Filed 11/5/2020 6:24:00 AM Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania 1094 CD 2020

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1094 C.D. 2020

In re: Canvassing Observation

BRIEF OF APPELLANT, Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.

Linda A. Kerns (PA #84495) Law Offices of Linda A. Kerns, LLC 1420 Locust Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19102 *linda@lindakernslaw.com*

Ronald L. Hicks, Jr. (PA #49520) Carolyn B. McGee (PA #208815) Six PPG Place, Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 rhicks@porterwright.com cmcgee@porterwright.com

Counsel for Appellant Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION1
ORDER OR OTHER DETERMINATION IN QUESTION2
STATEMENT OF SCOPE AND STANDARD OF REVIEW
STATEMENT OF THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED
STATEMENT OF THE CASE
I. FORM OF ACTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY6
II. PRIOR DETERMINATIONS7
III. NAME OF THE JUDGE WHOSE DETERMINATION IS TO BE REVIEWED
IV. CHRONOLOGICAL STATEMENT OF FACTS7
A. The Parties7
B. The Facts9
V. STATEMENT OF THE ORDER UNDER REVIEW15
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT
ARGUMENT
CONCLUSION Error! Bookmark not defined.23
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD LIMITATION
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE
APPENDIX

TABLE OF CITATIONS

Cases
Banfield v. Cortés, 110 A.3d 155 (Pa. 2015)4
In re Canvass of Absentee Ballots of November 4, 2003, 839 A.2d 451 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2003) (en banc), rev'd on other grounds, 843 A.2d 1223 (Pa. 2004)
In re General Election-1985, 531 A.2d 836 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 1987)
N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964)17
Pa. Dem. Party v. Boockvar, 133 MM 2020, 2020 Pa. LEXIS 4872, 2020 WL 5554644, A.3d (Pa. Sept. 27, 2020)
PG Publishing Co. v. Aichele, 705 F.3d 91 (3d Cir. 2013)
Rowland v. Smith, 83 Pa. D. & C. 99 (Pa. Ct. Com. Pl. Dauphin 1952)
Sch. Dist. of Philadelphia v. Dep't of Educ., 92 A.3d 746 (Pa. 2014)
<i>Tiryak v. Jordan</i> , 472 F. Supp. 822 (E.D. Pa. 1979)
Statutes
25 P.S. § 2602(a)
25 P.S. § 2650
25 P.S. § 2701

25 P.S. § 3045	
25 P.S. § 3146.8(b)	5
25 P.S. § 3146.8(g)(2)	8
25 P.S. § 3153(a)	14
42 Pa. C.S. § 762(a)(4)(i)(C)	1
Rules	
Pa. R.A.P. 2111(b)	5

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction to review the November 3, 2020 Order of the Court of Common Pleas for Philadelphia County pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S. § 762(a)(4)(i)(C), which grants the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania exclusive jurisdiction over appeals from final orders of the Court of Common Pleas in matters involving statutes relating to elections or other election procedures.

ORDER OR OTHER DETERMINATION IN QUESTION

AND NOW, this 3rd day of November, 2020, in connection with the matter of: petition by Donald J. Trump for President Inc. to allow closer observation of canvassing of ballots, upon consideration of the Oral Petition and Argument and any responses thereto [and] testimony and evidence presented by the witnesses and Argument, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and DECREED that:

The oral motion to allow closer observation of the canvassing of ballots is DENIED for the following reasons:

The Petitioner's witness provided copious testimony as to his ability to observe the opening and sorting of ballots. His concerns pertained to his inability to observe the writing on the outside of the ballots. Given that observers are directed only to observe and not to audit ballots, we conclude, based on the witness's testimony, that the Board of Elections has complied with the observation requirements under 25 P.S. 3146.8. We, however, would not discourage the Board from considering the implementation of arrangements to allow for an additional corridor for observation along the side of the canvassing tables if feasible – subject to spatial distancing under COVID-19 and voting privacy requirements.

STATEMENT OF SCOPE AND STANDARD OF REVIEW

This appeal "requires this Court to engage in statutory interpretation of the Election Code, which, as a question of law, is subject to a de novo standard of review and a plenary scope of review." *Banfield v. Cortés*, 110 A.3d 155, 166 (Pa. 2015) (citing Sch. Dist. of *Philadelphia v. Dep't of Educ.*, 92 A.3d 746, 751 (Pa. 2014)).

STATEMENT OF THE QUESTION INVOLVED

Does the Pennsylvania Election Code require, under 25 P.S. § 2650 (b); 25 P.S. §3146.8(b) and 25 P.S. §3146.8(g)(2), require the Philadelphia County Board of Elections to provide candidates and their watchers or representatives the opportunity to have meaningful access such that they can observe computation and canvassing of returns and canvassing of official absentee ballots and mail-in ballots?

Answer of the Court of Common Pleas: No

Suggested Answer:

Yes

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I. FORM OF ACTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

This is an appeal from the November 3, 2020 Order of the Court of Common Pleas for Philadelphia County denying Appellant's Oral Petition and Argument for appropriate access to the canvass of ballots such that Campaign's watchers and representatives can meaningfully observe the process. In accordance with Pa. R.A.P. 2111(b), a copy of the November 3, 2020 Order is appended hereto in Appendix A ("App. A"). The Trial Court did not issue an Opinion.

Appellant-Plaintiff, Donald J. Trump for President, Inc, initiated this action on November 3, 2020 with an Oral Petition and Argument at Philadelphia Election Court, a courtroom set up in Philadelphia County, and staffed from the opening until the close of the polls with an assigned judge and staff so that candidates, voters and other interested parties can have issues regarding Election Day matters timely heard. The court heard argument from the Appellant as well as the City of Philadelphia and the PA Dems, a political body. The trial court held an in-person hearing while allowing the one witness presented to testify virtually by Zoom. A short while after the proceeding, the trial court issued an order, denying the requested relief. The trial court did not issue an opinion. Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal to Commonwealth Court on November 4, 2020.

II. <u>PRIOR DETERMINATIONS.</u>

The only prior determination in this matter for which Appellant is seeking this Court's review is the November 3, 2020 Order denying the Oral Motion. *See* App. A.

III. <u>NAME OF THE JUDGE WHOSE DETERMINATION IS TO</u> <u>BE REVIEWED.</u>

The Honorable Stella Tsai of the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas issued the November 3, 2020 Order sought to be reviewed.

IV. <u>STATEMENT OF FACTS.</u>

A. The Parties.

In Election Court on November 3, 2020, three parties participated in this matter: Appellant, Donald J. Trump for President, Inc. (hereinafter "Campaign"), the City of Philadelphia and Board of Elections and PA Dems.

The Campaign is the principal committee for the reelection campaign of Donald J. Trump, the 45th President of the United States of America (hereinafter, "President Trump"). President Trump is the Republican candidate for the office of the President of the United States of America in the November 3, 2020 General Election. The Campaign brought this action for itself and on behalf of its candidate, President Trump. President Trump is a "candidate" as that term is defined in Election Code Section 102(a), 25 P.S. § 2602(a). See Rowland v. Smith, 83 Pa. D. & C. 99, 101-2 (Pa. Ct. Com. Pl. Dauphin 1952) ("candidate" under the Election Code includes one who is a candidate for nomination for President of the United States). The Campaign is a "political body" as that term is defined in 25 P.S. § 1102. See In re Canvass of Absentee Ballots of November 4, 2003, 839 A.2d 451, 457 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2003) (en banc) (Under Pennsylvania's Election Code, the status given to political bodies grants them standing regarding watchers "including the right to be present when envelopes containing the official absentee ballots are opened, counted and recorded."), rev'd on other grounds, 843 A.2d 1223 (Pa. 2004); In re General Election-1985, 531 A.2d 836, 838 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 1987) (panel decision) (a candidate for office in the election at issue suffers a direct and substantial harm sufficient for standing to contest the manner in which an election will be conducted).¹

¹ In accordance with Pa. IOP Cmwlth. Ct. 414(c), because the cited decision in *In re Canvass of Absentee Ballots of November 4, 2003* was issued by this Court en banc, it is binding precedent. The *In re General Election-1985* decision is similarly

Appellee, the City of Philadelphia and Board of Elections, has responsibility for elections in Philadelphia County and one of its principal places of business is located at Philadelphia City Hall, Room 142, 1400 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19107.

Appellee, PA Dems², is a "political body" as that term is defined in 25 P.S. § 1102. Appellee PA Dems claimed it was "not really taking a position on this motion at all" but presented arguments against those made by the Campaign. (N.T. 13:11-14:21).

B. The Facts

On November 3, 2020, Watchers and Representatives appeared at the Philadelphia Convention Center, on behalf of the Campaign, other Republican Candidates on the ballot, and the Republican National Committee, at approximately 7:00 am to observe the Pre-Canvass of the absentee and mail-in ballots receive by the Board prior to the finalization of the poll books. (N.T. 20: 22-23, 21:4-6)³. During the

binding as it was issued by a three-Judge panel of this Court. See Pa. IOP Cmwlth. Ct. 414(c) ("A reported opinion of the Court en banc or panel may be cited as binding precedent.").

² Pa Dems is a nickname for the Pennsylvania Democratic Party. ³ Transcript attached as B.

hearing of the Trial Court, a witness ("Witness") who was a Representative of the Campaign testified by Zoom regarding the set-up inside the Philadelphia Convention Center.

At the beginning of the hearing, the Witness advised the Court that he would "be happy to turn the phone around if that would be easier or more descriptive so that you could see." (N.T. 22: 17-20). However, the City Solicitor objected to "any sort of video tour of the room in that fashion." (N.T. 23: 2-4). The Court noted that it would "rather just hear a description at this point...." (N.T. 23: 11-13). The Court asked the Witness to use "...feet, meters, whatever you want to use." (N.T. 23: 18-19). Accordingly, the hearing proceeded with the Witness only describing the facility and he did not use any video or photographic aids.

The room is a very large hall, divided into four discrete sections. (N.T. 21:25, 22:1-5). There is also a space for storage, sorting, processing and receiving ballots. (N.T. 22:1-4). There are many tables set up in a variety of ways, depending on the section. (N.T. 22:10-11). In the first section alone, there are about 35 tables. (N.T. 22:13-15).

He stated that "the closest we can get to the first table in each row is approximately...15 to 18 feet. The one row is more like from the [sic] 25 to 30 feet. And that's the first in each of those three rows of 15. So...then each table is set off further back from the other. I would say roughly 5, maybe 6 feet from each of them. So they just keep adding depth distance-wise." (N.T. 23:21-24:5). The Witness went on to describe that the closest table to him is about fifteen feet from him and the table that is the furthest away is about 105 feet away. (N.T. 22:15-A fence that is approximately waist high separates the 25:2). Representatives from the tables and the Election Board workers. (N.T. 25:5-10). As there are no floor coverings, the room is very loud with an echo so that Representatives cannot hear anything that is going on (N.T. 28:3-7). While the Witness utilized safety at the tables. precautions, including wearing a mask, using hand sanitizer and practicing social distancing he noted that the Board of Elections workers did not take the same measures, instead standing shoulder to shoulder and leaning over each other. (N.T. 26:10-12)

The Witness went on to describe the activities he was observing, including extraction which is where the ballot envelopes are fed through

a machine to slice them open and remove the material inside. (N.T. 28:12-21). Again, while the Witness was approximately 20 feet from the first desk, there were at least two other desks behind it, that were much further away. (N.T. 28:23-29:6). He specifically noted that "Pretty much we're only able to observe the...first desk in each of the rows. And we're able to essentially see from the first four rows, when they are slicing open the outside envelope, we're able to see them pull something And if you're watching closely, you can discern if it is what's out. referred to here as the "naked ballot," meaning not in a secrecy envelope, sometimes. We're not able to discern whether, if there is a secrecy envelope, whether the secrecy envelope has any markings on it because we're simply not close enough to be able to see that. The tables -- the desks that are further back, you're simply able to see people pulling things out of an envelope but not really able to discern what, if anything, is being pulled out." (N.T. 29:22-30:9).

The Witness also noted that he is "unable to see what is on the backs of the envelope." (N.T. 37:13-18). He is also unable to see whether or not a name or a date is missing or wrong and he is unable to ensure that the declaration on the envelope "had been **completed** as we understand the statute to require it to be completed." (N.T. 37:22-38:11). He also cannot tell if the secrecy envelope has markings on it in violation of the Election Code. (N.T. 38:16-22).

The Witness went onto explain that a worker then transports the ballots to a table behind all of the desks and that the election board workers "do something with [the ballots]. We **can't see what they do**." (N.T. 30:21-24). Notably, the Witness testified that he **could not report much information back to the candidate he was representing**:

Question: And you said that you're there on behalf of a candidate, Candidate Trump. What, if anything, are you able to report back to him about whether the Board of Elections' workers are adhering to the procedures as laid out in the statute? The Witness: Little. We're not able to report -- I'm not able to report back anything as it relates to the review for sufficiency of the declaration on the outside of the ballot, or the envelopes. As it relates to whether naked ballots or marked secrecy envelopes are being pulled, we can report only what we're able to see on the first row of desks, essentially. We have attempted to get a better view by using binoculars. But the process is – the extraction process is moving so fast that it's really impossible to see even using binoculars the desks that are behind the first one in each row. So there's very little that we're able to report back as to whether there's any ability to object for failure to comply with the requirements as we understand. (N.T. 31:18 -32:14).

Upon cross examination, the Witness reiterated many of the same points. When the City Solicitor asked if the Witness could change his vantage point, he replied: "When the ballots are taken back to -- there's a gentleman who is just walking back to the table after the ballots have been – the outer envelopes have been sliced open being taken back.

And I -- you cannot see what's happening just because of

distance. You just -- you know something's going on because the ballot trays go back there and other ballot trays come back out. But we don't know -- we can't see what's going on back there." (N.T. 34:14-23). The Witness also repeated that while he could stand 15 feet from the first two rows of the envelope review, "[e]verything else is probably closer to the **20 or longer more distant**." (N.T. 36:10 -13). Despite these repeated statements that the Witness could not adequately observe, the Trial Court denied the petition.

V. STATEMENT OF THE ORDER UNDER REVIEW.

Appellant seeks this Court's review and reversal of the trial court's November 3, 2020 Order denying Appellant's Oral Petition and Argument for appropriate access to the canvass of ballots such that Campaign's watchers and representatives can meaningfully observe the process. *See* App. A.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Pennsylvania General Assembly understood that sentiment long ago and intertwined the concept of watching with the voting process, enshrining transparency and accountability into the system in which Pennsylvanians choose elected officials. The Pennsylvania Election Code authorizes candidates to have watchers and representatives at the canvass and computation of the vote. However, the Philadelphia Board of Elections configured the tables utilized for the canvass and computation in such a way as to preclude any actual observation of the process, thus interfering in the ability of watchers and representatives of candidates to observe the process, casting a cloud over the ballots processed and the integrity of the vote.

ARGUMENT

THE PENNSYLVANIA ELECTION CODE ENTITLES EVERY CANDIDATE TO HAVE WATCHERS AND/OR REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT AT THE COMPUTATION AND CANVASSING OF RETURNS AND THE CANVASSING AND COMPUTATION OF ABSENTEE AND MAIL-IN BALLOTS IN ORDER TO OBSERVE

A. The Election Code Prioritizes Transparency

In 1937, the Pennsylvania General Assembly included the concept of "watchers" in the then newly enacted Pennsylvania Election Code, a statutory scheme addressing the administration of elections in the Commonwealth. See 25 P.S. §§ 2600, et. seq. Years later, the United States Supreme Court noted: "[S]unlight," as has so often been observed, "is the most powerful of all disinfectants." N.Y. Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254, 305 (1964).

The Pennsylvania General Assembly understood that sentiment long ago and intertwined the concept of watching with the voting process, enshrining transparency and accountability into the system in which Pennsylvanians choose elected officials. After all, reasonable people cannot dispute that "openness of the voting process helps prevent election fraud . . . and various other kinds of electoral evils." PG Publishing Co. v. Aichele, 705 F.3d 91, 111 (3d Cir. 2013).

The issue at hand involves two sections of the Pennsylvania Election Code, involving a candidate's right to watchers and representatives at the canvass and computation of votes:

- (1) Section 2650(b) states: Every candidate shall be entitled to be present in person or by attorney in fact duly authorized, and to participate in any proceeding before any county board whenever any matters which may affect his candidacy are being heard, including any computation and canvassing of returns of any primary or election or recount of ballots or recanvass of voting machine affecting his candidacy. 25 P.S. § 2650.
- (2) Section 3146.8 provides the right of a candidate to observe the canvassing of absentee ballots and mail-in ballots. The statute states, in pertinent part: "Watchers shall be permitted to be **present** when the envelopes containing official absentee ballots and mail-in ballots are opened and when such ballots are counted and recorded. 25 P.S. § 3146.8(b). That section of the

- 18 -

Election Code also states: "One authorized representative of each candidate in an election and one representative from each political party shall be permitted to **remain in the room** in which the absentee ballots and mail-in ballots are canvassed. 25 P.S. § 3146.8 (g)(2).

B. Candidates have the right to observe

This case turns on what it means to be present and remain in the room in the context of a candidate's rights. The Board of Elections takes a hyper-technical strict textualist method of interpretation of these sections of the Election Code. In the Trial Court, the Board of Elections argued: "We have ensured not only that they're able to remain in the room, but that all of these activities are occurring in a row along this designated area so that there's a clear line of sight to all of them. Every single part of the process, every single stage of the process is fully visible. " (N.T. 11:13-25).

The Board of Elections seems to be indicating that as long as the watchers and representatives are in the room (whether the room is the size of an office or the size of a football field) that the requirements of the Election Code are met. However, allowing such a narrow interpretation defies logic and reasonableness. Standing at one end of a room the size of a football field, which coincidentally is about the size of the Philadelphia Convention Center, is a lot different than standing at one end of a room the size of an office. Yet, the Board of Elections chooses to ignore this commonsense concept.

Additionally, the Witness testified that the activity in the Convention Center takes place at rows and rows of tables, some at least 100 feet from where he was permitted to stand. Accordingly, the Board of Elections' statement that the activities occur in a row along a designated area directly conflicts with the testimony.

