*	**		-	
	Crim Mischief-F3	4	~	*		-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1	25	12.0	3	75
	Crim Trespass-F3	1		*		-		-	-		*	ú.	~	-	-	1	100	24.0	-	J
	DUI-M	10		-	~	•	-	-	3	30	0.2	0.3	4	40	0.2	3	30	6.0	-	-
	DUI-M1	2	1	50	3.0	5.9		-	*	-	**	-	1	50	8.8	**		-		-
	DUI-M1 Minor Occupant	1			-	~		_	-	ū	-		1	100	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
	Drug-Felony	42	17	40	16.1	32.2	-	-	5	12	5.8	11.8	2	5	16.0	16	38	26.3	2	5
	Drug-Misd	44	1	2	3.0	6.0		-	3	7	3.4	6.8	-	*	-	23	52	17.6	17	39
	Escape-Felony	6	w	*			_	-	4	67	9.5	19.0	-	-	~	2	33	24.0	-	-
	Escape-Misd	1	-	-	-	~	-	-	1	100	3.0	6.0	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	~
	Forgery-F3	3		*	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	*	*	-	-	2	67	18.0	1	33
	Forgery-M1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	*		-	-	2	67	30.0	1	33
	Identity Theft	2	-	-	-	-		-	-		~	~	_	-	-	2	100	30.0	-	-
	Indecent Assault	5	2	40	18.0	36.0		-	-	-	-	**				2	40	18.0	1	20
	Indecent Assault-Agg	2	1	50	3.0	6.0	-	-	-		-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	50
	Invol Dev Sex Inter	6	4	67	105.0	210.0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	•		-	2	33
	Other Felony	19	6	32	25.7	51.3	~	-	1	5	9.0	18.0	~	-	**	6	32	34.0	, 6	32
	Other Misdemeanor	60		-	~~	-		-	3	5	4.6	9.3	*	~	-	40	67	17.0	17	28
	Other Misdemeanor 1	11	2	18	12.0	24.0	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	*	8	73	18.8	1	9
	Rape	6	6	100	72.0	144.0	-	-	~	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	ū	-	٠	-
	Robbery-F1 (SBI)	11	6	55	28.0	56.0	-	-	-	*	-	~		*	*	5	45	21.6		~
	Robbery-F2	4	*	~	~	~	-	_	2	50	15.2	42.0	-	-	~	2	50	24.0	~	-
	Sexual Assault	2	1	50	36.0	72.0	-	-	~		-	*	-	-	100	***		-	1	50
	Stalking/Harrassment	13	-	-	-	~		-	1	8	2.6	5.2	*	-	en/	11	85	11.5	1	8
	Theft-Felony	11	2	18	6.0	12.0	-	-	3	27	8.0	16.7				5	45	34.8	1	9
	Theft-Misd	20	-	-	-	-	-		-	*	-			**	-	18	90	25.1	2	10
	Theft-Retail Fel	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-		-	-	1	50	12.0	1	50
	Theft-Retail Misd	2	-		-	~	-	-	-	*			in.	~	*	2	100	36.0	-	-
	VUFA-Felony	32	15	47	30.8	61.6		-	3	9	5.0	10.0	1	3	9.0	9	28	44.0	4	13
	VUFA-Misd	12			-	_	-	-	1	8	1.9	3.9		-	~	9	75	30.0	2	17

Based on information reported on paper and electronic Guideline Sentence Forms to the Commission on Sentencing; therefore the Commission warrants neither the accuracy nor completeness of the data herein provided.