Mandating a distance that prevents a candidate or his watchers or representative to be truly present actually shrouds the election process in a veil, denying transparency and accountability. By erecting a fence around multiple rows of tables where activity is occurring and mandating a twelve foot distance from the closest table, the Board of Elections has set up a scheme that effectively eliminates the role of a watcher. The Board of Elections' insistence on hyper-literalism ignores the intent to achieve election transparency that the legislature integrated into the text of its voting scheme. *See* 1 Pa. C.S. § 1921(a) & (c) ("The object of all interpretation and construction of statutes is to ascertain and effectuate the intention of the General Assembly" and "[w]hen the words of a statute are not explicit, the intention of the General Assembly may be ascertained by considering, among other matters: ... (4) The object to be attained. ..."). We are now at a point where pre-canvassing and canvassing have been going on since Tuesday, November 3, 2020. This means that watchers and representatives have not been able to observe appropriately, raising the specter of tens of thousands of tainted ballots. This casts a cloud over these ballots counted in secrecy.

At the Trial Court level, the Board of Elections persevered on its perception that candidates cannot make challenges during the precanvass or canvass. (N.T. 12:4-10). It also went on to make the absurd argument that, in enacting the Election Code, the General Assembly never "contemplated the ability to necessarily see any of these things." (N.T. 12:12-15). This insistence that the General Assembly envisioned an election without the ability for the candidate to actually see the processes that affect his candidacy lacks any sense of rationality.

c. Challenging is not the issue in the within litigation

The concept of when challenges are made in the context of the canvass of votes is not at issue in the within matter and is not part of the relief that the Campaign seeks. The Campaign does not dispute that the Supreme Court addressed the issue of authorized representatives observing the canvass and pre-canvass but not challenging ballots. See In re November 3, 2020 General Election, No. 149 MM 2020, slip op. at 16-17, 28-29 (Pa. Oct. 23, 2020). The right to challenge and the right to observe are distinct, and 25 P.S. 3146.8 allows authorized representatives to observe. Appellant is not seeking to change the law and have the ability to challenge mail-in ballots during the canvass. Appellant simply wants the right to observe. Despite Appellant never indicating in the proceeding below that he wanted to audit the process, the Trial Court seemingly partially relying on some mistaken notion that Appellant seeks to audit. He does not. He simply seems to be present an in the room, concepts which any reasonably person would interpret as the ability to meaningfully observe.

Additionally and perhaps most importantly, when the General Assembly allowed for watchers and representatives, it acknowledged the humanity of the entire process. As described the Witness, the process occurring at the Convention Center involves many different people performing many different activities. Providing candidates with watchers or representatives incorporates oversight into the process so that in the event that mistakes occur, the candidate can consider his options. However, without the meaningful observation, watcher's and representatives cannot properly report information to their candidate and therefore cannot perform their role.

In federal court litigation leading up to the November 3, 2020 election, the issue of watchers came up in a completely different context. In *Trump v. Boockvar*, Judge Nicholas Ranjan of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania held that Pennsylvania's county residency requirement for poll watchers was constitutional and abstained from opining on whether poll watchers were permitted at county election offices, satellite offices, and ballot return locations. *See Trump v. Boockvar*, No. 2:20-cv-966, slip op. at 43-50, 116-36 (W.D. Pa. Oct. 10, 2020). This decision is irrelevant to the relief sought by Plaintiff, and the rules governing authorized representatives who observe the pre-canvass and canvass of absentee ballots were only mentioned to contrast them with the rules governing poll watchers. Indeed, Judge Ranjan noted that Secretary of State Kathy Boockvar's guidance on the scope of duties for an authorized representative during canvassing of mail-in ballots had "minimal relevance to the current disputes at issue here." Id. at 133, n.23.

d. Watching and elections go hand in hand

Any interpretation of the Election Code's definition of the term "present" or the term "room" to preclude meaningful observation by watchers and representatives fails the common sense test, and possibly stems from some motive that does not include transparency and accountability. The General Assembly always saw the voting process and watching as existing hand in hand. To dislodge one from the other perverts the Election Code's pattern of assuring that candidates and their representatives can monitor the process. Moreover, it violates the Statutory Construction Act's mandate that statutes must be "liberally construed to effect their objects and to promote justice." 1 Pa. C.S. § 1928(c). The trial court's rejection of the Campaign's request for transparency undermines the policy reasons supporting the right of watching at any session of the Board of Elections. The Campaign does not request anything out of the ordinary here. Simply put, the watchers and representatives are the eyes and ears of the candidate and if that is the case, that includes the ability to actually see what is happening. Being told to stand so far away that even binoculars were not useful does not encompass the meaning of the word, "present." (N.T. 32:6-14)

All of those actions occurring inside the Philadelphia Convention Center involve a great deal of human contact and, therefore, room for human error. It is that uncertainty that a candidate has the right to guard against by having watchers in his stead. A candidate's right to be present via a watcher or representative and observe the computation and canvassing of the ballots that determine whether he will be elected to the office he is seeking underscores both the seriousness of the issue and the commitment to fairness and transparency enshrined in the Election Code.

CONCLUSION

From the inception of the Pennsylvania Election Code in 1937, the General Assembly prioritized sunshine in the voting process by enshrining candidates and political parties with the right to have watchers, intertwining the concept into the framework of the statute. The Philadelphia County Board of Elections blocked that sunshine. When watchers and representatives cannot see what is occurring with regard to the computation and canvass of the votes, those actions happen in secrecy. To interpret the Election Code to allow such secrecy casts a black cloud on whether the November 3, 2020 General Election will be free, fair, and transparent.

Accordingly, the decision of the Court of Common Pleas denying Appellant's Oral Petition and Argument should be reversed, and Appellant respectfully requests that this Court enter an order directing the Philadelphia County Board of Elections to allow the watchers and observers of any and all General Election 2020 candidates on the ballot in Philadelphia County, to have reasonable access to the canvass and computation of the ballots such that they can be located no longer than six feet from any table, desk or activity, subject to COVID precautions of wearing masks and utilizing hand sanitizer so that they can both see and hear all related activities occurring in the Philadelphia Convention Center pursuant to the requirements of the Pennsylvania Election Code. Additionally, Appellant requests that that this Court enter an order directing the Philadelphia County Board of Elections to segregate and preserve all envelopes and other ballot materials already canvassed to provide Appellant with a meaningful opportunity to review to determine if the procedures outlined in the Election Code were properly followed.

Date: November 5, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/Linda A. Kerns</u> Linda A. Kerns, Esquire (PA#84495) Law Offices of Linda A. Kerns, LLC 1420 Locust Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19102 T: 215-731-1400 linda@lindakernslaw.com

and

<u>/s/ Ronald L. Hicks, Jr.</u> Ronald L. Hicks, Jr. (PA #49520) Carolyn B. McGee (PA #208815) Porter Wright Morris & Arthur LLP Six PPG Place, Third Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15222 (412) 235-4500 (Telephone) (412) 235-4510 (Fax) rhicks@porterwright.com cmcgee@porterwright.com

Counsel for Appellant Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Brief of Appellant complies with the length requirements of Pa. R.A.P. 2135. According to the word count of the word processing system used to prepare this Brief, the brief contains 4943 words, not including the supplementary matter as described in Pa. R.A.P. 2135(b).

Date: November 5, 2020

<u>/s/Linda A. Kerns</u> Linda A. Kerns, Esquire Law Offices of Linda A. Kerns, LLC Attorney ID 84495 1420 Locust Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, PA 19102 T: 215-731-1400 linda@lindakernslaw.com

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR PHILADELPHIA COUNTY ELECTION COURT- General Election: November 3, 2020 and A

	EEECTION COORT- General En	Office of Judicial Record
In Re:		ELECTION MATTER NOV 2020 12:36 pm
	:	Blancer of the
Canvassing Observation	:	NOVEMBER TERM, 2020
		NO. <u>7003</u>
	ODDED	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 3rd day of November, 2020, in connection with the matter of: petition by Donald J. Trump

for President Inc. to allow closer observation of canvassing of ballots, upon consideration of the:

oral Petition and Argument and any responses thereto thereto

written Petition and Argument and any responses

testimony and evidence presented by the witnesses and Argument; or

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and DECREED that:

The oral motion to allow closer observation of the canvassing of ballots is DENIED for the following reasons:

The Petitioner's witness provided copious testimony as to his ability to observe the opening and sorting of ballots. His concerns pertained to his inability to observe the writing on the outside of the ballots. Given that observers are directed only to observe and not to audit ballots, we conclude, based on the witness's testimony, that the Board of Elections has complied with the observation requirements under 25 P.S. 3146.8. We, however, would not discourage the Board from considering the implementation of arrangements to allow for an additional corridor for observation along the side of the canvassing tables if feasible – subject to spatial distancing under COVID-19 and voting privacy requirements.

BY THE COURT: J. Presiding Election Day Judge

Page 1 of 1

IMPORTANT NOTICE

This Order is issued by the Judge assigned by the President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas to decide legal issues which may arise in connection with the above Election. Failure to comply with the terms of this order may result in contempt proceedings and the imposition of criminal or civil penalties. Any interested party should consult an attorney, or rules of court, for additional information regarding the impact of this order and how to request appropriate relief.

Certified copies of this order may be obtained through the Office of Judicial Records, <u>OJR Civil@courts.phila.gov</u> upon the payment of the required fee. Notes of testimony of the hearing may be requested through the Court Reporters Office, Land Title Building, 100 S. Broad Street, Second Floor, Philadelphia, PA by completing a Request for Transcript form. See www.courts.phila.gov/departments/courtreporters.

The following Parties participated in connection with the above matter:

Name of Party	Name of Attorney
Donald J. Trump for President Inc.	LINDA KERPS, ESQ
City of Philadelphia	SEAN MCGRATHESQ
PA Dems	SUSAN UN ESQ.



In The Matter Of:

In re: CANVASSING OBSERVATION

(Motion) November 3, 2020

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter City of Philadelphia First Judicial District Of Pennsylvania 100 South Broad Street, 2nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19110

Original File 03NOVEMBER-2020-ELECTION COURT-FINISHED.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index



(Motion) - November 3, 2020 In re: CANVASSING OBSERVATION

	In re: CANVASSING OBSERVATION					
- IN	RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 1	- IN	RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 3			
1	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	1	- I N D E X -			
2	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR PHILADELPHIA COUNTY	2	WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS			
3	ELECTION COURT	3	JEREMY MERCER			
4		4	By Ms. Kerns 20			
5	In re: : Case No. 20110703	5	By Mr. McGrath 33			
6		6	By MI. Mediath 35			
	: ELECTION MATTER					
7	CANVASSING OBSERVATION :	7				
8	: Filed on behalf of:	8				
9	: Donald J. Trump For	9				
10	: President, Inc.	10				
11		11				
12	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2020	12	EXHIBITS			
13		13	NO. PAGE NO.			
14	- COURTROOM 305 -	14	(None were marked at this time.)			
15	THE JUSTICE JUANITA KIDD STOUT CENTER FOR	15				
16	CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1301 Filbert Street Date big Description	16				
17	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	17				
18		18				
19	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE STELLA TSAI, J.,	19				
20		20	ARGUMENT			
21	ΜΟΤΙΟΝ	21	ATTORNEY PAGE NO.			
22		22	Ms. Kerns 42			
23	REPORTED BY:	23	Mr. McGrath 49			
24	JOHN J. KURZ, RMR, CRR, CRC REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER	24	M. Molum 47			
25	CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	25				
25	OFFICIAL COOKI REPORTER	25				
- IN	RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 2	- IN	RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 4			
1	$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S};$		-			
2	CITY OF PHILADELPHIA - LAW DEPARTMENT	1	PROCEEDINGS			
3	BY MICHARI DRAIITZ ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR	2	(9:51 p.m.)			
4	BY: BENJAMIN FIELD, DIVISIONAL DEPUTY CITY SOLIC. BY: SEAN McGRATH, ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR One Parkway Building	3	(All parties present and			
-		4	participating in the following proceedings are			
5	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 T: 215-683-5233	5	wearing masks pursuant to FJD protocol.)			
6	Email: michael.pfautz@phila.gov Email: benjamin.field@phila.gov	6	THE TIPSTAFF: Court is now back in			
7	Email: sean.mcgrath@phila.gov Counsel for City of Philadelphia and Board of Elections	7	session. Please cease all private conversation.			
8	Board of Elections	8	THE COURT: All right. Good evening,			
9		9	everyone.			
10	LAW OFFICES OF LINDA A. KERNS, LLC BY: LINDA A. KERNS, ESQUIRE	10	MS. KERNS: Good evening, Your Honor.			
11	1420 Locust Street, Suite 200 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 T: 215-731-1400	11	MR. McGRATH: Good evening, Your			
12	T: 215-731-1400 Email: Linda@lindakernslaw.com	12	Honor.			
13	Counsel for Donald J. Trump for President, Inc.	13	MS. LIN: Good evening, Your Honor.			
14		14	MR. FIELD: Good evening, Your Honor.			
15	BLANK ROME, LLP	15	THE COURT: You may be seated.			
16	BY: SOPHIÀ LEE, ESQUIRE	16	I understand there is a request from			
17	One Logan Squaře Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 Email: Slee@blankrome.com	17	the Republicans; is that correct?			
18	Email: Slee@blankrome.com Counsel for PA Dems, Biden For President	18	MS. KERNS: It's on behalf of the			
19		19	Donald J. Trump For President, Incorporated.			
20	Susan Lin, Esquire, PA Dems, Biden For President	20	THE COURT: Okay. What's the nature			
21	Paul Safier, PA Dems, Biden For President	21	of this request?			
	Melissa Davis, PA Dems, Biden For President	22	MS. KERNS: My name is Linda Kerns,			
		1				
22		23	K-E-R-N-S, and I represent Donald J. Trump For			
22 23	Stephen Kastenberg, PA Dems, Biden For President	23 24	K-E-R-N-S, and I represent Donald J. Trump For President, Incorporated.			
22			K-E-R-N-S, and I represent Donald J. Trump For President, Incorporated. Under 3146.8, candidates are			

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-3035 Fax 215-683-8005

	In re: CANVASSIN		
- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 5	- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 7
1	permitted to have watchers at the precanvassing	1	MS. KERNS: Additionally, there's
2	canvass, and there's also a separate provision	2	going to be a lot of provisional ballots coming
3	under (g)1.1 that authorizes candidates to have	3	back. So at this point, we really don't have
	an authorized representative.		sightline or access. And I think we would all
4		4	e
5	Mr. Trump has a representative at the	5	agree that the right to vote is a fundamental
6	canvass, and he is waiting in the waiting room	6	right. But that includes not just showing up at
7	on Zoom because he plans to testify because we	7	the polling place and voting but the entire
8	want the Court to understand that he does not	8	process. And that right also includes the right
9	have meaningful access to what is going on at	9	to have your vote effectively tabulated. And
10	the canvass.	10	there is now a burden on the candidate who
11	Now that the polls have closed, we've	11	cannot observe this tabulations.
12	moved from precanvass to canvass. And he's	12	And I understand that no one
13	going to testify that there's different	13	questions that there is an issue with COVID, but
14	activities going on, extraction, examining	14	it appears that the observers are being kept
15	envelopes for their sufficiency and scanning,	15	well more than 6 feet from what's going on at
16	and he can see less than half of that. And with	16	the canvass, and they are all wearing masks and
17	regard to the examination of the envelopes, only	17	taking the proper precautions.
18	about 10 percent of that, because he is so far	18	The statute indicates under 3146.8
19	away. There are gates up at the canvass that	19	(g)1.1, that an authorized representative of
20	keep him quite far away, like in excess of	20	each candidate in an election and one
20	12 feet from the first tables, and then there's	20	representative from each political party shall
	other tables behind that. So he's quite far		be permitted to remain in the room in which the
22	•	22	
23	away and cannot meaningfully observe what's	23	absentee ballots and mail-in ballots are
24	going on.	24	precanvassed.
25	My client brought this lawsuit	25	So I think we have to explore that
1		1	
	E CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3 2020 - Page 6	- IN R	E CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOV/EMBER 3 2020 - Page 8
- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 6	- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 8
- IN R	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then	- IN R 1	wording "in the room."
	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that		wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that.
1	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues,	1	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the
1 2	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that	1 2	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that.
1 2 3	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues,	1 2 3	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the
1 2 3 4	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other	1 2 3 4	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a
1 2 3 4 5	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I	1 2 3 4 5	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they
1 2 3 4 5 6	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not	1 2 3 4 5 6	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine, even though the law indicates that those who	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening. We already know that irregularities
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine, even though the law indicates that those who received an absentee ballot should not be voting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening. We already know that irregularities occurred in polling places today. Well,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine, even though the law indicates that those who received an absentee ballot should not be voting on the machine. So we know that there are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening. We already know that irregularities occurred in polling places today. Well, candidates have a right to watch to see whether
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine, even though the law indicates that those who received an absentee ballot should not be voting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening. We already know that irregularities occurred in polling places today. Well,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	earlier today during the precanvass, but we then withdrew it without prejudice. We learned that another candidate had sued on similar issues, not about Philadelphia County, but about other counties. However, that suit has not, as I understand it, was not heard today and is not scheduled is not possibly scheduled until tomorrow. And what's different now than was occurring this morning is that we have now moved into the canvass. So there's a lot more activity. There's ballot boxes coming back. There's materials coming back from the polling places. And all day today we've been litigating various issues about things going on in polling places. I even had one case where there definitely was someone and I don't think there was an agreement I don't think that anyone disagreed that someone who had received an absentee ballot had voted on the machine, even though the law indicates that those who received an absentee ballot should not be voting on the machine. So we know that there are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wording "in the room." There's ways to interfere with that. For example, would it be a proper reading of the statute if the observer was in the room and a huge blanket was put up in front of them so they couldn't see what was going on? Well, they would still be in the room. But let's face it, that would not be having an authorized representative there in a meaningful way. Similarly, this canvass is taking place in the Convention Center rather than a Board of Elections' office. And the Convention Center is a huge space. And if you call that one room, well, the observers could be on one end and the canvass activities could be on the other end, you know, so that they could see that there's people there, but not necessarily meaningfully understand what's going on. So the statute, we believe, intends that the observers actually can see what is happening. We already know that irregularities occurred in polling places today. Well, candidates have a right to watch to see whether

 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 9 IN AUDI Page 9 <	ter /e ttion / that ges tto DVID-19. account en
 where if a ballot comes back and there's no secrecy envelope, that under the Code, that should not be counted. Well, a candidate has a right to stand there and watch and make sure that the Board of Elections' workers aren't making mistakes or counting ballots that they shouldn't. So I have my witness. His name is Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. where if a ballot comes back and there's no privacy is a part of that process. We have envelopes that are being opened, declara envelopes that are being opened, secrect strest are being opened, ballots are being extracted, several different sta where voter privacy needs to be taken in account. In addition, there are also In addition, there are also In addition, there are also In the covers it? All of these things have been taken into to set up the physical space. Now, all of those factors have been taken into that observers from the campaign, one representative, authorized representative, authorized	ve ttion that ges tto DVID-19. account en
 where if a ballot comes back and there's no secrecy envelope, that under the Code, that should not be counted. Well, a candidate has a right to stand there and watch and make sure that the Board of Elections' workers aren't making mistakes or counting ballots that they shouldn't. So I have my witness. His name is Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. where if a ballot comes back and there's no privacy is a part of that process. We have envelopes that are being opened, declara envelopes that are being opened, secrect strences are being extracted, several different sta where voter privacy needs to be taken in account. In addition, there are also In addi	ve ttion that ges tto DVID-19. account en
 3 secrecy envelope, that under the Code, that 4 should not be counted. Well, a candidate has a 5 right to stand there and watch and make sure 6 that the Board of Elections' workers aren't 7 making mistakes or counting ballots that they 8 shouldn't. 9 So I have my witness. His name is 10 Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify 11 because he's been there all day, and he can 12 enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going 13 on there. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. KERNS: I think so. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come 18 up with more if you want me to keep going. 19 THE COURT: Thank you for doing it 20 quickly. Thank you very much. 3 envelopes that are being opened, declara a envelopes that are being opened, ballots a envelopes that are being opened, declara envelopes that are being opened, declara envelopes that are being opened, declara envelopes that are being opened, secrecy a re being extracted, several different sta where voter privacy needs to be taken in a count. 9 In addition, there are also 10 legitimate paramount concerns about CO 11 All of these things have been taken into 12 to set up the physical space. 13 Now, all of those factors have been 14 balanced with the fact that the Code req 15 that observers from the campaign, one 16 representative, authorized representative 18 ensured not only that they're able to rem 19 the room, but that all of these activities a occurring in a row along this designated 	tion that ges tto DVID-19. account en
4should not be counted. Well, a candidate has a4envelopes that are being opened, secred5right to stand there and watch and make sure5envelopes that are being opened, ballots6that the Board of Elections' workers aren't6are being extracted, several different sta7making mistakes or counting ballots that they7where voter privacy needs to be taken in8shouldn't.8account.9So I have my witness. His name is9In addition, there are also10Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify10legitimate paramount concerns about CO11because he's been there all day, and he can11All of these things have been taken into12enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going12to set up the physical space.13on there.13Now, all of those factors have bee14THE COURT: Okay.15that observers from the campaign, one16THE COURT: Okay.16representative, authorized representative18up with more if you want me to keep going.18ensured not only that they're able to rem19THE COURT: Thank you for doing it19the room, but that all of these activities a20occurring in a row along this designated	y that ges ito OVID-19. account en
 right to stand there and watch and make sure that the Board of Elections' workers aren't making mistakes or counting ballots that they shouldn't. So I have my witness. His name is Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. right to stand there and watch and make sure envelopes that are being opened, ballots are being extracted, several different sta are being extracted, several different sta where voter privacy needs to be taken in account. In addition, there are also legitimate paramount concerns about CO legitimate paramount concerns about CO All of these things have been taken into to set up the physical space. Now, all of those factors have been that observers from the campaign, one representative, authorized representative. the ability to remain in the room. We have an one only that they're able to rem the room, but that all of these activities and occurring in a row along this designated 	that ges ito DVID-19. account en
 6 that the Board of Elections' workers aren't 7 making mistakes or counting ballots that they 8 shouldn't. 9 So I have my witness. His name is 10 Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify 11 because he's been there all day, and he can 12 enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going 13 on there. 14 THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? 15 MS. KERNS: I think so. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come 18 up with more if you want me to keep going. 19 THE COURT: Thank you for doing it 20 quickly. Thank you very much. 6 are being extracted, several different sta account. 9 In addition, there are also 10 legitimate paramount concerns about CO 11 All of these things have been taken into 12 to set up the physical space. 13 Now, all of those factors have been 14 the Court: Okay. 15 that observers from the campaign, one 16 representative, authorized representative 18 ensured not only that they're able to rem 19 the room, but that all of these activities a 20 occurring in a row along this designated 	ges to DVID-19. account en
 making mistakes or counting ballots that they shouldn't. So I have my witness. His name is Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. ms. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. making mistakes or counting ballots that they where voter privacy needs to be taken in account. making mistakes or counting ballots that they making mistakes	to DVID-19. account en
 shouldn't. So I have my witness. His name is Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. account. In addition, there are also Is account. <	DVID-19. account en
 9 So I have my witness. His name is 9 In addition, there are also 10 Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify 11 because he's been there all day, and he can 12 enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going 13 on there. 14 THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? 15 MS. KERNS: I think so. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come 19 THE COURT: Thank you for doing it 20 quickly. Thank you very much. 9 In addition, there are also 10 legitimate paramount concerns about CO 11 All of these things have been taken into 12 to set up the physical space. 13 Now, all of those factors have been 14 balanced with the fact that the Code req 15 that observers from the campaign, one 16 representative, authorized representative 18 ensured not only that they're able to rem 19 the room, but that all of these activities a 20 occurring in a row along this designated 	account en
 Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. Mr. Jeremy Mercer, and he's ready to testify In legitimate paramount concerns about CO All of these things have been taken into to set up the physical space. Now, all of those factors have been balanced with the fact that the Code req that observers from the campaign, one that observers from the campaign, one the ability to remain in the room. We have a set of the set	account en
 because he's been there all day, and he can enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. think so. that observers from the campaign, one that observers from the campaign, one representative, authorized representative the ability to remain in the room. We hat up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. 	account en
 enlighten the Court as to exactly what's going on there. THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. that observers from the campaign, one that observers from the campaign, one representative, authorized representative ms. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. to set up the physical space. Now, all of those factors have been balanced with the fact that the Code req that observers from the campaign, one that observers from the campaign, one the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the ability to remain in the room. We have a server of the room, but that all of these activities a server of the room. 	en
 13 on there. 14 THE COURT: Okay. That covers it? 15 MS. KERNS: I think so. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come 18 up with more if you want me to keep going. 19 THE COURT: Thank you for doing it 20 quickly. Thank you very much. 13 Now, all of those factors have been activities and the sector of the sector	
14THE COURT: Okay. That covers it?14balanced with the fact that the Code req15MS. KERNS: I think so.15that observers from the campaign, one16THE COURT: Okay.16representative, authorized representative17MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come16the ability to remain in the room. We hat18up with more if you want me to keep going.18ensured not only that they're able to rem19THE COURT: Thank you for doing it19the room, but that all of these activities a20occurring in a row along this designated	
 MS. KERNS: I think so. THE COURT: Okay. MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. that observers from the campaign, one that observers from the campaign, one representative, authorized representative the ability to remain in the room. We have a server and the server	uires
16THE COURT: Okay.16representative, authorized representative17MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come17the ability to remain in the room. We have18up with more if you want me to keep going.18ensured not only that they're able to rem19THE COURT: Thank you for doing it19the room, but that all of these activities a20quickly. Thank you very much.20occurring in a row along this designated	
 MS. KERNS: I'm trying. I can come up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. the ability to remain in the room. We have ensured not only that they're able to rem the room, but that all of these activities a occurring in a row along this designated 	
 up with more if you want me to keep going. THE COURT: Thank you for doing it quickly. Thank you very much. number and the sectivities of the sect	
19 THE COURT: Thank you for doing it19the room, but that all of these activities a20quickly. Thank you very much.20occurring in a row along this designated	
20 quickly. Thank you very much. 20 occurring in a row along this designated	
Whole going to graph? $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} +$	area so
22 MR. McGRATH: Good evening, Your 22 them. Every single part of the process, of	
23Honor. Sean McGrath on behalf of the City of23single stage of the process is fully visible	
24Philadelphia and Board of Elections.24There are no obstructions. And I believe	
THE COURT: All right. Do you want can, you know, explore that obviously w	rith
- IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 10 - IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 20	20 - Page 12
1 to respond? 1 witness testimony.	
2 MR. McGRATH: I would. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Anything	else?
3 As an initial matter, I'm surprised 3 (Counsel conferring.)	
4 that this issue is coming up now. I'll note 4 MR. McGRATH: The other imp	ortant
5 that this motion was made at around 7:45 this 5 point I'll point out or would like to expla	
6 morning and then withdrawn by the Trump 6 the Court is that recently, the Pennsylva	
7 Campaign. And that was made during the 7 Supreme Court has made clear that base	
 8 precanvass when all of these activities were 8 amendments to the Code by Act 12, that 	
9 occurring. And it doesn't appear from anything 9 no challenges during the precanvass or c	
10 that has been represented by the Trump Campaign 10 anymore that are allowed under the Cod	
11 that that has changed in any material fashion. 11 And so the initial purpose before	
12 I know that they have brought up a 12 12 was passed was that these names wer	
13 decision in federal court or rather, a case 13 aloud. They were never there was nev	
14 in federal court. I'll note that that motion is 14 the Code never contemplated the ability	
 scheduled to be heard tomorrow morning, and it necessarily see any of these things. And 	
16 has been scheduled for a period of time today. 16 indeed, these recent amendments indica	
17 There's no meaningful reason that we've heard as 17 the Act was changed to ensure the smoo	
18 to why this motion needs to be raised now at 18 efficient processing of the precarvass.	
19this late hour.19Oh, and the very last thing I'd like	3
20 That being said, I will say that the 20 to add is that there was a recent decision	
20 I hat being said. I will say that the 20 to add is that there was a reason decision	
21 physical setup in the Convention Center was done 21 the Nevada federal court that considered	made it
21physical setup in the Convention Center was done21the Nevada federal court that considered22based on a variety of complicated factors.22exact almost the exact same issue and	
 physical setup in the Convention Center was done based on a variety of complicated factors. Obviously, of paramount importance, security of clear that observers are there to observer. 	
21physical setup in the Convention Center was done21the Nevada federal court that considered22based on a variety of complicated factors.22exact almost the exact same issue and	

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-5935 Fax 215-683-8005

	In re: CANVASSIN	NG OI	BSERVATION
- IN RI	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 13	- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 15
1	THE COURT: Okay. Did you say it was	1	email address. I can email it to you.
2	a federal decision?	2	THE COURT: Wait. My judicial
3	MR. McGRATH: Excuse me. It was a	3	assistant can assist you with that.
4	state court decision in Nevada.	4	MS. DAVIS: Okay.
	THE COURT: State court decision,		THE COURT: What's the citation, if
5		5	
6	okay.	6	you can just give it to me?
7	All right. I think we'll hear	7	MS. LIN: Court's indulgence, please.
8	testimony from the witness.	8	THE COURT: Sure.
9	MS. LIN: Your Honor, if I may.	9	(Counsel conferring.)
10	THE COURT: Sure.	10	MS. DAVIS: Your Honor, my name is
11	MS. LIN: Good evening. Susan Lin on	11	Melissa Hazel Davis for the Pennsylvania Dems.
12	behalf of the PA Dems.	12	The name of the case is Fred Kraus, K-R-A-U-S,
13	Your Honor, we're not really taking a	13	an individual registered to vote, and it was
14	position on this motion at all, but I do feel	14	brought by Donald J. Trump For President versus
15	the need to correct some things that were stated	15	Barbara and I cannot pronounce the last
16	on the record.	16	name C-E-G-A-V-S-K-E, Case No. 20-oc-00142.
17	Ms. Kerns did refer to irregularities	17	And I will email it to you right now.
18	throughout the day that she said appeared in	18	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.
19	court. I would like to point out that there was	19	All right. Any other comments from
20	a single incident that appeared that was	20	that side of the bench?
21	presented in court of a person who had received	21	No? Okay.
22	a mail-in ballot voting on the machine. And	22	All right. Thank you.
23	that situation was corrected by Judge Clemons.	23	MS. KERNS: Your Honor, I just want
24	Outside of that, there has not been	24	to be clear that the Trump Campaign that
25	any incidents that have been presented in court	25	lawsuit that I referenced in Montgomery County,
	• 1		
- IN RI	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 14	- IN R	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 16
- IN RI 1	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 14 of a person who had received a mail-in ballot	- IN R 1	E: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 16 the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit.
1	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot	1	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit.
1 2	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper	1 2	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but
1 2 3	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on	1 2 3	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's
1 2 3 4 5	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures.	1 2 3 4	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness.
1 2 3 4	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on	1 2 3 4 5	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we might be able to get to the Court if the Court	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me? THE TIPSTAFF: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. Mnd I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we might be able to get to the Court if the Court wants, but I do think that it is on point.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me? THE TIPSTAFF: Yes. THE WITNESS: Oh. Jeremy Mercer.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we might be able to get to the Court if the Court wants, but I do think that it is on point. THE COURT: I would appreciate it, if	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me? THE TIPSTAFF: Yes. THE WITNESS: Oh. Jeremy Mercer. First name is J-E-R-E-M-Y. Last name is Mercer,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we might be able to get to the Court if the Court wants, but I do think that it is on point. THE COURT: I would appreciate it, if you can get the cite.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me? THE TIPSTAFF: Yes. THE WITNESS: Oh. Jeremy Mercer. First name is J-E-R-E-M-Y. Last name is Mercer, M-E-R-C-E-R.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of a person who had received a mail-in ballot voting on a machine without following the proper procedures that are in place to allow people who have received mail-in ballots to vote on machines, assuming they follow those procedures. The other thing that I feel like we should inform the Court of is the statute itself cited by Ms. Kerns does not have the word "meaningful" in it. There is no phrase "meaningful meaningfully observe," the opportunity to "meaningful observe." Those words do not appear in the statute. The statute refers to the right to remain in the room and that observers are permitted to be present. That is the kind of language used in the statute. And I would urge the Court to read that Nevada state court case. We don't really have a statute. We have a copy of it that we might be able to get to the Court if the Court wants, but I do think that it is on point. THE COURT: I would appreciate it, if	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Trump Campaign did not bring that lawsuit. Apparently a candidate out there brought it, but because it was addressing similar issues, I THE COURT: Understand. So let's present your witness. MS. KERNS: Okay. COURT OFFICER: Judge, may I admit him into the Zoom call? THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Whereupon the witness appeared on screen via Zoom videoconferencing.) THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record, please. (No response.) THE TIPSTAFF: Sir, can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, are you talking to me? THE TIPSTAFF: Yes. THE WITNESS: Oh. Jeremy Mercer. First name is J-E-R-E-M-Y. Last name is Mercer,

 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 17 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 17 IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEM truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: These this THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: These this So I want you to understation wideoconference), after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS is the witness box here today. So the witness box here today. So the proceeding; you understand that THE WITNESS: I do. 	Welcome back.
 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: These the So I want you to understa JEREMY MERCER, (appearing via Zoom wideoconference), after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: These the So I want you to understa you're testifying as if you're testifying as if you're testifying as if you're testifying as the witness box here today. So the THE WITNESS: I do. 	
 truth, so help you God? truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. THE WITNESS: I do. So I want you to understate to a solution of the witness box here today. So there toda	you. Sorry about
4THE WITNESS: I do.4THE COURT: These this55So I want you to understate6JEREMY MERCER, (appearing via Zoom6you're testifying as if you're testifying as if	2 2
55So I want you to understa6JEREMY MERCER, (appearing via Zoom6you're testifying as if you're testifyi	
55So I want you to understa6JEREMY MERCER, (appearing via Zoom6you're testifying as if you're testifyi	ings do happen.
 6JEREMY MERCER, (appearing via Zoom 7 videoconference), after having been first duly 8 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 9 9 THE WITNESS: I do. 	
 videoconference), after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. 	
 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. 	
9 9 THE WITNESS: I do.	
	•
10 THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome. 10 THE COURT: Okay. A	nd that means
11THE WITNESS: Thank you.11THE COURT: Okay. A11that you can't refer to notes unle	
11111111111112THE COURT: So I'm Judge Tsai, and12through some certain procedures	
13 you are participating in this hearing by Zoom, 13 so. And that you can't be assisted	
and I want to go over some matters with you, 14 cannot refer to notes unless we s	ay you can do
15okay, before we start.15so, okay.	· 1
16 First, can you see me? 16 THE WITNESS: Under	
17 THE WITNESS: I'm well, I can see 17 THE COURT: And also	
18 the head of someone who looks like she's sitting 18 anybody with you, that they can	
19in a judge's chair.19with your testimony; do you und	
20 THE COURT: Well, that would be me.20 THE WITNESS: There	is no one with
THE WITNESS: If that's you speaking, 21 me, but understood.	
22 I'm sorry. 22 THE COURT: Okay. T	hat makes it
THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. With as easier.	
24glasses. Okay.24All right. And if you do l	nave to
25 All right. So you're testifying 25 refer to a document, understand	that there's a
- IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION NOVEMBER 3, 2020 - Page 18 - JEREMY MERCER (DIRECT) -	Page 20
1 today by video conference; you understand that, 1 procedure that we need to follow	v and we need to
2 correct? 2 address it that way. And that law	
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Characteristic and the second 	
	striying. Do
6 difficult with the mask. Let me just try this 6 you understand that?	
7 again. 7 THE WITNESS: Unders	2
8 All right. So the most important 8 THE COURT: Okay. G	
9thing is, can you hear me?9I think we'll do our best.	ou can
10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry. 10 do our best to speak slowly so ye	
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c	
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.	an all get it
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,	an all get it
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.	an all get it
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he15	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516DIRECT EXAMINAT	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12do our best to speak slowly so ye13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.17	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516DIRECT EXAMINAT	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12do our best to speak slowly so ye13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.17	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:	an all get it your
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:19(Laughter.)19Q. Good evening, Mr. Mercer.	an all get it your ION
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12do our best to speak slowly so ye13THE COURT: That's good.12do our best to speak slowly so ye14Oh, did we lose him?12done.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:19(Laughter.)19Q. Good evening, Mr. Mercer.20THE COURT: All right. He'll call20A. Good evening.21back.21Q. Could you tell us where you	an all get it your ION are?
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:19(Laughter.)19Q. Good evening, Mr. Mercer.20THE COURT: All right. He'll call20A. Good evening.21back.21Q. Could you tell us where you22MS. KERNS: He says he got cut off.22A. I am in Hall F at the Philadelp	an all get it your ION are?
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:19(Laughter.)19Q. Good evening, Mr. Mercer.20THE COURT: All right. He'll call20A. Good evening.21back.21Q. Could you tell us where you23(Witness reappeared on screen.)23Center.	an all get it your ION are?
10THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. I'm sorry.10do our best to speak slowly so ye11I'm putting in the other earpiece as well, to11understand us. And I hope we c12make that hopefully a little bit better.12done.13THE COURT: That's good.13All right. So, Ms. Kerns,14Oh, did we lose him?14witness.15COURT OFFICER: I think he1516accidentally hung up on us.16DIRECT EXAMINAT17THE COURT: He hung up on us, okay.1718I won't hold that against him.18BY MS. KERNS:19(Laughter.)19Q. Good evening, Mr. Mercer.20THE COURT: All right. He'll call20A. Good evening.21back.21Q. Could you tell us where you23(Witness reappeared on screen.)23Center.	an all get it your ION are? hia Convention