^{**}For 2018, using Annual Report Datafile

PA Commission on Sentencing

Table 7. Summary of Sentences Imposed by Race and Offense Type: Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, 2018 for Judge Tranquilli only Unit of Analysis: All offenses

Tracking Number: 2020_02_001

				Stat	e Prison		SIF	•		Cou	nty Jail			CIP			Proba	tion	Othe	rRS
Race	Offense Type	Total Number	Num	%	Mean Min	Mean Max	Num	%	Num	%	Mean Min	Mean Max	Num	%	Length of RIP	Num	%	Length of Probation	Num	%
OTHER	Assault-Simple	2	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	~	-	-	2	100	24.0	_	~
	DUI-M	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100	0.1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Forgery-F2	1	-	-		-	-	-	~		-	-			-	1	100	120.0		
	Other Felony	1		-		-		-	-	~	-		-	-	-	1	100	84.0		-
	Other Misdemeanor	2	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	~	4	-	*	1	50	12.0	1	50
	Other Misdemeanor 1	5	-	-	-	-		_	-		~			-		3	60	6.0	2	40
OTHER	Subtotal	12	-		-	-		-	1	8	0.1	0.3	-		-	8	67	35,3	3	25
WHITE	Assault-Agg F1 (SBI)	3			-		*	-	*		-	-	-	#*************************************	-	1	33	12.0	2	67
	Assault-Agg F2 (BI)	6	-	***	-	-	*	-	1	17	3.8	7.6		-	-	1	17	12.0	4	67
	Assault-Reck Endanger	8	-	-	-	-	*	-	**		-	-	ya.		-	4	50	21.0	4	50
	Assault-Simple	44	2	5	3.0	6.0	-	~	2	5	6.0	12.1	1	2	6.0	35	80	15.6	4	9
	Assault-Terr Threat	8	-	-	-	•	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	4	50	16.5	4	50
	Burg-F1/House-No Person	4	1	25	24.0	48.0		-	1	25	4.0	8.0	-	-	AND ,	2	50	42.0	-	_
	Burglary-F2	1	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-		_	1	100	18.0	-	-	-	-	_
	Corrupting Minor	1		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100	60.0	-	-
	Crim Trespass-F2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-		-	-	-	1	100
	Crim Trespass-F3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	100	24.0	*	-
	DUI-M	26	1	4	0.1	0.2	-	-	10	38	0.4	0.7	10	38	1.8	5	19	6.0	+	-
	DUI-M1	15	2	13	13.0	26.0		-	-	-	-	*	13	87	6.7			-	-	-
	DUI-M2	1	**				-	-	-	-	_	-	1	100	0.3	-	-	-	-	-
	Drug-Felony	15	1	7	12.0	24.0	-	-	2	13	2.0	4.0	1	7	12.0	11	73	22.9	*	-
	Drug-Misd	39	-		-	-		-	2	5	1.6	3.2	_	~		24	62	12.2	13	33
	Escape-Felony	1	1	100	12.0	24.0	-	~	-	-	*	-	-		-		-	-	-	_
	Escape-Misd	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**	_		*	~	1	100	12.0	,**	-
	Forgery-M1	1	-	~	-	-	-	-	~		**	-	~	*	-	1	100	48.0	-	-
	Homicide-Inchoate-with S.B.I.	2	-	*	*	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
	Indecent Assault	5	-	~			-	-	2	40	3.0	6.0	-		-	3	60	60.0	-	-
	Invol Dev Sex Inter	1	~	-	-	-	-	-	**	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100	24.0	-	-
	Other Felony	28	2	7	37.5	75.0	-	-	1	4	4.0	8.0	~	Ψ,	¹ , <u>-</u>	12	43	22.5	13	46
	Other Misdemeanor	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	3.6	7.3	1	2	6.0	33	65	13.0	15	29
	Other Misdemeanor 1	8	*	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	13	9,0	5	63	40.8	2	25
	Robbery-F3 (w/force)	1	***	-	w	-	-	-	1	100	6.0	12.0		-	~	-	-	-	*	-
	Sex. Abuse /Children-Photos	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	17	3.0	6.0	-	-	-	5	83	32.4	*	-
	Stalking/Harrassment	8	~	-	w	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	88	9.6	1	13
	Theft-Felony	17	4	24	15.0	30.0	*	-	-	-	-	-	1	6	12.0	8	47	45.3	4	24
	Theft-Misd	40	*	er.		-	-	-	4	10	6.7	13.4		**	**	30	75	21.0	6	15
	VUFA-Felony	3	1	33	18.0	36.0	-	_	1	33	12.0	23.9	~	*	-	1	33	24.0	٠.	-
	VUFA-Misd	1	÷	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	~		-	1	100	12.0	-	-
WHITE	Subtotal	352	15	4	15.5	31.1			30	9	3.2	6.4	30	9	5.6	202	57	19.8	75	21
Total		784	94	12	28.0	56.3	-	-	66	8	4.5	9.3	40	5	5.8	427	54	21.0	157	20