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-5935 Fax 215-683-8005

	(Motion) - Nov In re: CANVASSIN		
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 21		EREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 23
1	the Trump Campaign and/or some of the Republican	1	And certainly, I have no objection to the
2	candidates on the ballot to observe the precanvass.	2	
3	Q. And how long have you been there today?	3	
4	A. I got into the hall shortly before 7:00 a.m.	4	the room in that fashion.
5	this morning. And I have been there in the hall or	5	THE COURT: All right. I think
6	in the lobby to the hall all day.	6	that Counsel, do you have a concern about
7	Q. And can you tell us what you're doing?	7	
8	A. I am walking back and forth the width of the	8	
9	hall from the very looking at the various	9	know where he's standing relative to where the
10	stations and watching the ballot envelopes going	10	press is standing.
11	from beginning of process all the way through the	11	THE COURT: All right. I think I'd
12	scanning of the ballots that are in the envelopes.	12	rather just hear a description at this point so
13	I've also been interacting with Mr. Blumenthal	13	that we don't
14	whenever necessary to address	14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	Q. I think you mean Bluestein.	15	THE COURT: Because once we get
16	A. I'm sorry, Bluestein. I apologize. Bluestein,	16	let's just do it that way.
17	whenever any issues come up that need to be	17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	addressed.	18	THE COURT: So give me, you know,
19	Q. And can you describe what the setup is there?	19	
20	A. Uhmm, we are in a very, very large room. I'm	20	THE WITNESS: The very first section,
21	on I'm on a I'm sorry. Someone was coming up	21	Your Honor, there are three rows of about 15
22	to me and I was trying to wave them off, Your Honor.	22	tables deep. And the closest that we can get to
23	THE COURT: Okay.	23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	THE WITNESS: It's in it's in a	24	would say, 15 to 18 feet. The one row is more
25	very, very large hall. And it is divided	25	like from the 25 to 30 feet. And that's the
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 22	- JE	EREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 24
1	width-wise into about four discreet sections for	1	
2	the four discreet processes to about halfway	2	you're able to and then each table is set off
3	back. And then beyond that is storage of	3	further back from the other. I would say
4	ballots, sorting and processing and receiving	4	roughly 5, maybe 6 feet from each of them. So
5	facilities for those ballots.	5	they just keep adding depth distance-wise.
6	BY MS. KERNS:	6	THE COURT: Okay. So you can view
7	Q. And are tables set up?	7	them from where you're sitting or standing, and
8	A. At various parts along the way, yes.	8	that's where you are, right, right in front of
9	Q. And	9	them, those tables?
	A. Depending upon the section, they are set up	10	THE WITNESS: I'm standing in front
11	differently. • And about how many tables, if you know?	11	of them right now, Your Honor, yes.
12	Q. And about how many tables, if you know?	12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You
	A. Just in the first section, there are two	13	can you may continue. BY MS. KERNS:
14	four eight ten twelve about 35 tables in the first section alone.	14	
15	Q. And about how many I'm sorry. Go ahead.	15 16	
16 17	A. I would just say, I'd be happy to turn the		A. Approximately, I would say, 15 feet.
18	phone around if that would be easier or more	18	
19	descriptive so that you could see. I'm standing in	19	many feet away are you?
20	front of the first section right now.		A. Uhmm, you're going to ask me to do math.
20	MR. FIELD: Your Honor, I'd just note	20	
21	for the Court that photography and any video		A. Fifteen times six, plus another 15 feet.
22	recording is limited to a very specific area of	23	
24	the room to ensure that we don't run into any	24	
25	issues with voter privacy or ballot secrecy.	25	So you would say six lengths of 15,
			· · · · · · ·

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-3035 Fax 215-683-8005

D

	(Motion) - Nov In re: CANVASSIN		
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 25	– JE	EREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 27
1	plus another one?	1	that I am more than 6 feet away from other people.
	A. That's correct.	2	
2	Q. And is there a barrier or anything preventing		A. I'm sorry.
	you from getting closer?	4	
4	A. There is. There is it's about waist high.		č ,
	There is there is - it's about waist high. There seems to be like crowd control fences is what	5	
6		6	
7	I refer to them. They're interlocking left to		Q. Let's talk about the activities that you're
8	right, and they're slotted about a foot. I can get	8	observing. Can you describe them?
9	my foot kind of between the pickets of them, so to		A. In the first section, we have been told that
10	speak. They're metal.	10	well, I've seen workers take ballot envelopes out of
11	Q. And can you compare for me the distance you are	11	one tray and look at the back of them and either
12	from the closest Election Board worker to you as	12	1 2 1
13	opposed to the distance the Election Board workers	13	a tray from which they were pulled.
14	are from each other?	14	
	A. It's at least when they are seated at the		A. They appear to be looking at the back of the
16	table, they are approximately 6 feet apart at most.	16	1
17	We are at least 15 to 18 feet from the nearest	17	But from where I am, from where we are limited to
18	person.	18	standing, we're not able to see what's on the back
19	Now, there are times when the	19	of that envelope.
20	election workers are right next to each other, and	20	
21	they fall over their when they call over their		A. No. It is I'm sorry. I had to change
22	supervisors or when they're talking to each other.	22	
23	But when they're seated at big tables for this	23	5
24	envelope review section, they appear to be about		Q. Yes.
25	6 feet apart.	25	THE COURT: We can.
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 26	- JE	EREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 28
1	Q. So what's the closest that you've seen an	1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Let me put
2	Election Board worker be to another Election Board	2	my other earphone in.
3	worker?	3	No, we're not able to hear what's
4	A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear the end of that	4	being said. It's very loud in here. There are
5	question.	5	a good number of people with equipment that are
6	Q. I'm sorry.	6	running. Plus, it's a very open space with no
7	What is the closest you've seen one	7	
8	Election Board worker be to another Election Board	8	BY MS. KERNS:
9	worker?	9	
10	A. Standing shoulder to shoulder, leaning over	10	think you described it as examination of the
11	one's shoulder. That's as close as I've seen them.	11	envelopes, what other activities are you observing?
12	Q. Now, you're wearing a mask, I can see. Have	12	A. At this section of the process, that's it. But
13	you had that on all day?	13	at the next stage, what has been denominated the
14	A. Except for when I have been taking a drink of	14	"extraction stage," that's where the ballot
15	water or, you know, eating a sandwich, yes.	15	envelopes are being fed through machines to slice
16	Q. And how about other are you taking any other	16	
17	precautionary measures with regard to protecting	17	can be removed, and then another set of what appear
18	yourself from potential exposure to any virus or	18	to be the same or very similar machines so that the
19	germs?	19	inner secrecy envelopes then can be sliced open so
20	A. I've got hand sanitizer that I've been using.	20	that what's inside those can be removed. That's the
21	I've been washing my hands in the restroom. When I	21	next stage of the process.
22	have been meeting other people, I have been using	22	
23	the elbow bump as opposed to a handshake. I've been		A. I would say approximately 20 feet 18 to
04	attempting to maintain distance from other people.	24	
24			

		vember 3, 2020 NG OBSERVATION
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 29	- JEREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 31
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	four seven rows of three desks each. So we can only go to the first one. The closest one is about sorry. The closest one is approximately 20 to 22 feet. 18, 22 feet, somewhere in that range. And then the two behind it, they appear to be 5 to 6 feet between them. So they progress further back. Q. And are there can you see the ballots that are being can you see the are you close enough to see the process and see which ballots are	 three rows of desks to slice open the secrecy envelope and look at the ballots. Q. And how far away are you from that process? 4 A. The same exact distance as the desks where they were slicing open externally. So approximately 18 to 22 feet, somewhere in that range. 7 Q. And what other activities are you observing? 8 A. Again, we can see the ballot come through 9 I'm sorry, not the ballot, the envelope come through
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being are you close I apologize. Sorry. Long day. Are you close enough to the process to see what is happening with each of the ballots when they're sliced open and whether or not the material inside has a secrecy envelope or not? MR. McGRATH: Your Honor, objection; leading.	 the machine. And we can see people pulling something out of the secrecy envelope, placing that in a stack, and then when they're finished with their tray, they put those back in the yellow tray. And they raise their hand, workers come and pick up that tray and take it, again, back behind those desks to stage to move to the next area within the hall there.
17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Fair enough. Sustained. BY MS. KERNS: Q. With regard to the opening of the ballots by	 18 Q. And you said that you're there on behalf of a 19 candidate, Candidate Trump. What, if anything, are 20 you able to report back to him about whether the
21 22 23 24 25	the machine, what are you able to observe? A. Pretty much we're only able to observe the first row in each I'm sorry, the first desk in each of the rows. And we're able to essentially see from the first four rows, when they are slicing open	 21 Board of Elections' workers are adhering to the 22 procedures as laid out in the statute? 23 A. Little. We're not able to report I'm not 24 able to report back anything as it relates to the 25 review for sufficiency of the declaration on the
- JE	REMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 30	- JEREMY MERCER (DIRECT) - Page 32
1		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the outside envelope, we're able to see them pull something out. And if you're watching closely, you can discern if it is what's referred to here as the "naked ballot," meaning not in a secrecy envelope, sometimes. We're not able to discern whether, if there is a secrecy envelope, whether the secrecy envelope has any markings on it because we're simply not close enough to be able to see that. Q. And A. The tables the desks that are further back, you're simply able to see people pulling things out of an envelope but not really able to discern what, if anything, is being pulled out. Q. And what other processes are you observing? A. When the when each of these desks finishes their tray of ballots that have been sliced open, the ballots are then if there are ones that from what we've been able to see are the naked ballots, they're put in a tray along with the opened or the unopened secrecy envelopes. Those are then taken by a worker back to a table behind all of those desks, and they do something with them. We can't see what they do. And then a tray comes to the next three 	 outside of the ballot, or the envelopes. As it relates to whether naked ballots or marked secrecy envelopes are being pulled, we can report only what we're able to see on the first row of desks, essentially. We have attempted to get a better view by using binoculars. But the process is the extraction process is moving so fast that it's really impossible to see even using binoculars the desks that are behind the first one in each row. So there's very little that we're able to report back as to whether there's any ability to object for failure to comply with the requirements as we understand. Q. And because you're standing there, could you tell us what you believe would assist you or what would need to change to assist you in being able to observe what's going on? A. Well, definitely allowing us to get closer to the desks would allow us to have a better view. We'd at least be able to more than likely see what's happening at the first desk in each row, possibly the second desk in each row, and the extraction

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-5035 Fax 215-683-8005

	vember 3, 2020 IG OBSERVATION
REMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 33	- JEREMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 35
 Q. Can you hear me? A. I can. Q. My name is Sean McGrath. I'm an attorney for 	 1 A. I'm sorry. One second. A security guard just approached me. 3 Q. Okay. (Pause.) THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry about that, Your Honor. THE COURT: That's all right. You may proceed, Counsel. MR. McGRATH: Okay. BY MR. McGRATH: Okay. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. In the times that you've been there, have Board workers passed between your observation location and the tables? A. I'm sorry, have workers passed between where we are and the tables? Q. Yes. A. When they're walking in to go back to the back to put in for their shift or when they're walking out to go on a break, but not on a regular basis, not as part of the routine transiting of the ballots from one station to the next. Q. But workers are using that to walk through, correct? A. Occasionally a worker will walk through to come
	A. Occasionally a worker will walk through to comeback to use the restroom, or a worker will just walk
	25 Duck to use the restroom, of a worker will just wark
	- JEREMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 36
So, in terms of the layout that you've been describing, is there anything that has obstructed your line of sight to these different processes? A. Uhmm, there are some easels with signs for the various sections. I mean, you can move around them.	 in in street clothes without a vest on, so I'm assuming is coming in for a shift. But other than that, no. 4 Q. You said I believe you testified that there's approximately 15 to 20 feet, depending on what stage you're looking at, between your vantage point and that process; is that correct? 8 A. From the
 now. Where I'm standing, I can see all but one row based on the sign. But if I move, then I can see that row, but it blocks another. Q. Are there parts of the process that you are unable to see if you change your vantage point? A. There are. When the ballots are taken back 	 9 Q. The front of that process. 10 A. The first table or first desk, it's the 15 11 is on two of the rows of the envelope review. 12 Everything else is probably closer to the 20 or 13 longer more distant. 14 Q. Would it be fair to say if somebody was walking
to there's a gentleman who is just walking back to the table after the ballots have been the outer envelopes have been sliced open being taken back. And I you cannot see what's happening just because of distance. You just you know something's going on because the ballot trays go back there and other ballot trays come back out. But we don't know we can't see what's going on back there.	 through the middle of that space, that there would be between around 7 to 8 feet between them and the fence on either side? A. Uhmm, most of them tend to walk along the fence. So they're closer to the fence when they're walking and then kind of at an angle to cut the corner. I'm not sure if I am answering your question. I Q. Could you describe approximately I believe
	REMY MERCER (CROSS)- Page 33 or reviewing the sufficiency of the declaration, moving us closer to the first table in each row, but also allowing us to look, to go down the sides. There's an extensive amount of room on the side of the left most row and on the right most row that would allow us to get within 6 feet and not not impinge on the workers' space. That would at least allow us to be able to see perhaps the act of the ballot review or, I'm sorry, the envelope review process. Q. Okay. MS. KERNS: I have nothing further. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Counsel. CROSS-EXAMINATION G. Can you hear me? A. I can. Q. My name is Sean McGrath. I'm an attorney for the City. A. Hi, there. EREMY MERCER (CROSS)- Q. Hi. So, in terms of the layout that you've been describing, is there anything that has obstructed your line of sight to these different processes? A. Uhmm, there are some easels with signs for the various sections. I mean, you can move around them. Like, I'm standing, I can see all but one row based on the sign. But if I move, then I can see that row, but it blocks another. Q. Are there parts of the process that you are unable to see if you change your vantage point? A. There are. When the ballots are taken back to there's a gentleman who is just walking back to the table after the ballots have been the outer envelopes have been sliced open being taken back. And I you cannot see what's happening just because of distance. You just you know something's going on because the ballot trays go back there and other ballot trays come back out.

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-683-3035 Fax 215-683-8005

	vember 3, 2020 JG OBSERVATION
- JEREMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 37	- JEREMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 39
 the whole time continuously; is that correct? A. I'm sorry. I missed part of what you said. 	1 Q. Correct. 2 A. Yes.
3 Q. Have you observed this the precanvass during	3 (Counsel conferring.)
4 in periods of time or chunks of time or have you	4 BY MR. McGRATH:
5 been there since 7:00 a.m. nonstop?	5 Q. I just have a few more questions.
6 A. I have been here since before 7:00. I have had	6 First, could you explain where you
7 to step out into the lobby area of the building to	7 where you work; what your employment is?
8 address issues with getting additional	8 A. I'm sorry? I'm sorry, where I what?
9 representatives in, talking with the credentialing10 folks out there. And I've had to go use the	9 Q. What your employment is.10 A. I am a lawyer with the law firm of Porter,
10 folks out there. And I've had to go use the11 restroom. But I've not left the building. I have	11 Wright, Morris & Arthur. My office is based in
12 been here observing the process all day.	12 Pittsburgh. My office is in Pittsburgh,
13 Q. And I believe you testified that at the first	13 Pennsylvania.
14 stage, that you are able to see workers looking at	14 Q. And you have represented the Trump Campaign in
15 the back of envelopes; is that correct?	15 litigation during this election cycle, correct?
16 A. We're able to see that they are looking at the	16 A. That's correct.
17 back of the envelope, but not able to see what's on	17 Q. And is it correct that you have deposed
18 the back of the envelope. That's correct.	18 Jonathan Marks at the Department of State on some of
19 Q. If you were able to be closer, what is it that	19 these issues?
20 you would want to see that you haven't been able to	20 MS. KERNS: Objection; relevance.
21 see?22 A. It's my understanding that the backs of the	 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Overruled.
22 A. It's my understanding that the backs of the23 envelopes, the declaration is to be filled out,	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor,
24 dated, signed, and name of the elector printed,	24 I didn't hear your ruling.
address printed. And it's my understanding that	THE COURT: I said "overruled." You
- JEREMY MERCER (CROSS) - Page 38	- IN RE: CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 40
1 that information is required in order for the ballot	1 may testify you may address the answer the
2 to be determined to be sufficient. And if it's not,	2 question.
3 it may allow for an objection to that processing of	3 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I
4 that ballot.	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks.
4 that ballot.5 We'd like to be able to see whether	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH:
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation?
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH:
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case,
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions?
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. Kerns, do you have any redirect?
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. Kerns, do you have any redirect?
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 18 versus a secrecy envelope, yes. But we're not able 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. Kerns, do you have any redirect? MS. KERNS: Can I just have one
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 18 versus a secrecy envelope, yes. But we're not able 19 to see if the secrecy envelope has any markings on 20 it that, again, as we understand the statute, would 21 require that those ballots those envelopes be set 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. KERNS: Can I just have one moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Take your I want to say take your time, but just
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 18 versus a secrecy envelope, yes. But we're not able 19 to see if the secrecy envelope has any markings on 20 it that, again, as we understand the statute, would 21 require that those ballots those envelopes be set 22 aside. 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. KERNS: Can I just have one moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Take your I want to say take your time, but just be as efficient as you can.
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 18 versus a secrecy envelope, yes. But we're not able 19 to see if the secrecy envelope has any markings on 10 it that, again, as we understand the statute, would 21 require that those ballots those envelopes be set 22 aside. 23 Q. Are you able to freely walk along this area to 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. KERNS: Can I just have one moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Take your I want to say take your time, but just be as efficient as you can. (Counsel conferring.)
 4 that ballot. 5 We'd like to be able to see whether 6 there are objections that could or should be made to 7 ballots; that perhaps the name is not on there, the 8 date is missing or wrong. Just essentially ensuring 9 that the declaration had been completed as we 10 understand the statute to require it to be 11 completed. 12 Q. At the extraction stage, what you described as 13 the extraction stage, yes or no, were you able to 14 see what is extracted from the envelope in the first 15 row? 16 A. In the first row, the first desk in each row, 17 we're able to generally see if it is a naked ballot 18 versus a secrecy envelope, yes. But we're not able 19 to see if the secrecy envelope has any markings on 20 it that, again, as we understand the statute, would 21 require that those ballots those envelopes be set 22 aside. 	 THE WITNESS: That is incorrect. I have not deposed Jonathan Marks. BY MR. McGRATH: Q. Did you depose anyone in that litigation? A. I did not take any depositions in that case, no. MR. McGRATH: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I think we have other parties being represented. Did you have any questions? MS. LIN: No questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. KERNS: Can I just have one moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. Take your I want to say take your time, but just be as efficient as you can.

	In re: CANVASSIN	NG OBS	SERVATION
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 41	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 43
-	Proceed to closing, or do you have someone	-	for a candidate to know what's happening at the
1		1	count of votes because if the observer sees that
2	else actually, we can let the witness go.	2	
3	I think, Mr. Mercer, your testimony	3	the Election Code is not being followed, the
4	is complete, so you're dismissed. Your	4	procedures aren't being followed, like, for
5	testimony is complete. So thank you very much	5	example, the witness spoke about the naked
6	for your time.	6	ballot issue where the Election Code indicates
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your	7	that if the ballot does not have the secrecy
8	Honor.	8	envelope, it shouldn't be counted, or if the
9	THE COURT: All right.	9	ballot has marks on the secrecy envelope that
10	(Witness disconnected from the Zoom	10	would identify either the voter or who they are
11	call.)	11	voting for, that it should not be counted. But
12	THE COURT: Take care.	12	Mr. Mercer is precluded from really knowing what
13	All right. You may proceed.	13	is happening because he's too far away. So he
14	MS. KERNS: Yes, Your Honor.	14	cannot adequately report back to the candidate
15	3146.8 of the Pennsylvania Election	15	what he is observing, which precludes the
16	Code allows	16	candidate from taking any action if he needed
17	THE COURT: Just wait one second,	17	to.
18	before you complete.	18	Now, my friends on the other side are
19	I just realized, do you have any	19	going to say, well, you're not allowed to object
20	you have only one witness, right?	20	to what's going on at the canvass. But I would
21	MS. KERNS: Yes.	21	disagree with that because if we saw
22	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any	22	wholesale wholesale, basically, defiance of
23	witnesses? I apologize.	23	the Election Code, for example, not processing
24	MR. McGRATH: Your Honor, we're ready	24	the ballots correctly, the candidate would have
25	to proceed to closing.	25	to consider his options with regard to, you
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 42	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 44
	C C		
1	THE COURT: Okay.	1	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the
1 2	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless	1 2	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of
1 2 3	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you.	1 2 3	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the
1 2 3 4	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	1 2 3 4	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code.
1 2 3	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor.	1 2 3	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and
1 2 3 4	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure.	1 2 3 4	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not
1 2 3 4 5	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.)	1 2 3 4 5	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be
1 2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can have watchers or representatives to watch the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there is that the Election Board workers can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can have watchers or representatives to watch the process.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there is that the Election Board workers can occasionally be shoulder to shoulder and can be,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can have watchers or representatives to watch the process. And you heard the testimony of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there is that the Election Board workers can occasionally be shoulder to shoulder and can be, you know, 6 feet apart or sometimes less, but the observers have to be, for whatever reason,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can have watchers or representatives to watch the process. And you heard the testimony of the witness. He's there on behalf of Mr. Trump, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there is that the Election Board workers can occasionally be shoulder to shoulder and can be, you know, 6 feet apart or sometimes less, but
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Okay. MR. McGRATH: Unless MS. LIN: No witnesses. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. KERNS: One second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. (Counsel conferring.) MS. KERNS: Okay. THE COURT: Ready to proceed? MS. KERNS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Thanks. MS. KERNS: 3146.8 of the Election Code allows candidates to be present at the canvassing of the ballots. And to the extent the candidate is unavailable, obviously a candidate can't be at all the canvasses, and there's only one candidate, that candidate can have watchers or representatives to watch the process. And you heard the testimony of the witness. He's there on behalf of Mr. Trump, and he cannot see what is going on. So he cannot	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, possibly filing a lawsuit to stop the process or something along the lines of compelling the Election Board to follow the Code. The Code allows the watchers and representatives there. I mean, they're not there to be potted plants. They're there to be the eyes and the ears of the candidate. And Mr. Mercer testified that he can't get close to almost all of the process, and there's a lot of things going on that he can't see. We've heard a lot about the COVID restrictions, but if Mr. Mercer and other representatives like him are required to follow the COVID restrictions, it doesn't sound like the Election Board workers themselves are following the COVID restrictions. So apparently what's happening there is that the Election Board workers can occasionally be shoulder to shoulder and can be, you know, 6 feet apart or sometimes less, but the observers have to be, for whatever reason, at least 12 feet apart from the action and

	In re: CANVASSIN	NG OBS	SERVATION
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 45	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 47
1	heard the CDC guidance, you know, 6 feet of	1	gets more complicated, because today we were
2	social distancing and masks, frequent hand	2	counting or they were counting, excuse me,
3	washing and hand sanitizer, and Mr. Mercer has	3	mail-in ballots and absentee ballots.
4	testified that he's done all that. So it	4	Well, this canvass is going to
5	appears that it's not that there's one	5	become, you know, counting things that come in
6	standard for what's going on with the Election	6	from the ballot boxes that were out today and
7	Board workers with regard to the COVID	7	provisional ballots and ballots from the that
8	restrictions and then there's another standard	8	were excuse me, the materials from the
9	for what's going on with the observers.	9	polling places. And if the candidate can't know
10	Additionally, Pennsylvania is a	10	that this is happening in a uniform fashion, in
11	commonwealth with 67 counties. And if	11	adhering to the Election Code, that is simply
12	Philadelphia is precluding a candidate from	12	not fair, and that is not a free and fair
13	having a meaningful observation of what is	13	election.
14	occurring, then that's an equal protection	14	My friend on the other side indicated
15	argument. That means that the voters in, say,	15	that there was one example of a single incident
16	Erie County, where the room is much smaller and	16	of a mail-in ballot today. But I don't think
17	you can actually have meaningful observation on	17	that's an accurate representation of what
18	behalf of the candidate, or Lackawanna County or	18	occurred either today in this room or the room
19	Lancaster County or Allegheny County, if they	19	next door or in the city of Philadelphia. There
20	have more meaningful observation, then that's	20	was multiple, multiple, multiple issues of poll
21	not fair because that means the process is	20	watchers not being allowed in polling places or
22	different in all of the counties.	22	being kicked out.
23	And remember, Judge, that, as I	23	There was multiple issues of, you
24	started off with, we all agree here that voting	24	know, voter intimidation or improper things
25	is a fundamental right. It's one of our most	25	happening in polling places. And you know why,
20	is a randomental right. It's one of our most	23	happennig in poining places. This you know why,
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 46	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 48
1	precious rights. But voting encompasses the	1	Judge? Because humans are not perfect. I'm not
2	entire process. And it includes the right to	2	perfect. And I would guess that no one in this
3	properly tabulate and count the votes. And it	3	room is perfect. And that means that the people
4	includes the right for a candidate who invests	4	at the Convention Center aren't perfect either.
5	so much in the process of running for office,	5	And I think the General Assembly knew that. And
6	whether you're running for the top office or	6	that is why they put in the statute that let's
7	whether you're running for one of the row	7	give a little bit of oversight.
8	officers on the ballot or the General Assembly,	8	And I think it would be ridiculous to
9	local General Assembly races, they put so much	9	expect the General Assembly to write a statute
10	into it, and they have a right to and the	10	that says watchers are permitted, but, by the
11	General Assembly, indeed, gave them that right	11	way, don't put a cloth in front of them so they
12	to have someone there watching the process for	12	can't see, and don't put them in Madison Square
13	them.	13	Garden where the watchers are on one side and
14	We are not asking to defy COVID	14	what's happening is on the other side. I don't
15	restrictions and stand shoulder to shoulder.	15	think that anyone who writes statutes would
16	We're not asking to take off our masks. We're	16	expect that. I don't think anyone who reads
17	not asking to not wash our hands. We're not	17	statutes would expect a statute to say that.
18	asking at all to interfere in the process. All	18	This is really a commonsense issue.
19	we're asking is if there's things going on at	19	There are watchers and there are
20	the canvass, then representatives who are there	20	representatives. And if they are going to
21	on behalf of candidates should be able to	21	report back to their candidate, they should be
22	meaningfully report back to their candidates	22	able to do so. And it was clear from
23	what is going on. And that is simply simply	23	Mr. Mercer's testimony that he can't report
24	not happening.	24	back. So he cannot be a proper representative.
25	And this process is getting it	25	And my friends on the other sides are
1			•

	In re: CANVASSIN	NG OBS	SERVATION
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 49	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 51
	talking about a Nevada case, but this is the	-	As a result, the Pennsylvania Supreme
1	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And I am asking	1	Court made clear that any challenge made during
2	you, Judge, to assist us in compelling the Board	2	the precanvass that used to be in the Code no
3	of Elections to follow this section of the	3	longer exists. These are exactly the type of
4		4	
5	Election Code so that our watchers or my	5	challenges that the Trump Campaign is now trying
6	client's watchers and my client's	6	to bring. Further, as evidenced by this
7	representatives can meaningfully can actually, actually, see the process, can be in	7	testimony that we just heard, it's clear that
8	the room, can observe, and can be there and know	8	the witness here was able to observe everything
9	what is going on.	9	
10	THE COURT: Thank you.	10	that was occurring during the precanvass. He
11	Counsel.	11 12	described every single stage in detail. He described what is happening at every single
12	MR. McGRATH: Your Honor, I'd like to	12	stage in detail.
13 14	focus on the law here in the Commonwealth of	13	Simply put: Everything that the
15	Pennsylvania.	14 15	Trump Campaign wants to be able to do with this
	The Trump Campaign has said multiple	16	information has been precluded by the
16 17	times used the language "meaningful access."	16	Pennsylvania Supreme Court.
18	If we look at the plain language of the law, it	18	What the campaign is asking for is
19	states that authorized representatives of the	19	rearranging an ongoing processing of 350,000
20	campaigns and political parties may remain in	20	ballots 13 hours after it started, in the
20	the room for the precanvass and canvasses	20	middle, just before an overnight canvass.
22	occurring.	22	What the campaign seeks to do,
23	"Meaningful access" does not appear	22	importantly, has no basis in law, and in light
23	in that subsection. It does not appear in the	23	of the facts that are raised, is completely
25	section of the Election Code.	24	unreasonable. The Board has balanced the
25	section of the Election Code.	25	unreasonable. The Board has balanced the
- IN RE	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 50	- IN RF	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 52
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 50	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 52
- IN RE:	The fact that this plain language	- IN RE:	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with
	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent	1 2	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those
1 2 3	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3,	1 2 3	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the
1 2 3 4	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court	1 2 3 4	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied.
1 2 3	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding	1 2 3	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any
1 2 3 4 5 6	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here.	1 2 3 4 5 6	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for canvassing such ballots perhaps to avoid	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not permit that for him. He's not permitted to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for canvassing such ballots perhaps to avoid undermining the expansion effort by eliminating	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not permit that for him. He's not permitted to conduct an audit under the statute. If you look
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for canvassing such ballots perhaps to avoid undermining the expansion effort by eliminating the prospect of voters, including a potentially	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not permit that for him. He's not permitted to conduct an audit under the statute. If you look at the language of the statute, he's permitted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for canvassing such ballots perhaps to avoid undermining the expansion effort by eliminating the prospect of voters, including a potentially large number of new mail-in voters, will be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not permit that for him. He's not permitted to conduct an audit under the statute. If you look at the language of the statute, he's permitted to be in the room. He's permitted to be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The fact that this plain language becomes even clearer if you look at the recent state Supreme Court decision In Re: November 3, 2020 General Election. There, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania made a decision and a holding that is extremely relevant to the issue here. There they said that or excuse me, the Supreme Court held that then, in 2020, the Legislature eliminated time of canvassing challenges entirely, emphasized, from Section 3146.8 subsection (g)3. Amending that statute to eliminate the challenging grounds procedures and amending that section to eliminate the proviso that representatives shall be permitted to challenge any absentee elector or mail-in elector. The court went on to say: Presumably, in expanding voting by mail, the Legislature sought to streamline the process for canvassing such ballots perhaps to avoid undermining the expansion effort by eliminating the prospect of voters, including a potentially	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	critical issues of privacy, secrecy, safety with that of the ability to observe. For those reasons, we respectfully submit that the petition should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reply? MS. KERNS: Well, I just THE COURT: Wait. I believe counsel wishes to address this. All right. Ms. Lin. MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor. While we're not taking any position on this issue, I would point out that it seems like what Ms. Kerns is asking for or what Mr. Mercer is asking for is basically the best seat in the house. It seems that he wishes to be able to look over the shoulder of the workers, to be able to read exactly what they are reading. And frankly, the statute does not permit that for him. He's not permitted to conduct an audit under the statute. If you look at the language of the statute, he's permitted

	In re: CANVASSIN		
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 53		CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 55
	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	_	anyong there can have meaningful access
1	All right. Ms. Kerns.	1 2	anyone there can have meaningful access. I realize what time of the day it is,
2	MS. KERNS: Yes, Your Honor. I would	2	but that's I don't see how that's relevant to
4	just like to note that I have not, while we were	4	this process. It doesn't say that we relax
5	sitting here, said that we wanted to make a	5	things if it's a little late. There's nothing
6	specific challenge to any particular voter. I	6	in there about that, if we're strictly reading
7	think that the other side is saying that we are	7	the Code.
8	here seeking the right to challenge. That's not	8	And, you know, I will note that on
9	what we're seeking. We're seeking the right to	9	behalf of the Trump Campaign, we asked for
10	see what is going on.	10	information as to what this process was going to
11	So whether or not there could be	11	look like, and we were not told that. So we
12	challenges to individual voters, that appears to	12	didn't know any of this until today. So
13	be a question for another motion that doesn't	13	THE COURT: What are you referring
14	appear to be before us right at this second,	14	to, the "this"? We didn't know any of this,
15	because I never mentioned challenging any	15	what do you mean?
16	individual voter or ballot, and neither did	16	MS. KERNS: Oh, what he's saying he
17	Mr. Mercer. So that appears not to be relevant	17	observed today, like that wasn't released ahead
18	to this particular question.	18	of time. Like how many tables or that there
19	In addition, although I was told that	19	would be a gate or how far away they would be,
20	they that I think it was called I think	20	or none of that was really released in
21	they're called the PA Dems were not taking a	21	detail.
22	position, it appears that they did take a	22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	position. And the summary that I just heard	23	MS. KERNS: That's just what I meant;
24	from the PA Dems; that Mr. Mercer wants the best	24	that this was something that we just learned
25	seat in the house, to look over the shoulder and	25	today and so we're acting on today.
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 54	- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 56
1	read an audit, I did not hear him say that. He	1	THE COURT: Okay. I do need to speak
2	just said that he wanted to be able to see, and	2	with the law clerk before we close.
3	he's too far away. He's at least 12 feet, 12 to	3	
4	16 feet away from the closest table. And I	4	(Whereupon an off-the-record
5	think he said six to seven times that from the	5	discussion was held.)
6	furthest table. Nowhere did he say he wants the	6	
7	best seat in the house. Nowhere did he say he	7	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not prepared
8	wants privileges that another observer is not	8	at this very moment to decide. I need to take
9	getting. Nowhere did he say he wanted to get	9	this under advisement at least for a few
10	any closer than 6 feet. He never even said	10	minutes, because I feel there's a lot to
11	that. He never said he wanted to look over the	11	consider. And I want to read the case law, too,
12	shoulder.	12	that has been mentioned.
13	He did mention how Board workers	13	I need to talk to my staff about how
14	apparently are not are not consistently	14	we go from here because it is a little late, and
15	social distancing and adhering to COVID	15	they're here a lot longer than they're supposed
16	restrictions. But nowhere did he say that he	16	to be.
17	wants to do that, or that he wants to audit the	17	All right. So with that.
18	process.	18	THE TIPSTAFF: The Court will take a
19	He simply he simply wants to see	19	short recess till the call of the crier.
20	on behalf of his candidate. And we believe that	20	(Whereupon a reason was taken)
21	the Election Code and fairness and transparency and democracy would demand that he and the other	21	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
22 23	and democracy would demand that he and the other observers like him and the observers for any	22 23	THE TIPSTAFF: This Court is back in
	•		
	other candidate, whether it's the Democratic	24	session
24 25	other candidate, whether it's the Democratic candidate or the Green or the Libertarian party,	24 25	session. THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. You

- IN RE	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 57			Page 59
				Tage 55
1	may be seated.	1	CERTIFICATION	
2	I've had an opportunity to consider	2		
3	the testimony and also the law in this matter,	3	I hereby certify that the proceeding	ισς
4	and I want to start with a statement.	4	and evidence are contained fully and acc	
			•	•
5	The Petitioner's witness provided	5	in the notes taken by me on the trial of the	
6	copious testimony as to his ability to observe	6	above cause, and that this copy is a corre	ect
7	the opening and sorting of ballots. His concern	7	transcript of the same.	
8	pertained to his inability to observe the	8	I further certify that I am not a	
9	writing on the outside of the ballots and	9	relative or employee of any attorney or c	counsel
10	similar issues.	10	employed in this case.	
11	Given that observers are directed	11		
12	only to observe and not to audit ballots, we	12		
	conclude, based on the witness's testimony, the			
13		13	Labor L Kours DMD CDD CDC	
14	Board of Elections has complied with the	14	John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, CRC	
15	observation requirements under 25 P.S. 3146.8,	15	Registered Merit Reporter	
16	and therefore, we are denying the oral motion to	16	Certified Realtime Reporter	
17	allow closer observation of the canvassing of	17	Certified Realtime Captioner	
18	ballots.	18	Official Court Reporter	
19	We, however, would not discourage the	19	-	
20	Board from considering implementation	20		
21	arrangements to allow for an additional court	21	(The foregoing Certification of th	is
22	order for observation along the side of the	22	transcript does not apply to any reproduc	
23	canvassing tables, if feasible, and only if	23	the same by any means unless under the	
	feasible. This is not mandatory. This is just			
24		24	control and/or supervision of the certifyi	ng
25	a suggestion. Subject to spatial distancing	25	reporter.)	
		_		
- IN RE:	CANVASSING OBSERVATION - Page 58			
1	under COVID-19 regulations and voting privacy			
2	requirements, if feasible.			
3	So that is my order. Thank you very			
4	much. Have a good night.			
5	MR. FIELD: Thank you, Your Honor.			
6	MS. KERNS: Thank you, Your Honor.			
7	MS. LIN: Thank you, Your Honor.			
8	MR. McGRATH: Thank you, Your Honor.			
9	THE TIPSTAFF: This Court is now			
10	adjourned.			
11	(Court adjourned at 11:43 p.m.)			
12	(Court aujourned at 11.45 p.m.)			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
22				
24				
25				
1		1		