Based on information reported on paper and electronic Guideline Sentence Forms to the Commission on Sentencing; therefore the Commission warrants neither the accuracy nor completeness of the data herein provided.

^{**}For 2018, using Annual Report Datafile

PA Commission on Sentencing

Table 18. Conformity to the Guidelines by Race and Offense Type, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, 2018* for Judge Tranquilli only
Unit of Analysis: All offenses

Tracking Number: 2020_02_001

i	Race and Offense Type	Total		Conformity to the Guidelines (%)								
		Number of Sentences	Within: Standard	Within: Aggravated	Within, Mitigated	Outside Above	Outside Below					
ACK	Assault-Agg By Veh while DUI	1	100		•		~					
	Assault-Agg F1 (SBI)	8	*	25	-	*	75					
	Assault-Agg F2 (BI)	5	•		80	20	-					
	Assault-Reck Endanger	14	71				29					
	Assault-Simple	45	82		9	*	9					
	Assault-Strangulation	1			100	*	*					
	Assault-Terr Threat	4	100	*	*	*	-					
	Bad Checks	2	100	w		-						
	Burg-F1/House-Person	1	100	· vision - v	A	*						
	Burg-F1/No House-Person	1		-	-	All allegan per a contra la plaza confidencia	100					
	Burglary-F2	1	100	mana manana a sa	-	Vicinities, and Artifact Control of the Artifact Contr						
	Crim Mischief-F3	4	50	*	25		25					
	Crim Trespass-F3	1	100	en			-					
	DUHM	10	80	20	y or other residence performance	in management in the paper						
	DUI-M1	2	50		•		50					
	DUI-M1 Minor Occupant	1	100				9-1-1 market 19-1					
	Drug-Felony	42	29		48	2	21					
	Drug-Misd	44	64		27		9					
	Escape-Felony	6			17	-	83					
	Escape-Misd	1	v 64 i i i i i	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100							
	Forgery-F3	3	33	***	•	-	67					
	Forgery-M1	3	100				as the consession of the					
	identity Theft	2	****		100	*						
	Indecent Assault	5	40		40	20	der seine merer his er hebende					
	Indecent Assault-Agg	2				*	100					
	invol Dev Sex Inter	6	***************************************	67		erang ye mer in ye i	33					
	Other Felony	19	16		42	11	32					
	Other Misdemeanor	60	83	3	12	*** ** **	2					
	Other Misdemeanor 1	11	100	Market Statement Vision		-	an i makkan milinari i minin ya					
	Rape	6	100	-	-							
	Robbery-F1 (SBI)	11	9		18		73					
	Robbery-F2	4	25		50	25						
	Sexual Assault	2	50				50					
	Stalking/Harrassment	13	92	8								
	Theft-Felony	11	18	-	45	aprinter and accept	36					
	Thert-Misd	20	65		5		30					
	Treft-Retail Fel	2	50	2 m Ma 1 m m 1 m m	ma a year period		50					
	Theft-Retail Misd	2	entre con our op om o		100							
	VUFA-Felony	32	9	3	56		31					
	VUFA-Misd	12	75				25					
	Subtotal	420	54	3	22	1	19					

Based on information reported on paper and electronic Guideline Sentence Forms to the Commission on Sentencing; therefore the Commission warrants neither the accuracy nor completeness of the data herein provided.