Α ability (5) 11:17;12:14;32:12; 52:2;57:6 able (40) 11:18;14:20;24:2; 27:18,23;28:3;29:21, 22,24;30:1,6,9,12,13, 19;31:20,23,24;32:4, 11,17,21;33:8;37:14, 16,17,19,20;38:5,13, 17,18,23;46:21;48:22; 51:9,15;52:17,18;54:2 above (1) 59:6 absentee (5) 6:20,22;7:23;47:3; 50:15 access (5) 5:9:7:4:49:17.23: 55:1 accidentally (1) 18:16 account (2) 11:8,11 accurate (1) 47:17 accurately (1) 59:4 Act (4) 12:8,11,17;33:8 acting (1) 55:25 action (2) 43:16:44:23 activities (7) 5:14;8:15;10:8; 11:19;27:7;28:11;31:7 activity (1) 6:12 actually (5) 8:20;41:2;45:17; 49:8,8 add (1) 12:20 adding (1) 24:5 addition (2) 11:9;53:19 additional (2) 37:8;57:21 Additionally (2) 7:1;45:10 address (7) 15:1;20:2;21:14; 37:8,25:40:1:52:9 addressed (1) 21:18 addressing (1) 16:3 adequately (1)

43:14 adhering (3) 31:21:47:11:54:15 adjourned (2) 58:10.12 admit (1) 16:7 advisement (1) 56:9 affirm (1) 16:25 again (4) 18:7;31:8,15;38:20 against (1) 18:18 agree (2) 7:5;45:24 agreement (1) 6:18 ahead (2) 22:16;55:17 Allegheny (1) 45:19 allow (8) 14:3;32:20;33:6,8; 38:3;39:21;57:17,21 allowed (3) 12:10;43:19;47:21 allowing (2) 32:19:33:3 allows (3) 41:16:42:14:44:5 almost (2) 12:22:44:10 alone (1) 22:15 along (7) 11:20;22:8;30:20; 36:18;38:23;44:2; 57:22 aloud (1) 12:13 although (1) 53:19 Amending (2) 50:11,13 amendments (2) 12:8,16 amount (1) 33:4 and/or (2) 21:1:59:24 angle (1) 36:20 anymore (1) 12:10 apart (4) 25:16,25;44:21,23 apologize (3) 21:16;29:10;41:23 Apparently (3) 16:2;44:18;54:14 appear (9)

10:9;14:12:25:24; 27:15:28:17:29:5; 49:23,24:53:14 appeared (3) 13:18,20;16:10 appearing (1) 17:6 appears (5) 7:14;45:5;53:12,17, 22 apply (1) 59:22 appreciate (1) 14:22 approached (1) 35:2 approximate (1) 24:21 approximately (8) 23:23;24:17;25:16; 28:23;29:3;31:5;36:5, 23 area (5) 11:20;22:23;31:16; 37:7;38:23 argument (1) 45:15 around (4) 10:5;22:18;34:7; 36:16 arrangements (1) 57:21 Arthur (1) 39:11 aside (1) 38:22 Assembly (5) 46:8,9,11;48:5,9 assist (5) 15:3:19:18:32:16, 17;49:3 assistant (1) 15:3 assisted (1) 19:13 assuming (2) 14:5;36:2 attempted (1) 32:6 attempting (1) 26:24 attorney (2) 33:23;59:9 audit (5) 12:24;52:21;54:1, 17;57:12 authorized (5) 5:4;7:19;8:8;11:16; 49:19 authorizes (1) 5:3 avoid (1) 50:20

away (11) 5:19.20.23:24:18.19: 27:1;31:3;43:13;54:3, 4:55:19B back (40) 4:6;6:12,13;7:3;9:2; 18:21.25:19:1:21:8; 22:3;24:3;27:11,12,15, 18:29:6:30:11.22: 31:13,15,20,24;32:11; 34:14,15,18,21,21,23; 35:17,17,25;37:15,17, 18;43:14;46:22;48:21, 24;56:23 backs (1) 37:22 badly (1) 28:7 balanced (2) 11:14:51:25 ballot (29) 6:12,20,22;9:2; 13:22;14:1;21:2,10; 22:25;27:10,16;28:14; 30:4;31:8,9;32:1;33:9; 34:20,21;38:1,4,17; 43:6,7,9;46:8;47:6,16; 53:16 ballots (36) 7:2,23,23;9:7;10:24; 11:5;14:4;21:12;22:4, 5;29:7,9,13,20;30:17, 18,19;31:2;32:3;34:14, 16;35:20;38:7,21; 42:15;43:24;47:3,3,7, 7:50:20:51:20:57:7.9, 12.18 Barbara (1) 15:15 barrier (1) 25:3 based (5) 10:22;12:7;34:10; 39:11;57:13 basically (2) 43:22;52:15 basis (2) 35:19:51:23 become (1) 47:5 becomes (1) 50:2 beginning (1) 21:11 behalf (9) 4:18;9:23;13:12; 31:18;42:22;45:18; 46:21;54:20;55:9 behind (5) 5:22;29:5;30:22;

31:15:32:10 bench (1) 15:20 best (5) 20:9,10;52:15; 53:24;54:7 better (3) 18:12:32:6.20 beyond (1) 22:3 big (1) 25:23 binoculars (2) 32:7,9 bit (2) 18:12;48:7 blanket (1) 8:5 blocks (1) 34:11 Bluestein (3) 21:15,16,16 Blumenthal (1) 21:13 Board (21) 8:12;9:6,24;25:12, 13;26:2,2,8,8;31:21; 35:11;44:3,16,19;45:7; 49:3;50:24;51:25; 54:13;57:14,20 box (1) 19:7 boxes (2) 6:12:47:6 break (1) 35:19 bring (2) 16:1;51:6 brought (5) 5:25;10:12;15:14; 16:2:50:24 building (2) 37:7,11 bump(1) 26:23 burden (1) 7:10 С call (6) 8:13;16:8;18:20; 25:21;41:11;56:19 called (2) 53:20,21 Campaign (13) 10:7,10;11:15; 15:24;16:1;21:1; 39:14;49:16;51:5,15,

18,22;55:9 campaigns (1) 49:20 can (61)

5:16;8:20;9:11,17; certifying (1) 25;51:3;54:21;55:7 57:6 52:1 11:25:14:23.25:15:1.3. 59:24 coming (6) **CROSS-EXAMINATION (1)** copy(2)chair (1) 6:12,13;7:2;10:4; 14:19:59:6 6;16:15;17:16,17;18:9, 33:16 crowd (2) 10:19:14:20:4.10.11: 17:19 21:21:36:2 corner (1) challenge (4) 21:7,19;23:22;24:6,13, comments (1) 25:6;38:25 36:21 21;25:8,11;26:12;27:8, 50:15;51:2;53:6,8 15:19 corrected (1) **CRR** (1) 14,20,25;28:17,19,20, challenges (5) commonsense (1) 13:23 59:14 12:9:50:10.25:51:5; 24:29:1,7,8:30:3:31:8, 48:18 correctly (1) cut (2) commonwealth (3) 18:22;36:20 10;32:4;33:21,22;34:7, 53:12 43:24 9,10;40:18,22;41:2; challenging (2) 45:11:49:2.14 Counsel (12) cycle (1) 42:18;44:19,20;45:17; 50:12;53:15 compare (1) 6:25;12:3;15:9;23:6; 39:15 33:14;35:8;39:3; 49:7,7,8,9,9;55:1 25:11 change (3) 27:21;32:17;34:13 D candidate (25) compelling (2) 40:23;42:7;49:12; 6:3;7:10,20;9:4; changed (2) 44:3;49:3 52:8;59:9 10:11;12:17 complete (3) 16:2;31:19,19;42:16, count (2) date (1) 43:2;46:3 17,18,18,24;43:1,14, chunks (1) 41:4,5,18 38:8 dated (1) 16,24;44:8;45:12,18; 37:4 completed (2) counted (4) 46:4;47:9;48:21; citation (1) 38:9,11 8:25;9:4;43:8,11 37:24 54:20,24,25 15:5 completely (1) counties (3) DAVIS (4) candidates (7) cite (1) 51:24 6:5;45:11,22 14:25;15:4,10,11 4:25;5:3;8:23;21:2; 14:23 complicated (2) counting (4) day (8) 10:22;47:1 9:7;47:2,2,5 42:14;46:21,22 cited (1) 6:14;9:11;13:18; canvass (15) 14:8 complied (1) County (6) 21:6;26:13;29:11; City (3) 5:2,6,10,12,19;6:11; 57:14 6:4;15:25;45:16,18, 37:12;55:2 7:16;8:10,15;12:9; 9:23;33:24;47:19 comply (1) 19.19 decide (1) 42:25;43:20;46:20; 32:13 **Court (106)** clear (7) 56:8 47:4;51:21 11:21;12:7,23; concern (2) 4:6,8,15,20;5:8; decision (7) 15:24;48:22;51:2,8 canvasses (2) 23:6;57:7 6:25;9:12,14,16,19,25; 10:13;12:20;13:2,4, 42:17;49:21 clearer (1) concerns (1) 10:13,14;12:2,6,7,21, 5;50:3,5 canvassing (5) 50:2 11:10 25;13:1,4,5,5,10,19,21, declaration (6) 42:15:50:9,20; Clemons (1) conclude (1) 25;14:7,17,18,20,20, 11:3:27:16:31:25: 13:23 57:13 22;15:2,5,8,18;16:4,7, 57:17.23 33:1:37:23:38:9 conduct (1) Captioner (1) clerk (1) 9,12;17:1,10,12,20,23; deep (1) 59:17 56:2 52:21 18:5,13,15,17,20,24; 23:22 conference (1) client (1) 19:1,4,10,17,22;20:8; care (1) defiance (1) 21:23;22:22;23:5,11, 5:25 18:1 43:22 41:12 conferring (5) carpeting (1) client's (2) 15,18;24:6,12;27:4,25; definitely (2) 12:3:15:9:39:3: 29:18;33:13;35:7; 6:17;32:19 28:7 49:6.6 40:23:42:7 39:21,25;40:11,16,20, case (9) close (9) defv (1) 26:11:28:22.24; 6:16:10:13:14:18; consider (3) 25;41:9,12,17,22;42:1, 46:14 15:12,16;40:7;49:1; 29:8.10.12:30:9:44:9: 43:25;56:11;57:2 4.6.9.11:49:11:50:3.4. demand (1) 56:11:59:10 56:2 considered (1) 8,17;51:2,17;52:5,8; 54:22 cause (1) closed (1) 12:21 53:1;55:13,22;56:1,7, democracy (1) 59:6 considering (1) 18,23,25;57:21;58:9, 5:11 54:22 12;59:18 **CDC**(1) closely (1) 57:20 Democratic (1) courts (1) 45:1 30:2 consistently (1) 54:24 54:14 50:24 cease (1) closer (8) **Dems (4)** 25:4;32:19;33:2; contained (1) 4:7 Court's (1) 13:12;15:11;53:21, **C-E-G-A-V-S-K-E** (1) 36:12,19;37:19;54:10; 59:4 15:7 24 15:16 57:17 contemplated (1) denied (1) covers (1) Center (5) closest (8) 12:14 9:14 52:4 8:11.13:10:21: 23:22;24:15;25:12; continue (2) COVID (7) denominated (1) 20:23;48:4 26:1,7;29:2,3;54:4 20:5;24:13 7:13;44:12,15,17; 28:13 closing (2) continuously (1) 45:7;46:14;54:15 certain (1) denying (1) 41:1,25 **COVID-19 (2)** 19:12 57:16 37:1 cloth (1) 11:10;58:1 certainly (1) control (3) Department (1) 48:11 25:6;38:25;59:24 **CRC** (1) 23:1 39:18 59:14 **Certification (1)** clothes (1) Convention (5) Depending (2) 59:21 36:1 8:11,12;10:21; credentialing (1) 22:10;36:5 Certified (2) Code (18) 20:22;48:4 37:9 depose (1) crier (1) 59:16.17 9:3:11:14:12:8,10, conversation (1) 40:6 certify (2) 14;41:16;42:14;43:3,6, 4:756:19 deposed (2) 59:3,8 23;44:4,5;47:11;49:5, copious (1) critical (1) 39:17;40:4

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-68 535 Fax 215-683-8005

(2) candidate - deposed

	In re: V	ANVASSING UBSERV	ATION	
depositions (1)	divided (1)	44:3,16,19;45:6;47:11,	even (5)	
40:7	21:25	13;49:5,25;50:4;54:21	6:16,21;32:9;50:2;	F
depth (1)	document (1)	Elections (3)	54:10	F
24:5	19:25	9:24;49:4;57:14	evening (10)	6 (1)
describe (3)	Donald (3)	Elections' (3)	4:8,10,11,13,14;	face (1)
21:19;27:8;36:23	4:19,23;15:14	8:12;9:6;31:21	9:22;13:11;20:19,20;	8:7
described (5)	done (3)	elector (3)	33:19	facilities (1)
28:9,10;38:12;	10:21;20:12;45:4	37:24;50:15,16	everyone (3)	22:5
51:11,12	door (1)	eliminate (2)	4:9;44:25;56:25	fact (2)
describing (1)	47:19	50:12,13	evidence (1)	11:14;50:1
34:3	down (2)	eliminated (1)	59:4	factors (2)
description (1)	6:25;33:3	50:9	evidenced (1)	10:22;11:13
23:12	drink (1)	eliminating (1)	51:7	facts (1)
descriptive (1)	26:14	50:21	exact (3)	51:24
22:19	drinking (1)	else (3)	12:22,22;31:4	failure (1)
	26:25	12:2;36:12;41:2		32:13
designated (2)			exactly (3) 9:12;51:4;52:18	Fair (5)
11:20;20:25	duly (1) 17:7	email (4)	examination (3)	29:18;36:14;45:21;
desk (6)		14:25;15:1,1,17		47:12,12
28:25;29:23;32:22,	during (8)	emphasized (1)	5:17;20:16;28:10	fairness (1)
23;36:10;38:16	6:1;10:7;12:9;34:24;	50:10	examined (1)	54:21
desks (11)	37:3;39:15;51:2,10	employed (1)	17:8	fall (1)
29:1;30:11,16,22,25;	dying (1)	59:10	examining (1)	25:21
31:1,4,16;32:5,10,20	27:22	employee (1)	5:14	far (7)
detail (3)	Г	59:9	example (5)	5:18,20,22;31:3;
51:11,13;55:21	E	employment (2)	8:3;9:1;43:5,23;	43:13;54:3;55:19
determined (1)		39:7,9	47:15	fashion (3)
38:2	earlier (1)	encompasses (1)	Except (1)	10:11;23:4;47:10
different (6)	6:1	46:1	26:14	fast (1)
5:13;6:9;11:6;27:12;	earphone (1)	end (3)	excess (1)	32:8
34:4;45:22	28:2	8:15,16;26:4	5:20	feasible (3)
differently (1)	earphones (1)	enlighten (1)	excuse (5)	57:23,24;58:2
22:11	27:22	9:12	12:25;13:3;47:2,8;	fed (1)
difficult (1)	earpiece (1)	enough (4)	50:7	28:15
18:6	18:11	29:8,12,18;30:9	exists (1)	federal (4)
DIRECT (2)	earplugs (1)	ensure (2)	51:4	10:13,14;12:21;13:2
20:16;59:23	27:22	12:17;22:24	expanding (1)	feel (3)
directed (1)	ears (1)	ensured (1)	50:18	13:14;14:6;56:10
57:11	44:8	11:18	expansion (1)	feet (29)
disagree (1)	easels (1)	ensuring (2)	50:21	5:21;7:15;23:19,24,
43:21	34:6	11:1;38:8	expect (3)	25;24:4,15,17,19,22;
disagreed (1)	easier (2)	entire (2)	48:9,16,17	25:16,17,25;27:1;
6:19	19:23;22:18	7:7;46:2	explain (2)	28:23,24;29:4,4,6;
discern (3)	eating (2)	entirely (1)	12:5;39:6	31:6;33:6;36:5,16;
30:3,6,13	26:15,25	50:10	explore (2)	44:21,23;45:1;54:3,4,
disconnected (1)	echos (1)	envelope (24)	7:25;11:25	10
41:10	28:7	9:3;25:24;27:16,19;	exposure (1)	fence (4)
discourage (1)	effectively (1)	28:16;29:15;30:1,4,7,	26:18	36:17,19,19;38:25
57:19		8,13;31:2,9,11;32:25;	extensive (1)	fences (1)
discreet (2)	efficient (2)	33:9;36:11;37:17,18;	33:4	25:6
22:1,2	12:18;40:22	38:14,18,19;43:8,9	extent (1)	few (2)
discussion (1)	effort (1)	envelopes (18)	42:15	39:5;56:9
56:5	50:21	5:15,17;11:3,4,5;	externally (1)	FIELD (3)
dismissed (1)	eight (1)	21:10,12;27:10;28:11,	31:5	4:14;22:21;58:5
41:4	22:14	15,19;30:21;32:1,3;	extracted (2)	Fifteen (1)
distance (5)	either (5)	34:17;37:15,23;38:21	11:6;38:14	24:22
25:11,13;26:24;	27:11;36:17;43:10;	equal (1)	extraction (7)	filing (1)
31:4;34:19	47:18;48:4	45:14	5:14;28:14;32:8,23;	44:1
distance-wise (1)	elbow (1)	equipment (1)	34:8;38:12,13	filled (1)
24:5	26:23	28:5	extremely (1)	37:23
distancing (3)	election (24)	Erie (1)	50:6	fine (1)
45:2;54:15;57:25	7:20;25:12,13,20;	45:16	eyes (1)	17:23
distant (1)	26:2,2,8,8;39:15;	essentially (3)	44:8	finished (1)
36:13	41:15;42:13;43:3,6,23;	29:24;32:5;38:8		31:12
	1			L

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-68.

(3) depositions - finished

⁾

finishes (1)		handshake (1)
30:16	G	26:23
firm (1)	0	happen (1)
39:10	a11(2)	19:4
first (27)	g1.1(2)	happening (14)
5:21;16:22;17:7,16;	5:3;7:19	8:20;27:20;29:13;
22:13,15,20;23:20,23;	g_{5011}	32:22;34:18;42:25;
	50:11	
24:1;27:9;28:25;29:2,	Garden (1)	43:1,13;44:18;46:24;
23,23,25;32:5,10,22;	48:13	47:10,25;48:14;51:12
33:2;36:10,10;37:13;	gate (1)	happy (1)
38:14,16,16;39:6	55:19	22:17
FJD (1)	gates (1)	Hazel (1)
4:5	5:19	15:11
focus (1)	gave (1)	head (1)
49:14	46:11	17:18
folks (1)	General (6)	hear (11)
37:10	46:8,9,11;48:5,9;	13:7;18:9;20:4;
follow (5)	50:4	23:12;26:4;27:20,23;
14:5;20:1;44:3,14;		28:3;33:21;39:24;54:1
49:4	generally (1)	heard (8)
followed (2)	38:17	6:6;10:15,17;42:21;
43:3,4	gentleman (1)	
	34:15	44:12;45:1;51:8;53:23
following (3)	germs (1)	hearing (1)
4:4;14:2;44:17	26:19	17:13
follows (1)	gets (1)	held (2)
17:8	47:1	50:8;56:5
foot (2)	Given (1)	help (1)
25:8,9	57:11	17:3
foregoing (1)	glasses (1)	hereby (1)
59:21	17:24	59:3
formal (1)	God (1)	Hi (4)
19:7	17:3	33:19,20,25;34:1
forth (2)		high (1)
8:25;21:8	Good (14)	25:5
four (5)	4:8,10,11,13,14;	hold (1)
22:1,2,14;29:1,25	9:22;13:11;18:13;	18:18
frankly (1)	20:19,20;27:4;28:5;	holding (1)
52:19	33:19;58:4	50:5
Fred (1)	Great (2)	Honor (32)
15:12	15:18;20:8	4:10,12,13,14;9:23;
free (1)	Green (1)	13:9,13;15:10,23;18:3;
47:12	54:25	20:7;21:22;22:21;
	grounds (1)	23:21;24:11;29:16;
freely (1)	50:12	
38:23	guard (1)	35:6;39:23;40:10,19,
frequent (1)	35:1	24;41:8,14,24;42:5;
45:2	guess (1)	49:13;52:11;53:3;
friend (1)	48:2	58:5,6,7,8
47:14	guidance (1)	hope (1)
friends (2)	45:1	20:11
43:18;48:25		hopefully (1)
front (7)	H	18:12
8:5;22:20;24:8,10;		hour (1)
34:8;36:9;48:11	half (1)	10:19
fully (2)	5:16	hours (1)
11:23;59:4	halfway (1)	51:20
fundamental (2)	22:2	house (3)
7:5;45:25	Hall (7)	52:16;53:25;54:7
further (7)		huge (2)
24:3;29:6;30:11;	20:22;21:4,5,6,9,25;	8:5,13
33:12;40:9;51:7;59:8	31:17	humans (1)
furthest (2)	hand (4)	48:1
24:18;54:6	26:20;31:14;45:2,3	hung (2)
24.10,34.0	hands (2)	18:16,17
	26:21;46:17	10.10,17

I identify (1) 43:10 imagine (1) 36:25 impinge (1) 33:7 implementation (1) 57:20 importance (1) 10:23 important (3) 12:4;18:8;42:25 importantly (1) 51:23 impossible (1) 32:9 improper (1) 47:24 inability (1) 57:8 incident (2) 13:20;47:15 incidents (1) 13:25 includes (4) 7:6,8;46:2,4 including (1) 50:22 **Incorporated (2)** 4:19,24 incorrect (1) 40:3 indeed (2) 12:16;46:11 indicate (1) 12:16 indicated (1) 47:14 indicates (3) 6:21;7:18;43:6 individual (3) 15:13;53:12,16 indulgence (1) 15:7 inform (1) 14:7information (3) 38:1;51:16;55:10 initial (2) 10:3;12:11 inner (1) 28:19 inside (3) 28:16,20;29:15 intends (1) 8:19 interacting (1) 21:13 interfere (2) 8:2;46:18

25:7 intimidation (1) 47:24 into (9) 6:11;11:7,11;16:8; 21:4;22:1,24;37:7; 46:10 invests (1) 46:4 irregularities (4) 6:24;8:21,24;13:17 issue (9) 7:13;9:1;10:4;12:22; 17:1;43:6;48:18;50:6; 52:13 issues (11) 6:3,15;16:3;21:17; 22:25;37:8;39:19; 47:20,23;52:1;57:10 J Jeremy (3) 9:10;16:21;17:6 J-E-R-E-M-Y (1) 16:22 John (1)

interlocking (1)

K

13:23;16:7;17:12;

45:23;48:1;49:3

59:14

Judge (6)

judge's (1)

17:19

judicial (1)

15:2

Jonathan (2)

39:18:40:4

keep (3) 5:20;9:18;24:5 kept (1) 7:14 **KERNS (38)** 4:10,18,22,22;7:1; 9:15,17;13:17;14:8; 15:23;16:6;18:22; 20:13,18;22:6;23:8; 24:14;27:6;28:8; 29:19;33:12;39:20; 40:17,18,24;41:14,21; 42:5,8,10,13;52:7,14; 53:2,3;55:16,23;58:6 K-E-R-N-S (1) 4:23 kicked (1) 47:22 kind (3) 14:15;25:9;36:20 knew (1) 48:5

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-681-5035 Fax 215-683-8005

	In re:	CANVASSING OBSERV	ATION	
knowing (1)	51:23	7:23;13:22;14:1,4;	measures (1)	much (7)
43:12	likely (1)	47:3,16;50:15,23	26:17	9:20;29:22;41:5;
	32:21			
Kraus (1)		maintain (1)	meeting (1)	45:16;46:5,9;58:4
15:12 K D A H S (1)	limited (2)	26:24	26:22	multiple (5)
K-R-A-U-S (1)	22:23;27:17	makes (1)	Melissa (1)	47:20,20,20,23;
15:12	LIN (11)	19:22	15:11	49:16
Kurz (1)	4:13;13:9,11,11;	making (1)	mention (1)	NT
59:14	14:24;15:7;40:15;	9:7	54:13	N
Ŧ	42:3;52:10,11;58:7	mandatory (1)	mentioned (2)	
\mathbf{L}	Linda (1)	57:24	53:15;56:12	naked (5)
	4:22	many (5)	Mercer (15)	30:4,19;32:2;38:17;
Lackawanna (1)	line (2)	22:12,16;24:15,19;	9:10;16:21,22;17:6;	43:5
45:18	11:21;34:4	55:18	20:19;27:2;33:19;	name (13)
laid (1)	lines (1)	marked (1)	41:3;43:12;44:9,13;	4:22;9:9;15:10,12,
31:22	44:2	32:3	45:3;52:15;53:17,24	16;16:12,16,16,22,22;
Lancaster (1)	litigating (1)	markings (2)	M-E-R-C-E-R (1)	33:23;37:24;38:7
45:19	6:14	30:8;38:19	16:23	names (1)
language (5)	litigation (2)	Marks (3)	Mercer's (1)	12:12
14:15;49:17,18;	39:15;40:6	39:18;40:4;43:9	48:23	nature (1)
50:1;52:22	little (6)	mask (2)	Merit (1)	4:20
large (3)	18:12;31:23;32:11;	18:6;26:12	59:15	nearest (1)
21:20,25;50:23	48:7;55:5;56:14	masks (4)	metal (1)	25:17
last (4)	lobby (2)	4:5;7:16;45:2;46:16	25:10	necessarily (2)
12:19;15:15;16:16,	21:6;37:7	material (2)	meters (1)	8:17;12:15
22	local (1)	10:11;29:15	23:19	necessary (1)
late (3)	46:9	materials (2)	middle (2)	21:14
10:19;55:5;56:14	located (1)	6:13;47:8	36:15;51:21	need (8)
Laughter (1)	27:16	math (1)	might (1)	13:15;20:1,1;21:17;
18:19	location (1)	24:20	14:20	32:17;56:1,8,13
law (8)	35:12	matter (2)	minutes (1)	needed (1)
6:21;39:10;49:14,	long (2)	10:3;57:3	56:10	43:16
18;51:23;56:2,11;57:3	21:3;29:10	matters (1)	missed (1)	needs (2)
lawsuit (4)	longer (3)	17:14	37:2	10:18;11:7
5:25;15:25;16:1;	36:13;51:4;56:15	may (14)	missing (1)	neither (1)
44:1	look (10)	4:15;9:1;13:9;16:7,	38:8	53:16
lawyer (1)	27:11;31:2;33:3;	9;20:2;24:13;35:8;	mistakes (1)	Nevada (4)
39:10	49:18;50:2;52:17,21;	38:3;40:1,1;41:13;	9:7	12:21;13:4;14:18;
lawyers (1)	53:25;54:11;55:11	49:20;57:1	moment (2)	49:1
20:2	looking (5)	maybe (1)	40:19;56:8	new (1)
layout (1)	21:9;27:15;36:6;	24:4	Montgomery (1)	50:23
34:2	37:14,16	McGRATH (18)	15:25	next (7)
leading (1)	looks (1)	4:11;9:22,23;10:2;	more (12)	25:20;28:13,21;
29:17	17:18	12:4;13:3;29:16;	6:11;7:15;9:18;	30:24;31:16;35:21;
leaning (1)	lose (1)	33:18,23;35:9,10;39:4;	22:18;23:24;27:1;	47:19
26:10	18:14	40:5,9;41:24;42:2;	32:21;36:13;39:5;	night (1)
learned (2)	lot (6)	49:13;58:8	44:24;45:20;47:1	58:4
6:2;55:24	6:11;7:2;44:10,12;	mean (4)	morning (5)	none (1)
least (7)	56:10,15	21:15;34:7;44:6;	6:10;10:6,15;11:1;	55:20
25:15,17;32:21;	loud (1)	55:15	21:5	nonstop (1)
33:7;44:23;54:3;56:9	28:4	meaning (1)	Morris (1)	37:5
left (3)		30:4	39:11	note (5)
25:7;33:5;37:11	M	meaningful (12)	most (6)	10:4,14;22:21;53:4;
Legislature (2)		5:9;8:9;10:17;14:9,	18:8;25:16;33:5,5;	55:8
50:9,19	machine (6)	10,11;45:13,17,20;	36:18;45:25	notes (3)
legitimate (1)	6:20,23;13:22;14:2;	49:17,23;55:1	motion (6)	19:11,14;59:5
11:10	29:21;31:10	meaningfully (5)	10:5,14,18;13:14;	November (1)
lengths (1)	machines (3)	5:23;8:18;14:10;	53:13;57:16	50:3
24:25	14:5;28:15,18	46:22;49:7	move (3)	Nowhere (4)
		-	31:16;34:7,10	
$less (2) \\ 5 \cdot 16 \cdot 44 \cdot 21$	Madison (1)	means (5)		54:6,7,9,16
5:16;44:21	48:12	19:10;45:15,21;	moved (3)	number (2)
Libertarian (1)	mail (1)	48:3;59:23	5:12;6:10;26:25	28:5;50:23
54:25	50:18	meant (1)	moving (2)	
light (1)	mail-in (8)	55:23	32:8;33:2	

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-68

0 object (3) 20:3;32:12;43:19 objection (6) 20:4;23:1,3;29:16; 38:3;39:20 objections (1) 38:6 observation (7) 35:12;45:13,17,20; 57:15,17,22 observe (16) 5:23;7:11;12:23; 14:10,11;21:2;29:21, 22;32:18;38:24;49:9; 51:9;52:2;57:6,8,12 observed (2) 37:3:55:17 observer (3) 8:4:43:2:54:8 observers (11) 7:14;8:14,19;11:15; 12:23;14:14;44:22; 45:9;54:23,23;57:11 observing (7) 27:8;28:11;30:15; 31:7;34:25;37:12; 43:15 obstructed (1) 34:4 obstructions (1) 11:24 **Obviously (3)** 10:23;11:25;42:16 occasion (1) 20:3 Occasionally (2) 35:24:44:20 occurred (2) 8:22;47:18 occurring (7) 6:10;8:24;10:9: 11:20;45:14;49:22; 51:10 o'clock (1) 10:25 off (5) 18:22;21:22;24:2; 45:24:46:16 office (5) 8:12;39:11,12;46:5, 6 **OFFICER (3)** 16:7:18:15.24 officers (1) 46:8 Official (1) 59:18 off-the-record (1) 56:4 once (1)

23:15 one (31) 6:16;7:12,20;8:14, 14:11:15:19:20:20:25: 23:24;25:1;26:7; 27:11;28:25;29:2,2,3; 32:10;34:9;35:1,21; 40:18;41:17,20;42:5, 18;45:5,25;46:7; 47:15:48:2.13 ones (1) 30:18 one's (1) 26:11 ongoing (1) 51:19 only (10) 5:17;11:1,18;29:2, 22;32:4;41:20;42:18; 57:12,23 open (9) 28:6,16,19;29:14,25; 30:17;31:1,5;34:17 opened (4) 11:3,4,5;30:20 opening (2) 29:20;57:7 opportunity (2) 14:11;57:2 opposed (2) 25:13:26:23 options (1) 43:25 oral (1) 57:16 order (3) 38:1:57:22:58:3 out (18) 12:5;13:19;16:2; 24:23:27:10:30:2,12, 14;31:11,22;34:21; 35:19:37:7,10,23:47:6, 22;52:13 outer (2) 28:16;34:17 Outside (4) 13:24;30:1;32:1; 57:9 over (7) 17:14;25:21,21; 26:10;52:17;53:25; 54:11 overnight (1) 51:21 **Overruled (2)** 39:22,25 oversight (1) 48:7 Р PA (3) 13:12;53:21,24

paramount (2) 10:23:11:10 part (4) 11:2.22:35:20:37:2 participating (2) 4:4:17:13 particular (2) 53:6,18 parties (3) 4:3;40:12:49:20 parts (2) 22:8;34:12 party (2) 7:21;54:25 passed (3) 12:12:35:12,14 pause (2) 20:4;35:4 Pennsylvania (10) 12:6;15:11;39:13; 41:15;45:10;49:2,15; 50:5;51:1,17 people (9) 8:17;14:3;26:22,24; 27:1;28:5;30:12; 31:10;48:3 percent (1) 5:18 perfect (4) 48:1,2,3,4 perhaps (3) 33:8:38:7:50:20 period (2) 10:16:34:24 periods (1) 37:4 permit (1) 52:20 permitted (8) 5:1:7:22:14:14; 48:10;50:14;52:20,22, 23 person (3) 13:21:14:1:25:18 pertained (1) 57:8 petition (1) 52:4 Petitioner's (1) 57:5 Philadelphia (5) 6:4:9:24:20:22: 45:12;47:19 phone (1) 22:18 photography (1) 22:22 phrase (1) 14:9 physical (2) 10:21:11:12 pick (1) 31:14

pickets (1) 25:9 Pittsburgh (2) 39:12.12 place (5) 7:7;8:11;14:3;27:12, 12 places (6) 6:14,16;8:22;47:9, 21,25 placing (1) 31:11 plain (2) 49:18;50:1 plans (1) 5:7 plants (1) 44:7 Please (5) 4:7;15:7;16:13,15; 27:5 plus (3) 24:22;25:1;28:6 pm (2) 4:2;58:12 point (9) 7:3;12:5,5;13:19; 14:21;23:12;34:13; 36:7;52:13 political (2) 7:21:49:20 poll (1) 47:20 polling (7) 6:13,15;7:7;8:22; 47:9,21,25 polls (1) 5:11Porter (1) 39:10 position (4) 13:14:52:12:53:22, 23 possibly (3) 6:7;32:22;44:1 potential (1) 26:18 potentially (1) 50:22 potted (1) 44:7 precanvass (11) 5:12;6:1;10:8;12:9, 18,24;21:2;37:3; 49:21;51:3,10 precanvassed (1) 7:24 precanvassing (1) 5:1 precautionary (1) 26:17 precautions (1) 7:17

precious (1) 46:1 precluded (2) 43:12:51:16 precludes (1) 43:15 precluding (1) 45:12 prejudice (1) 6:2 prepared (1) 56:7 present (5) 4:3;14:14;16:5; 42:14;52:24 presented (2) 13:21,25 President (3) 4:19,24;15:14 press (1) 23:10 Presumably (1) 50:18 Pretty (1) 29:22 preventing (1) 25:3 printed (2) 37:24,25 privacy (5) 11:2,7;22:25;52:1; 58:1 private (1) 4:7privileges (1) 54:8 probably (1) 36:12 procedure (1) 20:1 procedures (6) 14:3,5;19:12;31:22; 43:4;50:12 Proceed (6) 27:5;35:8;41:1,13, 25;42:9 proceeding (1) 19:8 proceedings (2) 4:4;59:3 process (33) 7:8:11:2.22.23: 21:11;28:12,21,22; 29:9,12;31:3;32:7,8, 24;33:10;34:12;36:7, 9;37:12;42:20;44:2, 10;45:21;46:2,5,12,18, 25;49:8;50:19;54:18; 55:4,10 processed (2) 10:24.25 processes (3) 22:2;30:15;34:5