^{**}For 2018, using Annual Report Datafile

PA Commission on Sentencing
Table 18. Conformity to the Guidelines by Race and Offense Type, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, 2018*
for Judge Tranquilli only
Unit of Analysis: All offenses

Tracking Number: 2020_02_001

	Race and Offense Type	Total	Conformity to the Guidelines Total							
		Number of Sentences	Within Standard	Within Aggravated	Within: Mitigated	Outside Above	Outside: Below			
THER		-								
	Assault-Simple	2	100			-				
	DUI-M	1	*	100	*		-			
	Forgery-F2	1	100	*	-	*				
	Other Felony	1	100	igang tapan tantan ang ang	e o otoromous on	As of allegan tops about	emperatura de la como en el			
	Other Misdemeanor	2	100	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*				
	Other Misdemeanor 1	5	100		-					
	Subtotal	12	92	8	* ***	*	,			
VHITE										
	Assault-Agg F1 (SBI)	3	-		-		100			
	Assault-Agg F2 (BI)	6			83	-	17			
	Assault-Reck Endanger	8	88		-		10			
	Assault-Simple	44	91	·	9					
	Assault-Terr Threat	8	100		-	*	enne de la Contra de			
	Burg-F1/House-No Person	4	25		50		25			
	Burglary-F2	1	100		•	_				
	Corrupting Minor	1	100	*	*	*				
	Crim Trespass-F2	1	100	+			Marin an admini			
	Crim Trespass-F3	6	100							
	DUI-M	26	50	46	*	4				
	DUI-M1	15	87	-	7	-	appear to the control			
	DUI-M2	1	100		*					
	Drug-Felony	15	67		33	*				
	Drug-Misd	39	85	*	8	-	1			
	Escape-Felony	1	100	in the second consists of the second	*****************************					
	Escape-Misd	1	100	. Construction of the consequence of the consequenc	we.					
	Forgery-M1	1	100	-	•	-				
	Homicide-Inchcate-with S.B.I.	2	-	-			100			
	Indecent Assault /	5	80	-	20					
	invol Dev Sex Inter	1	-	-	*		10			
	Other Felony	28	7	-	18	4	7			
	Other Misdemeanor	51	88	2	10	*				
	Other Misdemeanor 1	8	75		13		1			
	Robbery-F3 (w/force)	1	**************************************	-	100					
	Sex Abuse /Children-Photos	6	*		100					
	Stalking/Harrassment	8	100	-	*	-				
	Theft-Felony	17	18	W. W. W. W. L. W.	47	C AT IN THE STREET	35			
	Theft-Misd	40	90	5	5					
	VUFA-Felony	3	33		67					
	VUFA-Misd	1	100	-		-				
	Subtotal	352	69	4	14	<1	1			
otal		784	62	4	18	1	1			

Based on information reported on paper and electronic Guideline Sentence Forms to the Commission on Sentencing; therefore the Commission warrants neither the accuracy nor completeness of the data herein provided. **For 2018, using Annual Report Datafile

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

Judge Mark V. Tranquilli Court of Common Pleas 5th Judicial District Allegheny County No. 4 JD 2020

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The undersigned certifies that this filing complies with the provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

Submitted by:

Matthew T. Logue, Esquire

Pa. ID No. 87416

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

Judge Mark V. Tranquilli Court of Common Pleas 5th Judicial District Allegheny County No. 4JD 2020

PROOF OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rule 122 of the Court of Judicial Discipline Rules of Procedure, the undersigned certifies that on the below date a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading in the above-captioned matter was served upon the following attorneys of record to the parties in this proceeding by USPS First-Class Mail and electronic mail.

James P. Kleman, Jr., Esquire
Deputy Counsel
Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania
Pennsylvania Judicial Center
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 3500
P.O. Box 62525
Harrisburg, PA 17106
james.klemanjr@jcbpa.org

Date: September 28, 2020

Matthew T. Logue, Esquire

Counsel for Respondent