Min-U-Script®

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-681-5635 Fax 215-683-8005

(6) object - processes

	In re:	CANVASSING UDSERV	ATION	
processing (5)	rather (3)	15:13;59:15	20:5	
12:18;22:4;38:3;	8:11;10:13;23:12	regular (1)	respectfully (1)	S
43:23;51:19	Re (1)	35:19	52:3	
progress (1)	50:3	regulations (1)	respond (2)	safety (1)
29:6	read (5)	58:1	10:1;23:7	52:1
pronounce (1)	12:12;14:17;52:18;	relates (3)	response (1)	
15:15	54:1;56:11	31:24;32:2,25	16:14	same (5)
proper (4)	reading (3)	relative (2)	restrictions (6)	12:22;28:18;31:4;
7:17;8:3;14:2;48:24	8:3;52:19;55:6	23:9;59:9	44:13,15,17;45:8;	59:7,23
properly (1)	reads (1)	relax (1)	46:15;54:16	sandwich (1)
46:3	48:16	55:4	restroom (3)	26:15
prospect (1)	ready (3)	released (2)	26:21;35:25;37:11	sanitizer (2)
50:22	9:10;41:24;42:9	55:17,20	result (1)	26:20;45:3
protecting (1)	realize (1)	relevance (1)	51:1	saw (1)
26:17	55:2	39:20	review (6)	43:21
protection (1)	realized (1)	relevant (3)	25:24;31:25;32:25;	saying (2)
45:14	41:19	50:6;53:17;55:3	33:9,9;36:11	53:7;55:16
		remain (5)	reviewing (1)	scanning (2)
protocol (1)	really (8)			5:15;21:12
4:5	7:3;13:13;14:18;	7:22;11:17,18;	33:1	scheduled (4)
provided (1)	30:13;32:9;43:12;	14:13;49:20	ridiculous (1)	6:7,7;10:15,16
57:5	48:18;55:20	remember (1)	48:8	screen (2)
provision (1)	Realtime (2)	45:23	right (50)	16:11;18:23
5:2	59:16,17	removed (2)	4:8;7:5,6,8,8;8:23;	Sean (2)
provisional (2)	reappeared (1)	28:17,20	9:5,25;13:7;14:13,24;	9:23;33:23
7:2;47:7	18:23	reply (1)	15:17,19,22;17:25;	seat (3)
proviso (1)	rearranging (1)	52:6	18:8,20;19:1,24;20:13;	52:15;53:25;54:7
50:14	51:19	report (9)	22:20;23:5,11;24:8,8,	seated (4)
PS (1)	reason (2)	31:20,23,24;32:4,11;	11,12;25:8,20;28:9;	4:15;25:15,23;57:1
57:15	10:17;44:22	43:14;46:22;48:21,23	33:5,14;34:8;35:7;	second (5)
pull (1)	reasons (1)	Reporter (4)	40:25;41:9,13,20;	32:23;35:1;41:17;
30:1	52:3	59:15,16,18,25	42:11;45:25;46:2,4,10,	42:5;53:14
pulled (3)	received (5)	represent (1)	11;52:10;53:2,8,9,14;	secrecy (17)
27:13;30:14;32:4	6:19,22;13:21;14:1,	4:23	56:17	9:3;11:4;22:25;
pulling (2)	4	representation (1)	rights (1)	28:19;29:15;30:4,7,
30:12;31:10	receiving (1)	47:17	46:1	21;31:1,11;32:3;38:1
purpose (1)	22:4	representative (8)	RMR (1)	19;43:7,9;52:1
12:11	recent (3)	5:4,5;7:19,21;8:9;	59:14	section (12)
pursuant (1)	12:16,20;50:2	11:16,16;48:24	room (20)	22:10,13,15,20;
4:5	recently (1)	representatives (10)	5:6;7:22;8:1,4,7,14;	23:20;25:24;27:9;
put (10)	12:6	20:25;37:9;42:19;	11:17,19;14:13;21:20;	28:12;49:4,25;50:10
8:5;28:1;30:20;	recess (2)	44:6,14;46:20;48:20;	22:24;23:4;33:4;	13
31:13;35:18;46:9;	56:19,21	49:7,19;50:14	45:16;47:18,18;48:3;	sections (2)
48:6,11,12;51:14	record (3)	represented (3)	49:9,21;52:23	22:1;34:7
putting (1)	13:16;16:13,17	10:10;39:14;40:13	roughly (1)	security (2)
18:11	recording (1)	representing (1)	24:4	10:23;35:1
	22:23	42:24	routine (1)	seeking (3)
Q	redirect (1)	reproduction (1)	35:20	53:8,9,9
Ľ	40:17	59:22	row (17)	seeks (1)
quickly (1)	refer (5)	Republican (1)	11:20;23:23,24;	51:22
9:20	13:17;19:11,14,25;	21:1	29:23;32:5,10,22,23;	seems (3)
quite (2)	25:7	Republicans (1)	33:2,5,5;34:9,11;	
5:20,22	referenced (1)	4:17	38:15,16,16;46:7	25:6;52:13,16
5.20,22	15:25	request (2)	rows (8)	sees (1)
R	referred (1)	4:16,21	23:21;24:1;29:1,24,	43:2
Ν	30:3	require (2)	25;30:25;31:1;36:11	separate (1)
races (1)	referring (1)	38:10,21	ruling (1)	5:2
races (1)	55:13		runng (1) 39:24	session (2)
46:9		required (2)		4:7;56:24
raise (1)	refers (1)	38:1;44:14	run (1)	set (6)
31:14	14:13	requirements (3)	22:24	11:12;22:7,10;24:2;
Parend (7)	regard (5)	32:13;57:15;58:2	running (4)	28:17;38:21
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
10:18;51:24	5:17;26:17;29:20;	requires (1)	28:6;46:5,6,7	setup (2)
raised (2) 10:18;51:24 range (2) 29:4;31:6		requires (1) 11:14 resolve (1)	28:6;46:5,6,7	setup (2) 10:21;21:19

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-68.

29:1:54:5 smalle 45:1 several (1) smoot 11:6 shall (2) 12:17:21;50:14 social 45:2 shift (2) 35:18;36:2 solemr short (1) 16:2 56:19 someb shortly (1) 36:1 21:4 someo shoulder (10) 6:17 26:10,10,11;44:20, 21:2 20;46:15,15;52:17; somet 53:25;54:12 34:2 showing (1) someti 30:5 7:6 side (10) somew 15:20;33:4;36:17; 29:4 38:25;43:18;47:14; sorry 48:13,14;53:7;57:22 16:1 10:1 sides (2) 33:3;48:25 22:1 sight (2) 28:1 11:21;34:4 33:9 39:8 sightline (1) sort (1 7:4 sign (1) 23:3 34:10 sortin signed (1) 22:4 37:24 sough signs (1) 50:1 34:6 sound similar (4) 44:1 6:3;16:3;28:18; space 8:13 57:10 Similarly (1) 33:7 8:10 spatia simply (8) 57:2 30:8,12:46:23,23; speak 47:11;51:14;54:19,19 9:21 single (6) 56:1 11:22,23;13:20; speaki 47:15;51:11,12 17:2 specifi sitting (3) 17:18;24:7;53:5 22:2 spell (situation (1) 13:23 16:1 six (3) spoke 24:22,25;54:5 43:5 slice (2) Squar 28:15;31:1 48:1 sliced (4) stack (28:19;29:14;30:17; 31:1 34:17 staff (slicing (2) 56:1 29:25;31:5 stage (slotted (1) 11:2 25:8 31:1 slow (1) 38:1 6:25 stages slowly (1) 11:6 20:10 stand

in iei s	
er (1)	9:5;46:
16	standard
h (1)	45:6,8
17	standing
(2)	22:19;2
2;54:15 nly (1)	10;26:1 34:8,9
24	start (2)
ody (1)	17:15;5
14	started (2
one (6)	45:24;5
7,19;17:18; 21;41:1;46:12	state (8) 12:25;1
hing's (1)	12.23,1
20	stated (1)
imes (3)	13:15
5;44:21,24	statemen
where (2)	57:4
4;31:6	states (1) 49:19
(25) 18;17:22;18:3,	49.19 station (1
19:2;21:16,21;	35:21
16;26:4,6;27:3,21;	stations (
1;29:3,10,23;31:9;	21:10
9;35:1,5,14;37:2;	statute (1
8,8,23 I)	7:18;8: 12,16,1
3	20;48:6
g (2)	52:19,2
4;57:7	statutes (
t (1)	48:15,1
19 (1)	step (1)
. (1) 15	37:7 still (2)
(5)	8:7;27:
3;11:12;28:6;	stop (1)
7;36:15	44:1
l (1)	storage (1
25 (4)	22:3 streamlin
1;20:10;25:10;	50:19
1	street (1)
ing (1)	36:1
21	strictly (1
ic (2) 23;53:6	55:6 Subject (1
(1)	57:25
16	submit (1
(1)	52:3
5	subsectio
re (1) 12	49:24;5 sued (1)
(1)	6:3
12	sufficienc
1)	5:15;31
13	sufficient
(11)	38:2
23;28:13,14,21; 16;36:6;37:14;	suggestio 57:25
12,13;51:11,13	suit (1)
(1)	6:5
5	summary
(2)	53:23

;46:15	supervisio
ard (2) 6,8	59:24 supervisor
ing (10)	25:22
19;23:9,10;24:7, 26:10;27:18;32:15;	supposed 56:15
8,9	Supreme (
(2) 15;57:4	12:7;50: 17
ed (2)	sure (5)
24;51:20 (8)	9:5;13:1 36:21;42
25;13:4,5;14:18;	surprised 10:3
12,16;39:18;50:3 1 (1)	Susan (1)
15 nent (1)	13:11 Sustained
4	29:18
s (1) 19	swear (1) 16:24
on (1)	sworn (1)
21 ons (1)	17:8
10	
te (18) 8;8:4,19;14:7,12,	table (11)
16,19;31:22;38:10,	23:23;24
48:6,9,17;50:11; 19,21,22	25:16;30 34:16;30
tes (2) 15,17	tables (13) 5:21,22;
1)	23:22;24
7 2)	30:11;3: 57:23
;27:23	tabulate (
(1) 1	46:3 tabulated
ge (1) 3	7:9;8:25
3 mline (1)	tabulation 7:11
19 t (1)	talk (3)
1	27:2,7;5 talking (4)
ly (1) 6	16:19;2: 49:1
ect (1)	ten (1)
25 it (1)	22:14 tend (1)
3	36:18
ection (2) 24;50:11	terms (1) 34:2
(1)	testified (6
eiency (3)	17:8;36: 44:9;45:
5;31:25;33:1 tient (1)	testify (4) 5:7,13;9
2	testifying
estion (1) 25	17:25;19 23:2
1)	testimony
nary (1)	12:1;13: 19:19;4
23	48:23;5

on (1) Thanks (1) 42:12 therefore (1) rs (1) 57:16 third-party (1) (1) 50:25 though (1) (6) :3,4,8;51:1, 6:21 three (6) 23:21;24:1;28:25; 0;15:8; 29:1;30:24;31:1 2:6 throughout (1) (1)13:18 till (1) 56:19 times (5) (1) 24:22;25:19;35:11; 49:17;54:5 TIPSTAFF (7) 4:6;16:15,20,24; 56:18,23;58:9 today (16) 6:1,6,14;8:22;10:16; Т 18:1;19:7;21:3;47:1,6, 16,18;55:12,17,25,25 told (3) 4:2,15,18; 27:9;53:19;55:11 0:22;33:2;tomorrow (2) 6:10;54:4,6 6:8;10:15 top(1);22:7,12,14; 46:6 4:9:25:23: tour (1)5:13,15;55:18; 23:3 transcript (2) 59:7,22 (1) transiting (1) (2) 35:20 transparency (1) 54:21 ns (1) tray (10) 27:11,12,13;30:17, 56:13 20,24,25;31:13,13,15 trays (2) 5:22;37:9; 34:20,21 trial (1) 59:5 trouble (1) 18:4 Trump (16) 4:19,23;5:5;10:6,10; 15:14,24;16:1;21:1; 31:19:39:14:42:22: 6) 5:4,24;37:13; 49:16;51:5,15;55:9 :4 truth (3) 17:2,2,3 9:10;40:1 try (1) (5) 18:6 9:6,6;20:5; trying (4) 9:17;17:1;21:22; (12) 51:5 :8;16:25; Tsai (1) 1:3,5;42:21;17:12 51:8;57:3,6,13 turn (1)

22:17	via (2)	21:22	24:23	22 (4)
twelve (1)	16:11;17:6	way (6)	worker (8)	28:24;29:4,4;31:6
22:14	video (3)	8:9;20:2;21:11;22:8;	25:12;26:2,3,8,9;	25 (2)
two (4)	18:1;22:22;23:3	23:16;48:11	30:22;35:24,25	23:25;57:15
22:13;28:25;29:5;	videoconference (1)	ways (1)	workers (15)	
36:11	17:7	8:2	9:6;25:13,20;27:10;	3
type (1)	videoconferencing (1)	wearing (3)	31:14,21;35:12,14,22;	
51:4	16:11	4:5;7:16;26:12	37:14;44:16,19;45:7;	3 (1)
	view (3)	Welcome (2)	52:17;54:13	50:3
U	24:6;32:7,20	17:10;19:1	workers' (1)	30 (1)
	virus (1)	What's (24)	33:7	23:25
Uhmm (4)	26:18	4:20;5:23;6:9;7:15;	Wright (1)	3146.8 (6)
21:20;24:20;34:6;	visible (1)	8:18;9:12;15:5;26:1;	39:11	4:25;7:18;41:15;
36:18	11:23	27:18;28:3,16,20;30:3;	write (1)	42:13;50:11;57:15
unable (1)	vote (4)	32:18,21;34:18,22;	48:9	35 (1)
34:13	7:5,9;14:4;15:13	37:17;43:1,20;44:18;	writes (1)	22:14
unavailable (1)	voted (1)	45:6,9;48:14	48:15	350,000 (2)
42:16	6:20	whenever (2)	writing (1)	10:24;51:19
Under (10)	voter (7)	21:14,17	57:9	10.21,31.13
4:25;5:3;7:18;9:3;	11:1,7;22:25;43:10;	Whereupon (3)	wrong (1)	5
12:10;52:21;56:9;	47:24;53:6,16	16:10;56:4,21	38:8	
57:15;58:1;59:23	voters (4)	whole (2)		5 (2)
undermining (1)	45:15;50:22,23;	17:2;37:1	Y	24:4;29:5
50:21	53:12	wholesale (2)		21.1,27.5
Understood (3)	votes (3)	43:22,22	yellow (2)	6
19:16,21;20:7	8:24;43:2;46:3	Who's (1)	30:25;31:13	
uniform (1)	voting (9)	9:21	50.20,51.15	6 (10)
47:10	6:22;7:7;13:22;14:2;	width (1)	Z	7:15;24:4;25:16,25;
unless (4)	43:11;45:24;46:1;	21:8		27:1;29:6;33:6;44:21;
19:11,14;42:2;59:23	50:18;58:1	width-wise (1)	Zoom (6)	45:1;54:10
unopened (1)		22:1	5:7;16:8,11;17:6,13;	67 (1)
30:21	W			
<u> </u>	VV VV	Wisnes (Z)	41.10	45.11
	•••	wishes (2) 52:9.16	41:10	45:11
unreasonable (1)		52:9,16	41:10 1	
unreasonable (1) 51:25	waist (1)			45:11
unreasonable (1)	waist (1) 25:5	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6	1	7
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18;	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3)	52:9,16 withdrawn (1)	1 10 (1)	7 (2)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14)	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16,	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3)	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2	1 10 (1)	7 (2)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10;	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2)	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1)	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6)	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5,	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3)	waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17,	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5,	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24;	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24;</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10;	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3;	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22,	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9;	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22;	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9;	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23;	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5;</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23;	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19;</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1	10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4) 6:15;21:9;22:8;34:7	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6 watching (3)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1 words (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4) 28:23;29:3;36:5,12	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4) 6:15;21:9;22:8;34:7 versus (2)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6 watching (3) 21:10;30:2;46:12</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1 words (1) 14:12	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4) 28:23;29:3;36:5,12 2020 (2)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4) 6:15;21:9;22:8;34:7 versus (2) 15:14;38:18	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6 watching (3) 21:10;30:2;46:12 water (1)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1 words (1) 14:12 work (1)	10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4) 28:23;29:3;36:5,12 2020 (2) 50:4,8	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4) 6:15;21:9;22:8;34:7 versus (2) 15:14;38:18 vest (1)	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6 watching (3) 21:10;30:2;46:12 water (1) 26:15</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1 words (1) 14:12 work (1) 39:7	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4) 28:23;29:3;36:5,12 2020 (2) 50:4,8 20-oc-00142 (1)	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)
unreasonable (1) 51:25 up (14) 5:19;7:6;8:5;9:18; 10:4,12;11:12;18:16, 17;21:17,21;22:7,10; 31:14 upon (1) 22:10 urge (1) 14:17 use (3) 23:19;35:25;37:10 used (3) 14:15;49:17;51:3 using (5) 26:20,22;32:7,9; 35:22 V vantage (2) 34:13;36:6 variety (1) 10:22 various (4) 6:15;21:9;22:8;34:7 versus (2) 15:14;38:18	<pre>waist (1) 25:5 Wait (3) 15:2;41:17;52:8 waiting (2) 5:6,6 walk (5) 35:22,24,25;36:18; 38:23 walking (6) 21:8;34:15;35:17, 18;36:14,20 wants (8) 14:21;51:15;53:24; 54:6,8,17,17,19 wash (1) 46:17 washing (2) 26:21;45:3 watch (3) 8:23;9:5;42:19 watchers (9) 5:1;42:19;44:5; 47:21;48:10,13,19; 49:5,6 watching (3) 21:10;30:2;46:12 water (1)</pre>	52:9,16 withdrawn (1) 10:6 withdrew (1) 6:2 within (2) 31:16;33:6 without (3) 6:2;14:2;36:1 witness (39) 9:9;12:1;13:8;16:5, 10,18,21;17:4,11,17, 21;18:3,10,23;19:2,7, 9,16,20;20:7,14;21:24; 23:2,14,17,20;24:10; 28:1;35:5;39:23;40:3; 41:2,7,10,20;42:22; 43:5;51:9;57:5 witnesses (2) 41:23;42:3 witness's (1) 57:13 word (1) 14:8 wording (1) 8:1 words (1) 14:12 work (1)	1 10 (1) 5:18 105 (1) 24:24 11:43 (1) 58:12 12 (6) 5:21;12:8,12;44:23; 54:3,3 13 (1) 51:20 15 (9) 23:21,24;24:1,17,22, 25;25:17;36:5,10 16 (1) 54:4 18 (5) 23:24;25:17;28:23; 29:4;31:5 2 20 (4) 28:23;29:3;36:5,12 2020 (2) 50:4,8	7 7 (2) 10:25;36:16 7:00 (4) 21:4;36:24;37:5,6 7:45 (1) 10:5 8 8 (1) 36:16 9 9:51 (1)

John J. Kurz, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter Phone 215-68